

Viive Sawler

From: Viive Sawler
Sent: June 19, 2017 2:52 PM
To: Rosemarie Leclair
Subject: RE: Revised version of slides

Okay, no problem. About the linkages...I guess I was just thinking about how Theresa had made that comment when the EDA was in talking about their vision about no EDA involvement, meanwhile the EDA had someone on IASC (now retired) but their Board, recently appointed Mike Matthews to be the new EDA appointee on IASC. But I've got it now re - the connection to these associations. So with all of these people involved in these associations or on their boards - why the fuss about not having appropriate stakeholder forums?? I'll keep thinking about it and will come up with some other line and we can discuss tomorrow.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosemarie Leclair
 Sent: June-19-17 2:41 PM
 To: Viive Sawler
 Subject: Re: Revised version of slides

Ok I'm out now will review later. No one , EDA or oea has an appointed rep. We have selected ceos to meet our criteria and to have a linkeage to associations like oea and EDA. So Anthony, Steve, McFadden all on oea. Vinay and Rob Mace, in EDA board. Brian on both I think ... that's the point . Just a connection to those association so that they can be informed by them and also inform colleagues..

Dates look good.

We can chat about the rest in the morning

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jun 19, 2017, at 1:20 PM, Viive Sawler <Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca> wrote:

>

> I've updated the deck but just a couple of notes:

>

> I did look at the Market Renewal slides so I 'borrowed' some words and edited them slightly before using on the CAR slide. The references included: "Create a CEO Roundtable to create alignment at the executive level" and "CEO led forum for high level strategic discussions with key CEOs/Sr executives from the sector.

>

> Just re - the EDA and OEA. I know Vinay is representing the EDA and on the CAR, Mike Matthews is the EDA appointed rep for IASC but I'm not sure where the OEA fits in - I don't think we have an OEA appointed rep? Maybe something to consider when refreshing the RASC/IASC memberships. Andrew Sasso was partially wearing an OEA hat the other day but he wasn't at IASC to represent the OEA? Not sure how to address this in the slides?

>

> Re - rules about note taking. There is a reference in the ToR of the RASC and IASC about note taking but I don't see anything about that in the CAR ToR? As a result - on the 2nd CAR slide - I haven't done much besides putting into sub-bullets the categories and the number of reps for each. So maybe this doesn't quite cut it yet?? I have made a couple of adjustments on the RASC and IASC slide but maybe they aren't there quite yet either.

>

> Just on the proposed dates. I'm thinking the next CAR should be in Sept. or October but I know you want to launch the CEO's Advisory Forum on Innovation around that time too - is that a concern if they are potentially within the same month or a month of each other? If this isn't a major concern, maybe the schedule going forward looks something like this:

>

> June 22, 2017

> October X, 2017

> February X, 2018

> June X, 2018

>

> On the Open Discussion slide - I populated with some items from Feb./2016 - not sure if it's possible to do a quick re-cap on those or if trying to follow-up on past items is going to take away time to discuss the topics Helga suggested plus whatever other topics people might bring forward. I thought maybe when you open up the roundtable, maybe in your remarks you can mention some of these past topics to recap on if necessary or to do a quick update? Just a thought.

>

>

> From: Rosemarie Leclair

> Sent: June-19-17 11:46 AM

> To: Viive Sawler

> Subject: Re: Revised version of slides

>

> OK...getting there...

>

> Need to keep CAR separate from other forums...so change header not CAR and Other...Just Other..

> Need on CAR composition to say it is at CEO level (again...look at

> how Bruce did it for his Market Renewal slides) On CAR membership..more impact if we sub bullet the list...and maybe say inn brackets ##s)...also it does not say enough ie residential, small business and industrial....does not say under policy...environmental....and doesn't talk about important linkage to industry assoc like OEA and EDA...

> We should probably also have something on rules...are notes taken,

> are they public...I think that's all covered in there TOR

>

> Need to say other two are more operational day to day in their

> focus...CAR is longer term, strategic,

>

> I like the idea of slide with past items, and topics submitted...populate it and lets see what it looks like....

>

> We should put a proposed schedule out ie have it with dates...can you

> get that organized

>

> Sent from my iPad

>

> On Jun 19, 2017, at 11:17 AM, Viive Sawler <Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca<mailto:Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca>> wrote:

> Here is a revised version. Let me know what you think. On slides 5 and 6, I've added a couple of comments - hoping you can open them on whatever device you are using to view.

>

> On slide 4, I added a bullet about CAR membership representation. But when I send out the email with the Business Plan, can also include the current membership list.

>

> Looks like we'll have 27 people on Thursday, 28 including Aleck:

>

- > Accepted
- > Steve Baker
- > Dave Butters
- > Helga Reidel
- > Nicole Risse
- > Jim Sanders
- > Vinay Sharma
- > Todd Wilcox
- > Jeff Lehman
- > David McFadden
- > Colin Anderson
- > Dennis Hogarth
- > Theresa McClenaghan
- > Plamen Petkov
- > Shahrzad Rahbar

>

- > OEB
- > Rose
- > Ken
- > Christine
- > Lynne
- > Allison
- > Michael

>

- > MaryAnne
- > Julie
- > Ceiran
- > Lisa
- > Neil
- > Viive

> Sarah (I think Sarah is going to bring Mike D. to make sure there are

> no tech glitches)

