

Message

From: Sejal Shah [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=45F64FA2BAEA41DA82673E1050E82406-SEJAL SHAH]
Sent: 2017-10-01 10:32:10 PM
To: Katelyn Margerm [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=996846596f95496d8c21ed94c57bef2c-Katelyn Mar]; Evelyn Lundhild [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=10d32fa555044b158d8c3e6bd2c11333-Evelyn Lund]; Michael Boll [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=4811917e15054407b665fe16d12ff415-Michael Bol]
CC: John Shou [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e6c0701d86894ea193ce078013957505-John Shou]; Robert Sinclair [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=8e455bbc4f044ab0a465978e12cc4755-Robert Sinc]; Humphrey Tse [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=a4795cfbe1ce41b381b879a420983827-Humphrey Ts]; Joyce Poon [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3093c75378834fd195c6a07d2c0aded1-Joyce Poon]; Bashir Bhana [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=f7ca92bb3384495d95f56b96eed74290-Bashir Bhan]
Subject: RE: solar rebate deck

Privileged and confidential

Hi everyone,

See my comments and suggested edits below – let me know if you have any questions or concerns:

- Slide 2, 1st bullet – comment: Establish short form for MOECC as well, i.e. Ministry of Environment and Climate Change (MOECC).
- Slide 2, final bullet – edit: “Given significant decarbonisation of the electricity grid and relatively high prices of solar systems compared to conservation and energy efficiency measures, a solar rebate program may cost more to reduce Ontario GHG emissions than other potential measures.”
- Slide 5, final bullet – comment: Stating that microFIT is transitioning to net metering in 2018 may imply that the IESO has a role to play, when it does not.
- Slide 9, title – edit: “Electricity system considerations – capacity and energy is currently in surplus, so near term addition of Solar PV will exacerbate these energy and capacity levels”.
- Slide 10, 1st bullet – comment: Do you mean to say “electricity rates may change under the program”. You may want to consider revising final sentence to read as “Additional funding from the GOF may be required to mitigate against rate increases for electricity consumers.” Please note that I do not know to what extent rates would be impacted in near term given the Fair Hydro Plan.
- Slide 10, 3rd bullet – edit: “Also, as time progresses, decreasing costs and improvements in technology performance of PV is expected to provide more value for future investments.”
- Slide 10, 4th bullet / Slide 11, 2nd bullet – comment: Does this align with recent discussion with Planning? GOF may ask for additional information regarding which regions of the Province are constrained.
- Slide 11, 1st bullet – comment: Do you mean “home heating and cooling”?
- Ensure consistent spelling of “decarbonisation” vs. “decarbonisation”.
- All instances of GreenOn should be revised to “GreenON”.
- Slide deck to be marked “IESO Confidential”.

Evelyn, please advise who else from the IESO will be joining you at the October 4 meeting with the GOF/MOECC?

Thanks,

Sejal Shah | Legal Counsel

Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: 416-969-6112

From: Katelyn Margerm

Sent: October 01, 2017 5:02 PM

To: Evelyn Lundhild; Michael Boll; Sejal Shah

Cc: John Shou; Robert Sinclair; Humphrey Tse; Joyce Poon; Bashir Bhana

Subject: Re: solar rebate deck

I should have included Legal. Michael, Sejal, please let us know if any issues with the attached.

Thanks,
Katelyn

From: Katelyn Margerm

Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 5:56 PM

To: Evelyn Lundhild

Cc: John Shou; Robert Sinclair; Humphrey Tse; Joyce Poon; Bashir Bhana

Subject: solar rebate deck

Hi Evelyn,

Attaching a working GreenOn Solar Rebate briefing deck for GOF based on analysis we prepared with PSP. One item to flag is the use of the \$108/tonne overall rebate program as a benchmark on slide 8 – this is taken from the earlier GOF briefing deck Nik shared – let me know if this is an appropriate comparison for our numbers or if you would suggest a different source.

We might have a few minor additional edits/language tweaks to share on Monday but wanted to provide something to you now in the interest of time.

Happy to discuss.

Thanks,
Katelyn

Katelyn Margerm | Business Analyst | Market and Resource Development

Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: (416) 969-2532

120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 1600, Toronto, ON M5H 1T1

Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: [IESO_Tweets](https://twitter.com/IESO_Tweets) | LinkedIn: [IESO](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ieso)

Conservation: www.saveONenergy.ca | Twitter: [saveONenergyOnt](https://twitter.com/saveONenergyOnt) | LinkedIn: [saveONenergy](https://www.linkedin.com/company/saveONenergy)