

Taylor, Laura

From: Becca Serena <becca.e.serena@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:00 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver, and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councilors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Becca Berri | Photographer
Founder of [Cold Strawberries Collective](#)
BA in MIT at Western University '18
Instagram: @[becca.berri](#)

Taylor, Laura

From: daveszol@rogers.com
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:00 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Dave Szollosy
Willow Beach, Ont.

Taylor, Laura

From: Maha Faruqi <maha.faruqi@queensu.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:00 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Maha Faruqi
Kingston, ON K7K 1P6

Taylor, Laura

From: Onur Ozbek <onur@ozbek.engineer>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:59 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Onur Özbek

[LinkedIn Profile](#)

[Github Profile](#)

University of London, Goldsmiths

Hons. Bachelor of Science in Computer Science (specialism: Machine Learning & AI)

Taylor, Laura

From: Dylan Day <dylanday1993@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:59 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely, Dylan Day

Taylor, Laura

From: Luba Khalil <lubak.2002@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:58 AM
To: Baber, Roman
Cc: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT OPPOSE BILL 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Criticism of a country is not the same as antisemitism! It's like saying that if there is criticism for Rome for doing something, that's anti catholic. It's ridiculous and an infringement of our rights. I am one hundred percent for the protection of jewish people and against hate speech and anti semitism but anti zionism ≠ anti semitism.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
-Luba Khalil

Taylor, Laura

From: Mohammed Alshalalfeh <moe.shalalfeh@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:57 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Combatting antisemitism and discrimination

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Mohammed Alshalalfeh - L5B0A3

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca
Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org
Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca
Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org
Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca
Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Nada Yasin <nada498@icloud.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:57 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Nada Yasin

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Nadeen Awad <nadeenawad@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:56 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Nadeen Awad

Taylor, Laura

From: Sara McGillivray <mcgillivray.sara@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:56 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Sara

Taylor, Laura

From: Ramzi Hijazi <rhijazi000@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:56 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver, and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councilors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Ramzi Hijazi



Virus-free. www.avg.com

Taylor, Laura

From: alshalm@mcmaster.ca
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:54 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Combatting antisemitism and discrimination

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Mohammed Alshalalfeh - L5B0A3

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca
Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org
Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca
Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org
Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca
Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Meaghan Hannah <meaghan.hannah@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:54 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Members,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Meaghan Hannah

Taylor, Laura

From: Wolfe Erlichman <cerlichman@yahoo.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:52 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Wolfe Erlichman
979 Green Bay Road
613-273-3986

-

Taylor, Laura

From: williamwonka6@gmail.com
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:53 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: An act to combat antisemitism

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Mohammed Shalalfeh - L5B0A3

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org
Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca
Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org
Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca
Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org
Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca
Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Francesca Pensa-Stewart <franny.pensastewart@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:52 AM
Subject: URGENT:Oppose Bill168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Francesca Pensa-Stewart

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: alshalm@mcmaster.ca
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:50 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: The combatting antisemitism act

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Mohammed Alshalalfeh - L5B0A3

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca
Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org
Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca
Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org
Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca
Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Hmz Brk <hamzabrka@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:50 AM
To: Baber, Roman
Cc: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Hamza Brka

Taylor, Laura

From: Baraa Aydan <b.aydan268@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:49 AM
To: Singh-QP, Gurratan; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to ammend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Taylor, Laura

From: Nagam Idreis <nagamidreis@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:47 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Fwd: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Nagam

Taylor, Laura

From: Aytha Maqsood <aytha.aytha@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:47 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Aysha

Taylor, Laura

From: Shelby Auburn <shelbyauburn@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:45 AM
To: Baber, Roman
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Shelby Auburn

Taylor, Laura

From: Alisa Gayle <alisa.gayle@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:44 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you as a Jewish woman calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Alisa Gayle
Phone: (416) 558-9065
alisa.gayle@hotmail.com
www.alisagayle.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Zohra Zekria <zohrazekria12@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:43 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Karina.Gould@parl.gc.ca
Subject: CONDEMN BILL 168 BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE!

To whom this concerns,

My name is Zohra Zekria from Burlington, ON.

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Sincerely,

Zohra Zekria

Taylor, Laura

From: Aytha Maqsood <aytha.maqsood@mail.utoronto.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:42 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168! Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Aytha

Taylor, Laura

From: aytha maqsood <aytha.maqsood@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:41 AM
To: Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168! Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Aytha

Taylor, Laura

From: hanadi bader <hanbader@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:42 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Fwd: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

>> I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

>> A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

>> I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

>> Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

>> Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

>> Thank you for your consideration

>>

>> Sincerely,

>> Hannah

>>

>> Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Vannina Sztainbok <vansztainbok@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:41 AM
To: Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Baber, Roman
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

--

Vannina Sztainbok
Toronto

Taylor, Laura

From: Neal Rockwell <neal.rockwell@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:40 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Neal Rockwell

--

Neal Rockwell

www.nealrockwellphoto.com

nealrockwell.tumblr.com

Instagram: [@nealrockwellphoto](https://www.instagram.com/nealrockwellphoto)

tel: 514 272 7719

Taylor, Laura

From: Benjamin Zidar <benjamin.zidar@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:39 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear *Standing Committee on Justice Policy* Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Benjamin Zidar

Taylor, Laura

From: Cherisse Fernandes <cherissefernandes@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:38 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-C

Taylor, Laura

From: Nadia Rehman <nadia.rehman90@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:40 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Members,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Nadia Rehman

Taylor, Laura

From: sherel hendricks <hendrickssherel2014@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:38 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Sherel Hendricks

Taylor, Laura

From: Laura Shantz <lshan013@uottawa.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:37 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Urgent - Please oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Laura Shantz

Taylor, Laura

From: alaa qamhie <alaa_totti10@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:35 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: IMPORTANT: Amend Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to review 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, and also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Amend Bill 168 to exclude the list of examples that specifically target our freedom of expression.

