

RE: LTEP Comments Consolidated

From: "Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)" <"o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=teliszewsky, andrew (energy)4fe">
To: "Donelson, Philip (OPO)" <philip.donelson@ontario.ca>, "Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)" <matt.whittington@ontario.ca>, "Tresise, Landon (ENERGY)" <landon.tresise@ontario.ca>
Cc: "McEachern, Gillian (OPO)" <gillian.mceachern@ontario.ca>, "Jancik, Mike (OPO)" <mike.jancik@ontario.ca>, "Bevan, Andrew (OPO)" <andrew.bevan@ontario.ca>
Date: Wed, 20 Sep 2017 12:07:12 -0400
Attachments: 2017-09-19- LTEP Document PO Edit Tracker (new edits).docx (32.44 kB)

Good Afternoon:

Appreciate folks are swamped and pulled in a thousand different directions. Here is a quick update as to where we are on the Long Term Energy Plan.

So. It has moved from Ministry officials to the writer (Mr. John McGrath). Our early understanding is that even within the last 36 hours some duplicative phrasing / flow / structure issues have been identified. This will apparently continue to occur over the next week. To that end, writer is not authorized to make any content edits, but rather style ones (like moving paragraphs for flow). Some content that you have read in one chapter may migrate to another, etc. To maintain our timelines, we are not doing a new round of content sign-off after that process is done, since that would basically make this a November document.

To deal with content and specifically these additional comments from earlier this week : please find enclosed a tracker document that provides your original comment below and then what the new / proposed / edited language is. Apart from nuance, all but one has been accepted.

Matt and Philip have a meeting booked tomorrow (Thursday) at which point they shall run through this chart secure necessary, final sign-offs. This thing really needs to be "pens down" tomorrow, dare say that we are approaching the point of just arguing semantics if we turn any further, but that may be just us in the MO / DMO for whom this has been a difficult few weeks.

Timelines : FINAL content pens down (per Matt & Phil) means we are 4 weeks away from a printed document, based on production timelines owing largely to government accessibility directives. Please note that we are now *tracking week of October 30, 2017 for an announcement* date at the earliest for LTEP. At some point we should gather with communications & rollout staff to discuss if we want to strategically delay this further to coincide with the early November Constituency Week, if that is a factor for anyone on this chain. To be honest, I still really want to see "October" in the date column, but see value in launching this when the house is not in session, especially if we are realistically days / weeks apart. More on that later.

Many thanks, in advance.

Andrew Teliszewsky

Chief of Staff | Office of Hon. Glenn Thibeault
Ministry of Energy
andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca
416-327-3550 (o)
416-436-6370 (m)

From: Donelson, Philip (OPO)
Sent: September-18-17 10:03 AM
To: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Tresise, Landon (ENERGY)
Cc: McEachern, Gillian (OPO); Jancik, Mike (OPO)
Subject: LTEP Comments Consolidated

Hi folks – please see below for consolidated PO comments on this turn of the LTEP:

- Page 5:
 - o Remove incremental capacity auction bullet, and instead only mention market renewal more generally, and how it will be designed to reduce GHG emissions, ratepayer costs, and meet system needs.
 - o Worth mentioning here how it will be aligned with CCAP
- Page 21:
 - o “An example of a market-based mechanism that could be used is an incremental capacity auction” – this is fine if it’s the only mention of this specific tool, and shown as one example
 - o 4th para: “market renewal as a whole will ensure resources will provide flexibility...” – remove “as a whole”
 - o 5th para: “... will be aligned with the objectives of CCAP, and related initiatives will be designed to reduce GHG emissions and ratepayer costs and meet system needs.” – remove the words “related initiatives” as it implies that GHG reductions will not be central to market renewal
 - o Last para: “IESO, together with its stakeholders, has identified the future need to ensure that this new framework can properly value environmental attributes...” – remove the word “future” – it is a present need, not a future one.
- Any other mention of an incremental capacity auction throughout the text should be removed. Keep the market renewal language more general. It’s good overall.
- Page 26 - repeat paragraph in there, need to delete
- Page 28 - natural gas section hasn’t been changed - need to include reference to GHG i.e. caveat the continued use with 'while also looking for low cost, non-emitting alternatives'
- Page 40 - storage – can we be specific and show actual movement on the barriers this fall not just another commitment (as we made 4 yrs ago)?
- Pipeline section – needed?

And one more reminder of the comments Bevan sent over last night:

Page 11 seems to be missing in the list of bullets the four years at inflation.

Page 17 graph on annual price increases. I'm presuming that translated into percent increases and dollar amounts by year is just going to be for comms materials?

Thanks,
Phil

Philip Donelson
Senior Policy Advisor
Office of the Premier of Ontario
416-314-7489 (office)
647-530-6642 (mobile)