

Message

From: Alexander DeAngelis [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=98AA7A2D7069420DA02CF8A091EE45D1-ALEXANDER D]
Sent: 2017-11-24 9:40:01 AM
To: Michael Boll [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=4811917e15054407b665fe16d12ff415-Michael Bol]; Anthony Martinello [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3fb7d76317b34780b15d96309b995c31-Anthony Mar]; Jeannette Briggs [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=148095610f7242f48a5a9da24b1a8a87-Jeannette B]; Susan Nicholson [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=f668e601bd2b4865a5c31dca531047c8-Susan Nicho]
CC: Lia Koscic [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=73708c51cf2349cfb6fb2106d496b3ab-Lia Koscic]
Subject: Follow Up On OFHP for Board

Importance: High

All,

I thought I would kick off the conversation regarding the items the board asked for follow up to be brought to the December 6 meeting.

As per my notes, the board wanted:

1. A more in-depth discussion of the risk 'Accounting treatment for Ontario Fair Hydro Plan in disputed'. The discussion is focused on the impact of the risk as there are differing views regarding whether the impact is accurately stated. My plan is to define the factors (e.g. reputation, impedes effective delivery of OFHP, potential litigation, financial impact, reduction in service quality / inefficiencies) used to arrive at the impact rating and allow the board to discuss. Outcome would be a final decision of the overall rating for the risk.
2. For risk 11, 'The IESO provides security to the financing entity that it has priority over IESO receivables', a better definition of this risk is required. I look to you all to define what the ultimate wording of the risk should be describing the implications of the IESO providing the security.

3.



Michael / Jeannette, I'd ask you to take the lead on this. The question is whether we need an additional risk here, but at the very least, it breaks down to providing the board the potential impact and likelihood of this risk occurring. If you could define the risk, then I could circulate the assessment template to assess it.

I'm not clear whether we need to submit something with board materials that will be due on Monday, but I think it would be best that we have the information ready by Monday just in case.

If I've mischaracterized anything or have directed items to the wrong individuals, please let the group know.

Thank you,

Alexander De Angelis | Manager, Enterprise Risk & Corporate Performance

Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: (905) 855-6299

Station A, Box 4474, Toronto, ON M5W 4E5

Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: [IESO_Tweets](#) | LinkedIn: [IESO](#)

"Corporate Services collaborates with you to deliver solutions and expertise that enable the IESO to achieve its goals"