

RE: pension note

From: "Patel, Bharat (TBS)" <bharat.patel3@ontario.ca>
To: "Veinot, Cindy (TBS)" <cindy.veinot@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Petsche, Nadine (TBS)" <nadine.petsche@ontario.ca>, "Fong, Pauline (TBS)" <pauline.fong@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 01 Sep 2016 17:26:00 -0400
Attachments: pension note.docx (30.68 kB)

Cindy,

See attached updated pension note for your review.

Information on pension plans with accrued benefit obligation in excess of plan fund assets is as follows:

Pensions Benefit Plans			
As at March 31		2016	2015
(\$ Millions)			
Obligation for benefits		\$26,607	\$26,217
Less: plan fund assets		(25,919)	(24,144)
Plan Liability		\$688	\$2,073

For more clarity, If you would like we can add footnote that plan liability is for PSPP and CATTPP.

Thanks,
Bharat

From: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Sent: August-31-16 5:51 PM
To: Patel, Bharat (TBS)
Cc: Petsche, Nadine (TBS); Fong, Pauline (TBS)
Subject: RE: pension note

Hi Bharat – the requirement is not to disclose each plan separately, just to disclose the aggregation of plans with plan deficit status. We can discuss. This is an example from Part V of the CPA Handbook.

Cindy

-
Plans with accrued benefit obligations in excess of plan assets

Included in the above accrued benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets at year end are the following amounts in respect of plans that are not fully funded:

	<u>Pension benefit plans</u>		<u>Other benefit plans</u>	
	<u>20X3</u>	<u>20X2</u>	<u>20X3</u>	<u>20X2</u>
Accrued benefit obligation	\$1,680	\$1,436	\$ 1,279	\$ 1,210
Fair value of plan assets	<u>1,300</u>	<u>1,109</u>	<u>? —</u>	<u>—</u>
Funded status — plan deficit	\$ (380)	\$ (327)	\$(1,279)	\$(1,210)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

From: Patel, Bharat (TBS)
Sent: August-31-16 5:15 PM
To: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Cc: Petsche, Nadine (TBS); Fong, Pauline (TBS)
Subject: pension note

Cindy,

See attached approach to disclose individual pension plan asset/liability. We have two presentation issue (1) agencies \$906M negative liability, I had netted from PSPP and OPESU obligation using 80/20 rules (subject to OAG agrees on this split) and (2) other pension plans \$205M negative liability position.

We can work out with the OAG staffs and if they agree use different approach (include the other pension plan where we have asset position).

Thanks,
Bharat