

Message

From: Rehob, James (ENERGY) [James.Rehob@ontario.ca]
Sent: 2017-06-24 2:40:51 PM
To: Feldman, Michael [mfeldman@torys.com]; Michael Boll [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=4811917e15054407b665fe16d12ff415-Michael Bol]; WONG Leslie -LAWDIV (leslie.wong@opg.com) [leslie.wong@opg.com]; Sebastiano, Rocco [RSebastiano@osler.com]; 'Fullerton, Rick' [RFullerton@osler.com]; 'Milligan, Peter' [PMilligan@osler.com]; Smith, Elliot [ESmith@osler.com]
CC: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI) [Carolyn.Calwell@ontario.ca]; Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY) [Daniel.Cayley@ontario.ca]; Huang, Jackson (MEDG/MRIS) [Jackson.Huang@ontario.ca]; Hill, Krista [khill@torys.com]; Ramchandani, Rima [rramchandani@torys.com]; JACKSON, ADAM (ADAM.JACKSON@blakes.com) [ADAM.JACKSON@blakes.com]; Saulnier, Brett [bsaulnier@torys.com]
Subject: RE: s.9(2) of the Reg is causing problems - can it be removed

Thanks very much, Michael. While we do not think that the removal of subsection 9(2) would create authority issues for the IESO related to these agreements, it would be for the IESO to conclude on such matters. Our drafting choice may well be to remove subsection 9(2) however.

Thanks for the helpful response.

Best,
James

From: Feldman, Michael [mailto:mfeldman@torys.com]
Sent: Saturday, June 24, 2017 2:34 PM
To: Rehob, James (ENERGY); Michael Boll (Michael.Boll@ieso.ca); WONG Leslie -LAWDIV (leslie.wong@opg.com); Sebastiano, Rocco; 'Fullerton, Rick'; 'Milligan, Peter'; Smith, Elliot
Cc: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI); Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY); Huang, Jackson (MEDG/MRIS); Hill, Krista; Ramchandani, Rima; JACKSON, ADAM (ADAM.JACKSON@blakes.com); Saulnier, Brett; Feldman, Michael
Subject: RE: s.9(2) of the Reg is causing problems - can it be removed

James,

Looping in Oslers for their views.

I would be content to leave the manner of how IESO makes remittances to the relevant agreements with the financing entities. However, section 16(4) of the Act specifies that "the IESO shall remit amounts . . . to or for the benefit of the investment interest owners in accordance with the regulations". If the regulations are silent on this issue how does that affect IESO's ability to agree to whatever arrangements it wants? If silence on the issue would not preclude IESO from entering into agreements to deal with this issue then I am not concerned with your proposal. However, that assumes that if the Province subsequently passed a regulation that purported to override an existing agreement, then it would have to be addressed in the Provincial Support Agreement. For example, if IESO agreed in its servicing agreement to maintain amounts received by it in a segregated account in trust for the relevant investment interest owner and remit such amounts on the first day of each month, and then the Province subsequently passed a regulation under 16(4) of the Act to the effect that IESO could remit on an annual basis, that would create a problem.

P. 416.865.7513 | F. 416.865.7380 | 1.800.505.8679
79 Wellington St. W., 30th Floor, Box 270, TD South Tower
Toronto, Ontario M5K 1N2 Canada | www.torys.com

TORYS
LLP

From: Rehob, James (ENERGY) [mailto:James.Rehob@ontario.ca]
Sent: June-24-17 2:10 PM

To: Michael Boll (Michael.Boll@ieso.ca) <Michael.Boll@ieso.ca>; WONG Leslie -LAWDIV (leslie.wong@opg.com) <leslie.wong@opg.com>

Cc: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI) <Carolyn.Calwell@ontario.ca>; Cayley, Daniel (ENERGY) <Daniel.Cayley@ontario.ca>; Huang, Jackson (MEDG/MRIS) <Jackson.Huang@ontario.ca>; Feldman, Michael <mfeldman@torys.com>; Hill, Krista <khill@torys.com>; Ramchandani, Rima <rramchandani@torys.com>; JACKSON, ADAM (ADAM.JACKSON@blakes.com) <ADAM.JACKSON@blakes.com>; Saulnier, Brett <bsaulnier@torys.com>

Subject: s.9(2) of the Reg is causing problems - can it be removed

Hi, Michael and Les. Sorry to put you both on the spot. We're having a fair bit of trouble with an unauthorized subdelegation issue relating to s.9(2) of the proposed current draft of the Regulation. The problem is that while s.16(4) of the Act allows us to make regulations related to IESOs remittances, it does not provide the express authority to delegate those requirements further down to other instruments (which are created by other, unknown, entities via commercial agreements).

We would propose that we remove s.9(2) altogether and allow the commercial agreements to simply specify the requirements.

OPG/Tory's please let us know, but without a solution to this subdelegation problem, I think this is the only way forward.

Best,

James

James P. H. Rebob
Senior Counsel
Ministry of the Attorney General
Legal Services Branch
MAG Civil Law Division
Ministry of Energy, Ministry of Economic Development and Growth, Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science,
Ministry of Infrastructure, Accessibility Directorate of Ontario
56 Wellesley Street West
Toronto, ON M7A 2E7
Tel: 416-325-6676
Fax: 416-325-1781
james.rebob@ontario.ca

Notice

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information only intended for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.

This email and any attachments are for the sole use of the intended recipients and may be privileged or confidential. Any distribution, printing or other use by anyone else is prohibited. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately, and permanently delete this email and attachments.

Le présent courriel et les documents qui y sont joints sont exclusivement réservés à l'utilisation des destinataires concernés et peuvent être de nature privilégiée ou confidentielle. Toute distribution, impression ou autre utilisation est interdite aux autres personnes. Si vous ne faites pas partie des destinataires concernés, veuillez en informer immédiatement l'expéditeur, ainsi que supprimer ce courriel et les documents joints de manière permanente.