>

- > Strategy Corp
- > Aleck Dadson

>

>

>

>

> From: Rosemarie Leclair

> Sent: June-19-17 10:29 AM
 > To: Viive Sawler
 > Subject: Re: CAR Slides
 >
 > Re last CAR..I meant items that came out of the round table that day
 > that are reflected in the notes of the meeting
 >
 > Sent from my iPad
 >
 > On Jun 19, 2017, at 10:15 AM, Viive Sawler <Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca<mailto:Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca>>
 wrote:
 > Okay, thanks for the comments. I will make some adjustments to the slides and send back to you.
 >
 > Re - the last CAR meeting, it was on Feb. 24/16 and these were the follow-up items noted in the
 meeting summary. I haven't seen anything further from Aleck since he shared his presentation outline
 with us last week. I'm guessing bullets 2 and 3 will probably be addressed as part of that discussion.
 The only member suggested items that were listed on the Feb. 24th agenda were "Potential Impact of
 Micro Grids" and "OEB and the next LTEP"
 >
 >
 > · OEB commitment to include:
 >
 > o Optimal decision making - If the desire is to bend the cost curve, then how does regulatory design
 reward on something different from capital cost.
 >
 > o And the evolving energy sector - Where does the OEB see things going and how will it re-tool to
 support that.
 >
 > o LTEP will carry over to the next session. OEB will hope to look at the related cost consequences.
 >
 >
 > From: Rosemarie Leclair
 > Sent: June-19-17 10:02 AM
 > To: Viive Sawler
 > Subject: Re: CAR Slides
 >
 > I would remove my name from title slide and location..just
 > CHair's....and date should stay
 >
 > Second and 3rd slides are good. I would add something about the composition of CAR..so that it
 shoes that it is a cross section of various perspectives...not the names but the categories...and maybe
 we send out separately if we haven't already done so this years memebership list...
 >
 > I would add separate slide to touch briefly on scope of the other 2 Ctee we have so that we can
 speak to the relationship between the 3 or the differences...and again maybe something on the
 membership...and a comment or line if we are currently revisiting or refreshing it. I would loose the
 first bullet about OEB values....etc..That is a speaking point but need not show up...
 >
 > I would add somewhere on CAR slide that Chaired by Chair of OEB...as
 > that may be a discussion point...and supported by...and here I would

> put your name Similarly on slide for other Ctee..I would add Chaired
 > by...and put VP name and title
 >
 > Loose the accelerating change slide...its really the speaking points
 > for the Strat discussion
 >
 > I think we should do a slide for the Roundtable discussion..and put topics suggested by
 members...and list Helga's ..will show that we are serious...and perhaps shame others...
 >
 > Last point...I think we need to see when we had last meeting..and what some of the roundtable
 topics were and what we committed to...I think you gave me this once already but I cant recall how I
 was going to handle it...Maybe we need a recap slide...or maybe some of it will be captured by Aleck's
 presentation...
 > I haven't seen anything on that yet..have you???

>
 > Sent from my iPad
 >
 > On Jun 19, 2017, at 9:01 AM, Viive Sawler <Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca<mailto:Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca>>
 wrote:
 > Hi Rose,
 >
 > Attached, please find the draft slide deck. I know this needs work but let's discuss. I didn't really
 have much for the last slide on Outcomes/Next Steps. I'm also attaching the draft Agenda that we sent
 out to everyone. We can update with the items from Helga or leave as is since there is time for the
 open discussion at the end. I did reply to her to thank her for suggesting some topics and I indicated
 that the first two may well be discussed during the Strat Plan discussion or otherwise, she should raise
 these along with the third and fourth items during the roundtable. Just so you have them here, her
 suggestions were:

>
 >
 > Pace of regulatory change. This has driven significant cost to the entire industry, and additional time
 for planning and implementation (i.e. OESP in 2016, OREC in 2017, Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan in 2017)
 would allow for optimal effectiveness of such provincial policy. Related to this, I wonder if the OEB can
 comment on the regulatory streamlining mentioned in the Fair Hydro Plan as it relates to LDC's.
 >
 > Distributed generation. LDC's require an industry-wide solution to behind-the-meter (BMG)
 generation, and economic bypass of the distributors' system.
 >
 > Commercial and Industrial rate re-design- status update on the work of the OEB.
 >
 > Customer service rules review, including rules pertaining to disconnections. How does the OEB see
 this developing?
 >
 > My number is 416-440-7691. Let me know what time is good for you to chat.
 >
 > V
 >
 >
 >
 >
 >

>
>
> From: Rosemarie Leclair
> Sent: June-19-17 8:14 AM
> To: Viive Sawler
> Subject: Re: CAR Slides
>
> Perfect
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jun 19, 2017, at 8:01 AM, Viive Sawler <Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca<mailto:Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca>>
wrote:
> Hi Rose,
>
> I was going to send you the draft this morning when I get into the office. Maybe once I send it, we
can chat over the phone if you're not coming in? I also think we should send out the Business Plan in
advance to everyone.
>
> I will be before 9:00 and should have the slide deck to you shortly thereafter.
>
> V
>
> Sent from my BlackBerry - the most secure mobile device - via the
> TELUS Network
> From: Rosemarie.Leclair@oeb.ca<mailto:Rosemarie.Leclair@oeb.ca>
> Sent: June 19, 2017 7:18 AM
> To: Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca<mailto:Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca>
> Subject: CAR Slides
>
>
> Do you have a draft you want me to look at today?
> Not sure I'm coming into the office. I may work from home
>
> Sent from my iPhone
> <CAR Presentation - Jun-22-17.pptx>
> <CAR Jun 22 Mtg-Draft Agenda.docx>
> <CAR Presentation - Jun-22-17.pptx>
> <CAR Presentation - Jun-22-17.pptx>