

Message

From: Nicholas Ingman [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4B1F8DCE56FC407D89CFDE7EF5F68F46-NICHOLAS IN]
Sent: 2017-06-07 1:50:46 PM
To: Bernice Chan [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=262b63e0090e4fa9bf81010f439655e3-Bernice Cha]; Tam Wagner [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=70ad853871354761a98848de59c4c792-Tam Wagner]
CC: Nicholas Ingman [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=4b1f8dce56fc407d89cfde7ef5f68f46-Nicholas In]
Subject: Notes from Our Meeting with OEB Staff regarding the Power.House Feasibility Study

Ladies,

I thought that it might be a good idea to capture the salient points from our discussions on Monday.

Meeting Held at the OEB Office Monday June 5th 1:00 – 3:00 PM

Purpose: Bring OEB staff up to speed on the Power.House Feasibility Study and start discussions around regulatory barriers identified as part of the study.

Attendees:

OEB

Brian Hewson
Andres Mand
Stephen Cain
Saleh Lavaee
Paul Gasparatto

IESO

Nicholas Ingman
Bernice Chan
Tam Wagner

Alectra/Navigant

Jodi Amy
Neetika Sathe
Tamar Heisler
Vikram Singh
Indy Butany

Ministry

Ryan Zade
Richard Ford
Eric Stein

Vikram led the group through a slide deck that provide a deep dive into the Power.House study and findings. Points of clarification raised by Alectra and IESO included:

- Cost benefit analysis was developed prior to the introduction of the Fair Hydro Plan – Results of the analysis would obviously be impacted by this;
- Although we didn't provide the cost of the Power.House units we did identify that the model used by the study represented a significant subsidization for the customer adoption;
- We highlighted the substantial payback period under both outlooks;

- We stated that the IESO remains agnostic to who provides these types of services;
- Indy Butany, Alectra's head of regulatory Affairs raised the issues around how a utility might ratebase such a program as Power.House;
- The OEB expressed their interest in seeing open access for these types of technologies and felt that ratebasing would give an unfair advantage to LDC's;
- OEB noted that they would expect this type of initiative to come out of Alectra's non-regulated business;
- IESO spoke to planning consideration, the need for more technical standards for DER and the recognition of storage as providing a service rather than simply a load or a generator;
- Next steps are for OEB staff to meet internally to discuss the issues raised and reach out to Alectra in the coming weeks.

Let me know of any errors or omissions.

Thanks,

Nicholas Ingman, P.Eng. | Director, Market Operations

Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: (905)855-6108 | M: (416) 427-2567

Station A, Box 4474, Toronto, ON M5W 4E5

Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: [IESO_Tweets](https://twitter.com/IESO_Tweets) | LinkedIn: [IESO](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ieso)

Conservation: www.saveONenergy.com | Twitter: [saveONenergyOnt](https://twitter.com/saveONenergyOnt) | LinkedIn: [saveONenergy](https://www.linkedin.com/company/saveONenergy)