

Message

From: HARTWICK Ken -FINANCE [/O=OH/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=217097]
Sent: 3/6/2017 7:17:22 PM
To: CHENG Alec -FIN & C CTRL [/O=OH/OU=Toronto/cn=Recipients/cn=206590]; KING Catriona -OFFCORPSEC [/O=OH/OU=Center/cn=Recipients/cn=125117]
CC: MAUTI John -FIN & C CTRL [/O=OH/OU=Toronto/cn=Recipients/cn=932974]
Subject: Re: EXTERNAL – Comments / suggestions on ARC Package

Put in both

Ken Hartwick
Chief Financial Officer
OPG
Cell: 647-544-6985
Original Message
From: CHENG Alec -FIN & C CTRL
Sent: Monday, March 6, 2017 7:00 PM
To: KING Catriona -OFFCORPSEC
Cc: HARTWICK Ken -FINANCE; MAUTI John -FIN & C CTRL
Subject: RE: EXTERNAL – Comments / suggestions on ARC Package

Catriona,

Should we make mention of the Ad Hoc or "Special" committee in the AIF under the Corporate Governance section where we list out the committees?

Alec

-----Original Message-----
From: HARTWICK Ken -FINANCE
Sent: Monday, March 06, 2017 5:23 PM
To: MAUTI John -FIN & C CTRL; CHENG Alec -FIN & C CTRL
Subject: Fw: EXTERNAL – Comments / suggestions on ARC Package

See comments below. Let me know what you think they seemed constructive.

Ken Hartwick
Chief Financial Officer
OPG
Cell: 647-544-6985
Original Message
From: George Lewis <m.george.lewis@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 6, 2017 2:43 PM
To: HARTWICK Ken -FINANCE; KING Catriona -OFFCORPSEC
Subject: EXTERNAL – Comments / suggestions on ARC Package

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. ***

To conserve time and maximize time for input from other committee members at the ARC meeting itself, I wanted to provide some comments/suggestions on the ARC package ahead of time for your consideration:

1. Earnings Release

Redacted - Commercially Sensitive

c. at top of page 5 in third line, I think the "on" after "mission is" should be removed.

Redacted - Commercially Sensitive

2. MD&A

a. Page 14 - Disclosure of Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan

Redacted - Commercially Sensitive

b. Page 29 - Social Licence / first line - don't think we need "publicly-owned" as we are the largest generator of any ownership type and social licence would be critical (perhaps even more so) if privately held.

c. Page 37 - Nuclear Waste Management Segment - not sure if this is the right spot, but shouldn't we provide some guidance that our future accretion expenses will be lower as a result of the ONFA review?

Thanks very much and see you both on Wednesday.

George