

Message

From: JENNINGS Rick -STAKEREL [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=F9550D5F5D2D4FF68325BF334EDB0BA7-JENNINGS RI]
Sent: 26/03/2018 11:36:36 AM
To: OGBORNE Shawn -STAKEREL [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=014dd07082b1449784fa1d80e90cd0b0-OGBORNE SHA]; DYKXHOORN Sandra -STAKEREL [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=5112b6bb1cdb478ebc96e1124b3dc1be-DYKXHOORN S]
CC: GRUETZNER Ted -STAKEREL [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=c74604406a0f43bbb28d75420dba2718-GRUETZNER T]
Subject: RE: Globe story on AG and IESO

This article reports an apparent escalation in the ongoing dispute between the OAG and the Province.

The OAG had previously given a “qualified” opinion on the Province’s books as a result of the pension plan treatment and suggested the OAG would be giving a “qualified” opinion on the Province’s books as a result of the treatment of the Fair Hydro Plan. Here the OAG is reported as saying if the IESO accounting treatment is not corrected she might issue an “adverse” opinion on Ontario’s public accounts.

I believe the OAG’s statement “We think the accounting is bogus” goes further than the language in the OAG reports.

From: OGBORNE Shawn -STAKEREL
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 10:45 AM
To: DYKXHOORN Sandra -STAKEREL <sandra.dykxhoorn@opg.com>
Cc: JENNINGS Rick -STAKEREL <rick.jennings@opg.com>
Subject: RE: Globe story on AG and IESO

Will do.

FYI – First question in QP was on the AG and accounting practices.

From: DYKXHOORN Sandra -STAKEREL
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 10:21 AM
To: OGBORNE Shawn -STAKEREL <shawn.ogborne@opg.com>
Cc: JENNINGS Rick -STAKEREL <rick.jennings@opg.com>
Subject: FW: Globe story on AG and IESO

Shawn – please take a look at the transcript and summarize some of the highlights of what the AG actually said in Public Accounts last week. This is on the IESO Goreway Audit. I am interested to see if OPG came up as well.

S.

From: GRUETZNER Ted -STAKEREL
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 9:27 AM
To: CARMICHAEL Carla -PRJASURCTRMG <carla.carmichael@opg.com>; MAUTI John -FIN & C CTRL <john.mauti@opg.com>; MCDERMID Faye -PRJASURCTRMG <faye.mcdermid@opg.com>; BERRY Scott -STAKEREL

<s.berry@opg.com>; OGBORNE Shawn -STAKEREL <shawn.ogborne@opg.com>; DYKXHOORN Sandra -STAKEREL <sandra.dykxhoorn@opg.com>; LEE John -TREASURY <john.s.lee@opg.com>
Cc: KELLY Neal -CORPCOMM <neal.kelly@opg.com>
Subject: RE: Globe story on AG and IESO

Agreed – I think the fact we reached out over a year ago and provided details and tours prior to the actual audit does set us up as different than this.

Redacted - Commercially Sensitive

From: GRUETZNER Ted -STAKEREL
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 9:06:03 AM
To: CARMICHAEL Carla -PRJASURCTRMG; MAUTI John -FIN & C CTRL; MCDERMID Faye -PRJASURCTRMG; BERRY Scott -STAKEREL; OGBORNE Shawn -STAKEREL; DYKXHOORN Sandra -STAKEREL; LEE John -TREASURY
Cc: KELLY Neal -CORPCOMM
Subject: FW: Globe story on AG and IESO

Just FYI – this will be in the clips today, but wanted to flag it – we can discuss as needed in person. We will also try and get the transcripts of this session.

I am not familiar with this reporter, but no doubt this story came from an opposition researcher – media don't typically pay attention to accounts committee hearings.

From: GORDON Cyrus -CORPCOMM
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2018 9:02 AM
To: GRUETZNER Ted -STAKEREL <ted.gruetzner@opg.com>
Subject: RE: Globe story on AG and IESO

Auditor-General blasts province over 'bogus' hydro accounting strategies

- **The Globe and Mail**
- **Mon Mar 26 2018**
- **Page: A8**
- **Section: News**
- **Byline: MATTHEW MCCLEARN**

Ontario's Office of the AuditorGeneral said it uncovered irregular and improper accounting during a special audit of the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO), a government body that manages key aspects of Ontario's electric-

power system.

