

Viive Sawler

From: Viive Sawler
Sent: September 18, 2017 5:12 PM
To: Rosemarie Leclair
Subject: A couple of comments re- OEA speech
Attachments: OEB.OEA.Regulatory Evolution.18.09.2017.docx

Hi Rose,

I like the revised version. Just a couple of things but I will leave it with you to share with Aleck if you agree with me.

- page 1 - he refers to the OEA's LTEP submission as a 'thoughtful commentary' - not sure I'd quite frame it like that (although, some of the submission may very well have been that) but only because from there, it jumps to the quote from their submission about the need for a modernized regulatory framework, which was basically a laundry list of issues they were complaining about and recommendations on what regulatory reforms are needed - many of which we are already doing. I think referencing their call for a modernized regulatory framework works well here - in the meeting this morning, we briefly discussed, the release of their Energy Platform today - I think we should acknowledge that as well. Not sure you've had a chance to read it yet but I went through it and they have 3 basic recommendations, including one to "Lead Regulatory Evolution" - the summary of this recommendation is cut and pasted below:

- Conduct a formal review of Ontario's regulatory framework, with stakeholders, to ensure the OEB has been given the appropriate mandate, structure, and resources to deliver an efficient regulatory system that continually adapts with a sector going through technological change and innovation
- Mandate stakeholder and customer consultations prior to the introduction of any new rules or regulations with a minimum of six months' notice provided for any new rule or regulation
- Commit to an outcomes-based approach to regulation with clear metrics to minimize regulatory burden on utilities while providing incentives to lower system costs
- Require annual reporting from all energy sector agencies on their efficiency in managing their responsibilities, on the costs they have imposed on the sector during the prior year, on the benefits they have realized for the sector, and on the ratepayer costs for which they are responsible

Just a couple of thoughts..

V

-----Original Message-----

From: Aleck Dadson [mailto:aleck@kindredstrategies.ca]
 Sent: Monday, September 18, 2017 1:58 PM
 To: Rosemarie Leclair <Rosemarie.Leclair@oeb.ca>; Mary Anne Aldred <MaryAnne.Aldred@oeb.ca>; Viive Sawler <Viive.Sawler@oeb.ca>; Neil Brodie <Neil.Brodie@oeb.ca>
 Subject: OEN Speech

Here is a revised version of the OEN speech.

It reflects the feedback from the meeting this morning.

You will note in particular:

1. I have moved the reference to the OEA submission to the front 2. I reframed the public policy changes 3.. I have reordered the laundry list

You will also note that I have deleted the discussion about possible reform of the RRF - I would suggest that we save this for the OEN speech.

By my estimate, the speech is now at about 12 to 12 and a half minutes.

If we need to shorten further (by another minute, say) - I would suggest we take a scalpel to either: a) the discussion of public policy towards the front, or b) the “laundry list” of achievements.

See what you think.

Regards

Aleck