

Fwd: Statement from Hon. Liz Sandals, President of the Treasury Board

From: "MacIntyre, Kyle (TBS)" <kyle.macintyre@ontario.ca>
To: "Angus, Helen (TBS)" <helen.angus@ontario.ca>, "Thompson, Scott (MOF)" <scott.thompson@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Simone, Nicole (TBS)" <nicole.simone@ontario.ca>, "McLean, David (MOF)" <david.mclean@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 16 Feb 2017 12:01:22 -0500

Begin forwarded message:

From: "DiMuzio, Sofie (TBS)" <Sofie.DiMuzio@ontario.ca>
Date: February 16, 2017 at 11:51:20 AM EST
To: "MacIntyre, Kyle (TBS)" <Kyle.MacIntyre@ontario.ca>
Subject: FW: Statement from Hon. Liz Sandals, President of the Treasury Board

From: Ostergard, Matt (TBS)
Sent: February-16-17 11:50 AM
To: @TBS-L-Issues and Media
Cc: Tedesco, Lauren (TBS); Prins, Sebastian (TBS)
Subject: FW: Statement from Hon. Liz Sandals, President of the Treasury Board

FYI – I just sent this to gallery.

Matt

From: Ostergard, Matt (TBS)
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 11:50 AM
To: Ostergard, Matt (TBS)
Subject: Statement from Hon. Liz Sandals, President of the Treasury Board

Statement from Hon. Liz Sandals, President of the Treasury Board

"We asked an independent expert panel to look into this because the advice we received from officials varied from that of the Auditor General. The Independent Expert Panel has now released its advice and has concluded that the province's share of the surplus of the net pension assets of both the OTPP and OPSEUPP should be recognized as an asset in Ontario's financial statements. They concluded that the government does not need a letter from either of the unions because the joint pension agreements spell out how the surplus will be dealt with. They also concluded that there are no public sector accounting standard requirements for any additional letter to be in place specifying when or by how much contributions will be reduced beyond the joint pension plan agreements already in place.

As Tricia O'Malley, Chair of the Independent Expert Panel, stated on Monday, "The agreements in place are sufficient to tell us that the joint plan sponsors can do this – there is history of them agreeing to reduce contributions in the past. From the accounting standards perspective, all that's required is that these benefits from surplus can be reasonably expected."

They also concluded that the government controls access to the pension surplus which has a future economic benefit to the province and recognizing the asset will provide an accurate representation of the province's financial position.

The panel was made up of accounting, legal, and pension experts in the areas of jointly sponsored pension plans governance, actuarial modelling for pension plans and public sector accounting standards and they include;

- o Tricia O'Malley - Chair of the Canadian Actuarial Standards Oversight Council
- o Murray Gold – Leading Practitioner and senior pension and benefits partner at KoskieMinsky LLP
- o Uros Karadzic – A pension actuary and partner at Ernst and Young
- o Paul Martin – Comptroller with the Government of New Brunswick

The government is accepting the Independent Expert Panel's recommendations in order to present its financial statements in accordance with public sector accounting standards. This means that the province will continue to represent pension assets on its financial statements as it has since 2001."

Key background information from the Panel:

Tricia O'Malley, Chair, stated on Monday, "The agreements in place are sufficient to tell us that the joint plan sponsors can do this – there is history of them agreeing to reduce contributions in the past. From the accounting standards perspective, all that's required is that these benefits from surplus can be reasonably expected." She likened it to owning an income property with a friend: "Simply because you and your friend own this property together, are you going to leave your share of that rental asset, that rental property, off your net worth statement as an asset?" she said. "I doubt it, if that's something that's of value and you have a share of it."

The Panel also concluded in its report that there is no accounting standards requirement for any agreement to be in place specifying when and by how much contributions will be reduced for the government to record an asset beyond the agreements already in place (i.e., legislation and the various plan documents). The public sector accounting standards are clear that the benefits from the surplus need only be expected. In these cases, benefits can reasonably be expected based on the law, the terms of the Plans and the policies and past actions of the joint Sponsors in fulfilling their responsibilities to act fairly.

Given all the uncertainties and estimates required in accounting for pensions, virtually nothing can be considered certain.