Bonnie Lysyk, the Auditor-General, informed the province's public accounts committee last week of problems uncovered during the audit, which began late last year and is now nearly complete. Her concerns included incorrect accounting, deceptive and obstructive behaviour by the IESO's board and management, and poor financial controls. If the improper accounting isn't corrected, Ms. Lysyk warned, she might issue an adverse opinion on Ontario's public accounts—the first such opinion on any government's financial statements in Canadian history.

It's the latest development in a year-long showdown between the Auditor-General, the IESO and the provincial government over the Fair Hydro Plan, which introduced significant, temporary reductions to Ontarians' electricity bills. Ms. Lysyk alleged the scheme's financial and accounting structure was designed to avoid reporting the costs of rate reductions on Ontario's public accounts, thus allowing the government to falsely claim it had a balanced budget. As of the end of last year, she estimated, Ontario's deficit would be understated by more than \$1.3 billion - an amount that will continue to grow.

"We think this accounting is bogus," Ms. Lysyk told the committee.

Ms. Lysyk ordered the special audit late last year following a breakdown in her relationship with IESO's management, board and KPMG, the accounting firm which audits the IESO's books. In early 2017, KPMG did not respond to her office's inquiries about any looming accounting changes at the IESO. So she was blindsided in March, 2017, when IESO published financial statements using radically different accounting policies. Those new policies were put to immediate use with the Fair Hydro Plan.

At issue is how much influence the Auditor-General should have over the province's approach to accounting. Ms. Lysyk's office has the sole authority to audit the province's books, but the government itself is responsible for how they're presented. It has chosen to disregard her advice. Citing approval from "highly qualified public servants and highly respected accounting firms," the Ministry of Finance stated that "we know that Ontario's financial statements have been and continue to be presented accurately."

As an auditor of a government body, KPMG is "accountable to us in terms of ensuring that we have no surprises," Ms. Lysyk told the public accounts committee. But the IESO's chief financial officer, Kimberly Marshall, saw no need to consult or notify the Auditor-General prior to adopting new accounting policies. "We would look to our external advisers," Ms. Marshall told the committee in February. KPMG said it had fulfilled its obligations by providing information to the Auditor-General after the IESO's books were restated.

The Auditor-General also objected to KPMG advising the government on how to structure the Fair Hydro Plan, while at the same time auditing the IESO's books. Randy Hillier, a Progressive Conservative MPP on the public accounts committee, said he shared the concern. "With half a million dollars or more in advisory fees, there might be a reasonable expectation, you might say, that their ability to be impartial and effective auditors had become compromised," he said.

KPMG insisted it hadn't done anything wrong. "The professional standards for auditors clearly contemplate and permit a partner involved in providing advisory services of this nature to audit clients to also assist with the audit," it said in a statement.

The special audit also found the IESO's pension liabilities for unfunded benefits was understated. Ms Lysyk said the error would result in material misstatements on IESO's financial statements and the province's books.

The Auditor-General's relationship with KPMG and the IESO deteriorated further during the course of the special audit. Ms. Lysyk alleged that IESO's board and management excluded her representatives from meetings at which the IESO's financial statements were approved. "Instead of saying to us, 'You can come to meetings but you can't talk,' they didn't tell us when the board meeting was." Ms. Lysyk also said her office had been provided "a lot of non-truths" during the audit.

Ultimately, the Auditor-General's Office said it was unable to provide an audit opinion on the IESO's financials due to the board and management's refusal to sign key documents. "No valid reason for these refusals was provided to us." The IESO said it would have been inappropriate to sign the documents because the Auditor-General "was not formally engaged to perform this audit."

Ms. Lysyk said this acrimonious relationship was unlike anything she'd experienced from the dozens of other agencies audited by her office. "When a board or management in any other province recognizes that an AG's office has issues with their accounting, they would have handled it differently," she told the committee "They basically treated, I think, my audit team like we were subservient to KPMG. In terms of the law in Ontario, that would be the reverse."

The IESO, KPMG and members of government asserted they'd been co-operative and transparent with the Auditor-General.

- **Length:** 829 words