

Message

From: Victor Buza [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7643C3D5AC26488BAB32D07049F1E089-VICTOR BUZA]
Sent: 2017-07-26 1:02:07 PM
To: William Tan [William.Tan@ofina.on.ca]
CC: Anthony Martinello [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3fb7d76317b34780b15d96309b995c31-Anthony Mar]
Subject: RE: FHP Credit Facility Agreement
Attachments: FHP credit facility agreement draft June 23 2017 IESO Legal July 26.docx

Hi Will. Attached you will find IESO's mark-up of the FHP Credit Facility Agreement. Most of the changes are self-explanatory, but it may be helpful if I provide a few words of explanation about the more substantive changes:

1. We added paragraph (k) to the definition of "Permitted Liens" to address the possibility that the Clean Energy Adjustment funds collected by IESO might be considered to be subject to a lien, and we did not want to be inadvertently in default. (Please note that, assuming this addition to the definition of "Permitted Liens" is acceptable, the same or similar language should also be added to the definition of Permitted Liens in Amending Agreement #10 to the existing OFA line of credit that we discussed yesterday.)
2. We amended Section 2.2 Use of Proceeds as shown in the draft because: (i) there is a possibility that the funding provided under the FHP Credit Agreement may accumulate and be outstanding for an extended period of time (i.e. in excess of 12 months); and (ii) the use of the terminology "cash flow timing differences" does not seem appropriate given its implication of a shorter-term funding requirement (something on the order of month-to-month), taking into account all of the obligations of IESO under the FHP.
3. We amended the exceptions to the *pari passu* requirement under Section 3.3(5) to avoid a conflict with the different list of exceptions in s.4.6, the negative pledge. An alternative, and arguably cleaner, way of avoiding the conflict between s.3.3(5) and s.4.6 would be to delete the *pari passu* requirement in s.3.3(5) altogether, since the negative pledge in s.4.6 already contains more tailored language that performs essentially the same function.

In addition to the above changes marked-up directly on the document, in general with respect to all revolving lines, it seems that the definition of "Advances" should probably also include Continued Advances where an Election Notice is used (though I didn't make any changes to reflect this). I say this because Advances can become Continued Advances through an initial Election Notice, and then those Continued Advances can be continued again as further Continued Advances, and so on. In other words, the practice and intent of IESO and OFA has been and continues to be that an original Advance can be Continued (rolled-over) many times but, unless I am missing something, the language and definitions do not quite capture that intent. It might be easier if I were to discuss what is essentially a drafting point with your Legal Counsel assigned to this – as I say, it's entirely possible that I am simply missing something here.

I didn't know who would be reviewing this from your Legal group, so did not copy anyone else at OFA – I will rely on you to forward it as required.

Regards,
Victor

Victor Buza | Senior Counsel
Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: 416-969-6079
1600-120 Adelaide Street West, Toronto, ON M5H 1T1
Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: [IESO_Tweets](https://twitter.com/IESO_Tweets) | LinkedIn: [IESO](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ieso)

This email message and any files transmitted with it are intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any dissemination,

distribution, or copying of this email message or any files transmitted with it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or are not the named recipient(s), please notify the sender immediately and delete this email message.

From: William Tan [mailto:William.Tan@ofina.on.ca]

Sent: July 25, 2017 12:23 PM

To: Victor Buza

Cc: Anthony Martinello

Subject: FHP Credit Facility Agreement

Hello Victor,

I'm checking-in on the status of the FHP Credit Facility Agreement. I understand there was a couple matters you needed to clear up with management before sending us your comments.

Best regards,

Will Tan | *Documentation & Analysis Specialist*

Capital Markets Division | Ontario Financing Authority

1 Dundas Street West, Suite 1400

Toronto, Ontario M5G 1Z3, CANADA

Tel. (416) 325-8090 | Fax: (416) 860-8346

william.tan@ofina.on.ca

This message, including any attachments, is intended only for the use of the individual(s) to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and permanently delete this message including any attachments, without reading it or making a copy. Thank you