

Message

From: Rebecca Short [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=B8962B65533A42A4A89BA9679D53B637-REBECCA SHO]
Sent: 2018-05-24 7:50:11 AM
To: Jo Chung [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=b7b0fc3e2c1244dc8c436312df523ecd-Jo Chung]
Subject: RE: MR-00434: Review of Forms 1513 and 1514

No concerns. I'll finalize the documents in the shared folder in preparation for the Board.

From: Jo Chung
Sent: May 23, 2018 1:50 PM
To: Rebecca Short
Subject: RE: MR-00434: Review of Forms 1513 and 1514

Thanks Rebecca,

I've taken a review – for the 1513, I think we should state “none” for all three aspects (price, reliability quality). The general approach we've been using is adding an explanation only in instances where a stakeholder would likely link the change to having an impact on any one of price, reliability or quality - e.g. 1513 for enabling system flex rules – we explained the rules did not have an impact on all three buckets, since we already had the rules authority to use OR for flex. If the rules were enabling, the assessment would likely have been different.

For regulated settlement, I think it's clear that the nature of the change won't impact any of the three buckets (change made for consistency, clarification).

For the 1514, since the Panel member question wasn't specifically related to price, reliability or quality, I propose we delete their question on any unintended consequences.

Please let me know if you agree/have any concerns.

Jo

From: Rebecca Short
Sent: May 22, 2018 12:45 PM
To: Jo Chung
Subject: FW: MR-00434: Review of Forms 1513 and 1514

Jo,

Attached for your review are the 1513/1514 documents for MR-00434. I have changed the dates on the versions of 1513/1514 reviewed previously by Idalin. The only other change I have made since Idalin's review is redlined in the 1514 for your information. I simply tweaked the language slightly.

There were no additional comments made throughout the TP review of the second part (the applicable law portion) of the amendment proposal that would impact consumers with respect to price, reliability or quality of service.

Please let me know when you have reviewed and I will remove the redlines and change the version to 1.0.

Rebecca

From: Idalin McKenzie
Sent: March 09, 2018 12:21 AM
To: Rebecca Short; Jo Chung
Subject: RE: MR-00434: Review of Forms 1513 and 1514

Hi Rebecca,

I have no edits.

Thanks,

Idalin McKenzie | Senior Manager | Market Settlements | Settlements - Corporate Services
Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: 905-403-6991 | C: 416-254-9032
Station A, Box 4474, Toronto, ON, M5W 4E5
Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: [IESO_Tweets](#) | LinkedIn: [IESO](#)

Corporate Services collaborates with you to deliver solutions and expertise that enable the IESO to achieve its goals.

Conservation: www.saveONenergy.ca | Twitter: [saveONenergyOnt](#) | LinkedIn: [saveONenergy](#)

From: Rebecca Short
Sent: March 08, 2018 1:08 PM
To: Jo Chung; Idalin McKenzie
Subject: MR-00434: Review of Forms 1513 and 1514

Attached for review and comment are form 1513 (Assessment of Impact of Rule Amendment on Consumers re Prices, Reliability and Quality of Electricity Service) and form 1514 (Summary of Stakeholder and Technical Panel Comments on Impact of Rule Amendment on Consumers re Prices, Reliability and Quality of Electricity Service). [Note to Idalin – these forms are required prior to Board Approval].

Please review and provide comments by Friday, March 9th.

Thanks,

Rebecca

Rebecca Short | Senior Market Rules Advisor - Market Rules Group | Legal Resources and Corporate Governance |
Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: (905) 855-6445 | E-mail: Rebecca.Short@ieso.ca |
Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: [IESO_Tweets](#) | LinkedIn: [IESO](#)