



**KPMG LLP**  
**AU40-48 (KW Audit 40-48)**  
115 King Street South  
2nd Floor  
Waterloo ON  
N2J 5A3

Telephone (519) 747-8800  
Fax (519) 747-1432  
www.kpmg.ca

To **IESO Audit File**  
**IESO Management, Audit Committee, Board of Directors**

Date **February 23, 2017**

From **Matthew Betik**

Ref

Subject **Regulatory Assets/Liabilities and Market Accounts**

**Purpose:**

The purpose of this memorandum is discuss and illustrate the impacts of the proposed changes to accounting policies of the IESO for rate regulated assets/liabilities and Market Accounts.

**Background:**

Prior to 2016, IESO annual financial statements included the following two accounting policies:

- i) Market Accounts – “These financial statements do not include the financial transactions of market participants within the IESO-administered markets” [excerpt from Note 2(b)]
- ii) Regulatory assets/liabilities – while not specifically stated, the IESO did not recognize regulatory assets/liabilities, or in other words, did not follow rate regulated accounting.

At a high level, the reason for i) is that IESO did not view itself as a counterparty to market participant transactions (merely an administrator or facilitator) and the market rules and legislation clearly set out that it was not to be liable for market participant transactions. The reason for ii) is that Public Sector Accounting Standards (PSAS), which is the accounting framework applied to IESO’s financial statements did not have specific standards or guidance relating to regulatory accounting, IESO did not follow regulatory accounting in its pre-changeover accounting framework prior to 2011, and the existing accounting policies met the user needs.

As a result of contemplated new transactions within the Ontario energy market, for which IESO will participate in, it was determined that a re-examination of these two policies should be made to ensure that periodic and annual financial reporting was relevant to IESO financial statement users.

**Market Accounts:**

IESO is contemplating including year-end asset and liability balances of the Market Accounts in its annual financial statements. These balances include assets such as cash, market accounts receivable,

conservation contracts receivable, generation contracts receivable, regulated price plan variance, etc., and liabilities such as line of credit facility, market accounts payable, prepayments, generation contracts settlements payable, and provisions for future transmission rights, OESP, RRRP and enforcement activity. Revenue and expense activity throughout the year would not be recorded in the financial statements because IESO is not a principal to those transactions.

Working with KPMG, IESO determined that there were reporting options for market accounts and that a choice is required as to whether or not to record Market Accounts assets and liabilities in the IESO financial statements. By analyzing the nature of the accounts, IESO's economic relationship to them, legislative requirements and market rules it was concluded that a supportable position for either treatment was acceptable.

Should IESO include these balances in its annual financial statements, it would be done via a change in accounting policy, with retroactive application. This means that there would be full disclosure of the change and that the prior year balances in the financial statements would be restated for the effect of the change.

#### Regulatory Assets/liabilities:

A regulatory asset/liability (also referred to collectively as a regulatory deferral account balance) is "The balance of any expense (or income) account that would not be recognised as an asset or a liability in accordance with other Standards, but that qualifies for deferral because it is included, or is expected to be included, by the rate regulator in establishing the rate(s) that can be charged to customers." (IFRS 14, Appendix A). In other words, an entity that applies this type of accounting has been granted a right (normally by an independent regulator) to charge higher rates in the future based on costs that have previously been incurred, and as such, can record an asset for that right. This accounting is most common with utilities (public or private) where the utility has a monopoly over a service territory and a regulator is required to ensure fair treatment of both the customer and supplier of the utility. In Ontario, almost all Local Electricity Distribution Companies (LDCs) and Natural Gas Distribution Companies use rate regulated accounting.

As noted above, IESO has not historically used rate regulated accounting, despite having transactions that may have "fit" into the mold of the type of transactions that qualify. Most notably, the Smart Metering Entity incurred millions of dollars in costs between 2007 and 2012 with a commitment from the Minister of Energy to provide for a rate for recovery in the future. This rate was granted in 2013. Rate regulated accounting is not specifically addressed in PSAS, so the decision was made not to use it. However, entities are permitted under PSAS, under certain conditions, to consult other sources of accounting standards (Canadian Private Company Standards ("ASPE"), IFRS, US GAAP, US Government Standards) for guidance when PSAS does not address a specific issue. KPMG and IESO have performed a technical analysis of this and concluded it

would be acceptable to consult these other sources. It is important to note that ASPE, US GAAP, and US Government standards all recognize rate regulated accounting. IFRS does not specifically recognize it, but has approved standards permitting entities using IFRS for the first time to continue to use rate regulated accounting until a final determination has been made.

