

Rosemarie Leclair

From: Rosemarie Leclair
Sent: June 21, 2017 12:49 PM
To: Kristi Sebalj
Cc: Mary Anne Aldred; John Bozzo; Theodore Antonopoulos; Martine Band; Neil Brodie
Subject: RE: Community Meeting Presentation

Sorry Kristi...just saw this...Well thought out and I agree completely...
 Hope all went well....

Ps...in future..if you need/want my immediate react...copy Neil..he is always monitoring and knows where to find me and get it in front of me....

Rosemarie T. Leclair
 Chair & CEO
 Ontario Energy Board
 2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700
 Toronto, Ontario
 M4P 1E4
 Tel: 416-440-7601
 Fax: 416-440-7700
 Email: rosemarie.leclair@oeb.ca



From: Kristi Sebalj
Sent: June-20-17 1:10 PM
To: Rosemarie Leclair
Cc: Mary Anne Aldred; John Bozzo; Theodore Antonopoulos; Martine Band
Subject: Community Meeting Presentation

Hi Rose,

I just wanted to make you aware that we plan to scale back on the discussion related to the Fair Hydro Plan at tonight's meeting in Rockland. We will keep the main slide on FHP (side 4 in the attached) in the main deck, but reserve the 3 slides that show the progressive changes to the bill (slides 5-7) only for discussion if needed later.

There are a few reasons for this:

1. Our presentation has become quite lengthy and we are finding the FHP messaging both repetitive and less important/relevant to the audience than originally thought.
2. The FHP impacts on the delivery line (which is what we are there to talk about) differ significantly by rate class. For instance, at last night's meeting in Napanee, a majority of customers were urban residential and so the FHP Benefits on the delivery line will not be felt because the DRP will not apply to those customers.

In other words, we cannot be definitive that any amounts approved by the OEB in respect of Hydro One's application will be largely offset by the FHP.

3. The FHP messaging detracts from our messages about our independence from the utilities we regulate, our review process and how people can get involved.

4. We did not, in any of our community meetings last year, speak to specific bill impacts - we normally let the utility do that and it maintains a clear degree of separation between the applicant and the OEB. Although it is certainly necessary to raise and describe the FHP because it is new law and is causing some confusion, we think we can be more targeted and move on. Also, HONI talks quite a bit about specific FHP impacts in their presentation and we are coming off as being a bit too coordinated in my view.

5. Finally, we are seeing more politicians making presentations and while we have asked them to restrict their comments to the Hydro One application, they see our extensive discussion on the FHP as an opening to expand their comments to a full attack of the FHP (and they said as much last night).

Pls let me know if you have any thoughts, questions or concerns.

Thanks.