Message

From: LADAK Lubna -FIN & C CTRL [lubna.ladak@opg.com]
Sent: 2017-09-29 4:49:40 PM
To: Michael Boll [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=4811917e15054407b665fe16d12ff415-Michael Bol]
CC: LAURETA LAPIRA May -TREASURY [may.lapira@opg.com]; WONG Leslie -LAWDIV [leslie.wong@opg.com]
Subject: FW: EXTERNAL – Funding of financing costs during moratorium

Michael,

We're discussing the IESO's obligation to pay interest based on your email below..

Will the interest component of the costs to be recovered by the IESO be included in the same regulatory asset that the principal and other costs go into?

Does the IESO feel it would have a regulatory liability under this scenario? What are the key differences between this approach and the previous approach?

We would like to discuss this if you have some time.

Lubna Ladak | VP Finance| Ontario Power Generation
| Cell 416-578-0219 |
lubna.ladak@opg.com

From: WONG Leslie -LAWDIV
Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 12:20 PM
To: LAURETA LAPIRA May -TREASURY <may.lapira@opg.com>
Cc: LEE John -TREASURY <john.s.lee@opg.com>; RYFA Ken -TREASURY <ken.ryfa@opg.com>; MAUTI John -FIN & C CTRL <john.mauti@opg.com>; LADAK Lubna -FIN & C CTRL <lubna.ladak@opg.com>
Subject: FW: EXTERNAL – Funding of financing costs during moratorium

May – See below for a write-up of the alternative proposal from the IESO/MOE for interest during the moratorium.

Regards,

Les

Leslie Wong
Senior Counsel
Ontario Power Generation Inc.
700 University Avenue, H18 G26.1, Toronto, ON M5G 1X6
T: (416) 592-3110 **|** E: leslie.wong@opg.com

From: Michael Boll [mailto:Michael.Boll@ieso.ca]
Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 12:11 PM
To: LEE John -TREASURY <john.s.lee@opg.com>; WONG Leslie -LAWDIV <leslie.wong@opg.com>; RYFA Ken -TREASURY <ken.ryfa@opg.com>; Rehob, James (ENERGY) <James.Rehob@ontario.ca>; Christie, Tim (ENERGY)

<Tim.Christie@ontario.ca>
Cc: Jeannette Briggs <jeannette.briggs@ieso.ca>; Glenn Zacher (GZacher@stikeman.com) <GZacher@stikeman.com>
Subject: EXTERNAL – Funding of financing costs during moratorium

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. ***

All,

Further to our call this morning, below is a simple description of the regulatory model that is proposed to fund the financing entity's debt carrying costs during the period of time that clean energy adjustments are not permitted to be recovered from consumers.

1. Pass regulation pursuant to section 19(3) of the FHA entitling the financial services manager/financing entity to charge costs/interest.

2. Pass regulation pursuant to section 26(1) of FHA requiring IESO to fund financing entity's costs/interest (i.e., funding rebate).

3. Funding rebate amounts paid by IESO are included, along with the IESO deferral, in a variance account (s. 24 (1) of the FHA and s. 16 O. Reg 206/17)

4. All amounts in variance account, including funding rebate amounts, constitute a regulatory asset (s. 25, FHA), which IESO:

    - may transfer to the financing entity (s. 26(1), FHA); or

    - if any balance remains in variance account, recover through OEB-approved rates from specified consumers on or after May 1, 2021 (s. 25, FHA)

5. Being a regulatory asset, the amounts recorded in the variance account are recoverable by the IESO from specified consumers (s. 25, FHA).

***Note: "funding rebate" means a payment obligation incurred by the IESO as part of the transfer of the regulatory assets". Accordingly, the creation of the regulatory asset (i.e., specified consumers payment obligation/IESO recovery right) is contemporaneous with the payment by the IESO of the costs/interest.

Regards,

Michael Boll | Associate General Counsel
Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: (416) 969-6023
120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 1600, Toronto, ON, M5H 1T1