IESO, in collaboration with KPMG, performed a detailed analysis as to whether or not rate regulated accounting could be applied to IESO and it was concluded that this treatment is supportable.

Similar to Market Accounts, should IESO include rate regulated accounting in its annual financial statements, it would be done via a change in accounting policy, with retroactive application. This means that there would be full disclosure of the change and that the prior year balances in the financial statements would be restated for the effect of the change. Adopting this change will bring IESO's accounting policies more closely in line with LDCs and other ISOs in North America.

#### Impact to Financial Statements:

The most significant impact of the above noted matters is for rate regulated accounting. An initial analysis indicates the following areas will be impacted:

- Smart Metering Entity Charges
- PSAS transition amounts for Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits

#### *Smart Metering Entity*

As noted above, the SME incurred costs before it was granted a rate order to recover those costs. Assuming these costs qualify as regulatory assets, the initial costs would have been recorded as assets and not expenses (and accumulate deficit).

A simplified example, in 2012, SME costs incurred were \$23,734 thousand. The two accounting treatments are as follows:

| As previously reported |          | Pro-form using rate-regulated accounting |          |
|------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|----------|
| Dr. SME Expenses       | 23,734   | Dr. SME Regulatory Asset                 | 23,734   |
| Cr. Cash               | (23,734) | Cr. Cash                                 | (23,734) |

In other words, instead of recording net expenses, IESO would have recorded an asset. Similarly, for years subsequent to 2012, where an SME rate was ordered and charged, rather the entire amount be recorded as revenue, only revenue sufficient to recover current year's costs would be presented, with the remainder reducing the regulatory asset.

A simplified example, in 2013, SME costs incurred were \$26,531 thousand and SME collections were \$30,144 thousand. The two accounting treatments are as follows:

| As previously reported |          | Pro-form using rate-regulated accounting |          |
|------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|----------|
| Dr. SME Expenses       | 26,531   | Dr. SME Expenses                         | 26,531   |
| Cr. Cash               | (26,531) | Cr. Cash                                 | (26,531) |
| Dr. Cash received      | 30,144   | Dr. Cash received                        | 30,144   |
| Cr. SME Revenues       | (30,144) | Cr. SME Revenues                         | (26,531) |
|                        |          | Cr. SME Regulatory Asset                 | (3,613)  |

Under the rate-regulated accounting option, the process would continue until such time as the regulatory asset is reduced to zero. Should it be reduced below zero, a regulatory liability would be recorded.

#### *PSAS Transition Items for Pensions and Other Post-Employment Benefits*

In 2011, as the IESO transitioned to PSAS, it moved approximately \$80M of unamortized net actuarial losses from its asset/liability accounts to its accumulated deficit. This treatment was permitted by the first time adoption rules of PSAS. As these unamortized losses would no longer flow through the statement of operations of IESO, its rate setting process would no longer recover the costs. As such, the IESO has included in its annual rate submissions to the OEB to recover a portion of the unamortized losses. Since 2012, this has ranged from \$4 million to \$6 million annually.

Assuming PSAS transition items qualified as regulatory assets, the initial transaction would have been recorded as assets and not accumulate deficit.



A simplified example of the two accounting treatments are as follows at the date of transition is as follows:

| As previously reported             |          | Pro-form using rate-regulated accounting |          |
|------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|----------|
| Dr. Acc. deficit – PSAS Transition | 80,967   | Dr. Acc. deficit – PSAS Transition       | 80,967   |
| Cr. Pension + OPEB Liab.           | (80,967) | Cr. Pension + OPEB Liab.                 | (80,967) |
|                                    |          | Dr. Regulatory asset – PSAS Trans.       | 80,967   |
|                                    |          | Cr. Acc. deficit – PSAS Transition       | (80,967) |

A simplified example of the two accounting treatments are as follows at dates following the date of transition to PSAS is as follows:

| As previously reported |         | Pro-form using rate-regulated accounting |         |
|------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| Dr. Cash received      | 3,912   | Dr. Cash received                        | 3,912   |
| Cr. System fees        | (3,912) | Cr. Regulatory asset – PSAS Trans.       | (3,912) |

See attached pro-forma statement of financial position and statement of operations to illustrate the impacts. Furthermore, several of the notes to the financial statements would have to be amended.

Conclusion:

IESO has concluded, and KPMG concurred that these contemplated changes are supportable accounting treatments and as such, would be recorded as a change in accounting policy if adopted in the year of effective adoption.