

Message

Sent: 2018-06-13 7:05:07 PM
To: Yan Bechamp [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=59b4c84cdf4d40ffb657d0b2a2141bae-Yan Bechamp]
CC: Idalin McKenzie [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d7d5b89cca6a4afa88ab3660c7e6c103-Idalin McKe]; Adrian Pye [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=72156be4624446d1a621c64d98172f05-Adrian Pye]
Subject: RE: ORECA and [REDACTED] and GA Deferral

Hi Yan:

Re ORECA, I provided the clarification to the OEB last Sunday and spoke to them about it on Monday. Their folks are reviewing the regulation that we referenced and will hopefully engage with us soon on this.

From: Yan Bechamp
Sent: June 13, 2018 2:18 PM
To: Tam Wagner
Cc: Idalin McKenzie
Subject: RE: ORECA and [REDACTED] and GA Deferral

Tam,

Just following up on where we are with this process. We were also wondering if a good strategy would be to implement settlement following our interpretation, and if anything changes we can always make adjustments.

On a different note, we observed that LDC have been submitting high claims for their RPP customers. When looking at the March submissions versus May submissions, their May submissions are 100% higher. This is resulting the deferral jumping from \$150M in March to \$310M in May.

To your knowledge, have LDC received any special instructions from the OEB, are they trying to clear their variance accounts etc...We are looking to explain why such a jump in numbers.

	July 2017	Aug 2017	Sep 2017	Oct 2017	Nov 2017	Dec 2017	Jan 2018	Feb-18	Mar-18	Apr-18	May-18
Total GA	6,964.6	1,029.44	821.1	1,099.2	914.9	1,023.3	\$ 786.8	\$ 796.3	\$ 962.8	\$ 937.8	
FH GA Deferral	387.7	210.8	219.5	186.7	175.2	198.5	\$ 133.0	\$ 127.9	\$ 149.6	\$ 255.7	\$ 309.6
% of GA	6%	20%	27%	17%	19%	19%	17%	16%	16%	27%	

Yan Béchamp, P.Eng | Supervisor | Customer Billing – Corporate Services
Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: 905-855-6255 | C: 416-550-0885
Station A, Box 4474, Toronto, ON, M5W 4E5
Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: [IESO_Tweets](https://twitter.com/IESO_Tweets) | LinkedIn: [IESO](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ieso)
Conservation: www.saveONenergy.ca | Twitter: [saveONenergyOnt](https://twitter.com/saveONenergyOnt) | LinkedIn: [saveONenergy](https://www.linkedin.com/company/saveONenergy)

Corporate Services collaborates with you to deliver solutions and expertise that enable the IESO to achieve its goals

From: Yan Bechamp
Sent: June 01, 2018 10:21 AM
To: Tam Wagner
Cc: Idalin McKenzie
Subject: RE: ORECA and [REDACTED]

Tam,

Correct. Subsection 2.(3) of O. Reg. 363/16 was used as our basis for us to interpret that an estimate may be used to determine the volume of electricity used by a consumer during a billing period.

Let me know how we can further assist you.

Regards,

Yan Béchamp, P.Eng | Supervisor | Customer Billing – Corporate Services
Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: 905-855-6255 | C: 416-550-0885
Station A, Box 4474, Toronto, ON, M5W 4E5
Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: [IESO_Tweets](https://twitter.com/IESO_Tweets) | LinkedIn: [IESO](https://www.linkedin.com/company/ieso)
Conservation: www.saveONenergy.ca | Twitter: [saveONenergyOnt](https://twitter.com/saveONenergyOnt) | LinkedIn: [saveONenergy](https://www.linkedin.com/company/saveONenergy)

Corporate Services collaborates with you to deliver solutions and expertise that enable the IESO to achieve its goals

From: Tam Wagner
Sent: May 31, 2018 10:12 PM
To: Yan Bechamp
Cc: Idalin McKenzie
Subject: ORECA and [REDACTED]

Hi Yan:

I just heard back from the OEB with regards to this and they want to do some further due diligence on their side with regards to the application of the *Ontario Rebate for Electricity Consumers Act, 2016* and the associated regulation. I just wanted to confirm that on our end, in getting to the interpretation that we got to for [REDACTED] was it subsection 2.(3) of O. Reg. 363/16 that we applied? Please advise.

Thanks,
-Tam-