Thank you for your consideration
A concerned Canadian Citizen,

Alaa Qamhie

Taylor, Laura

From: Nejla Skapur <Ladii-Pink@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:36 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Nejla Skapur

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
York Centre
roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Oakville North—Burlington
effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party
Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

[Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North](#)
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

[Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville](#)
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

[Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East](#)
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca

[Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham](#)
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org

[Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre](#)
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca

[Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre](#)
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Omar A Sulaiman <omarasulaiman98@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:35 AM
Subject: URGENT: OPPOSE BILL 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: amanda yang <yang.amanda2000@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:34 AM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Amanda Yang
Kitchener, Ontario

Taylor, Laura

From: Anita Block <anitablock@yahoo.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:34 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Anita Block

647-914-0962 (c)
416-531-5556 (h)

126 Pendrith Street
Toronto, Ontario
M6G 1R7

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
York Centre
roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Oakville North—Burlington
effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party
Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

[Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North](#)
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

[Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville](#)
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

[Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East](#)
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca

Taylor, Laura

From: dalia al-khadra <khadrad76@yahoo.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:28 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear standing committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Dalia

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Taylor, Laura

From: Frederic Langlois <frederic.langlois@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:29 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Frederic Langlois
Ottawa

Taylor, Laura

From: Julia Thomason <thomason.julia@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:29 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Julia Thomason

Taylor, Laura

From: nargess kaddura <nargesskaddura@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:28 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Nargess

Taylor, Laura

From: elizabeth guthrie <bethwarnerguthrie@yahoo.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:28 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Guthrie
1803 - 22 Oakmount Rd.
Toronto ON M6P 2M7

Taylor, Laura

From: Duncan Pike <dpjpike@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:27 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Duncan Pike
Toronto, ON
M6H 2P1

Taylor, Laura

From: Adam Davidson-Harden <adavidsonharden@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:27 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Dr. Adam Davidson-Harden, Ph.D, OCT
Kingston, Ontario

Taylor, Laura

From: smadar carmon <smadarcarmon@yahoo.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:24 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Smadar Carmon
Toronto, M5T 1R1

Taylor, Laura

From: Amer Bleik <amerbleik8@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:22 AM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Amer

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Oakville North—Burlington
effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party
Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

[Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North](#)
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

[Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville](#)
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

[Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East](#)
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca

[Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham](#)
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org

[Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre](#)
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca

[Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre](#)
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Jaida Regan <reganjaida@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:21 AM
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

--

Jaida Regan she/her

Taylor, Laura

From: Jenny Stimac <jennystimac@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:20 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168
Attachments: URGENT Oppose Bill 168.pdf

Standing Committee on Justice Policy

Queen's Park, Toronto, Ont

Jenny Stimac
26 Wolfrey Ave.
Toronto, Ontario M4K 1K8

Oct. 22, 2020

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Will Jarvis <willjarvis@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:19 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Will Jarvis

Taylor, Laura

From: Wala Al-Soofi <wala.sofi@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:14 AM
Subject: BILL 168-please oppose the bill

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Wala Al-Soofi

Taylor, Laura

From: Lina Hasan <linu_22@icloud.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:12 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Taylor, Laura

From: Siram <siram.t1@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:12 AM
To: Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Siram

Taylor, Laura

From: Fatima Hafid <fatimahafid24@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:11 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. The IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, and support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Fatima Hafid

Taylor, Laura

From: Pallavi Singh <pallavi1996@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:09 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Pallavi Singh

Taylor, Laura

From: Pallavi Singh <pallaviamritasingh@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:09 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Pallavi Singh

Taylor, Laura

From: Jane Weeks <jane@smallbones.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:05 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member:

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Jane Weeks

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
York Centre
roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Oakville North—Burlington
effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party
Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

[Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North](#)
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

[Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville](#)
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

[Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East](#)
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca

[Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham](#)
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org

[Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre](#)
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca

[Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre](#)
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Palestine Solidarity Collective at York University <pscyorku@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:01 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: SAY NO TO BILL 168

Good morning,

We are writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, we are concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

We are not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. **Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.**

Therefore, we ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. We do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
The Palestine Solidarity Collective at York University

Taylor, Laura

From: Sami Karaman <sami2karaman@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:56 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168 Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member, I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom...

Taylor, Laura

From: Julia Horel <juliabelle@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:55 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against "Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act." If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

I feel it is important to tell you that I am Jewish and therefore have both personal as well as political feelings on this subject.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Julia Horel
Beaches-East York resident

Taylor, Laura

From: Laila Hashem <laila.hashem@ryerson.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:55 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Laila Hashem

Taylor, Laura

From: Tom Galivan <tgalivan@seiulocal2.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:54 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Tom Galivan

Taylor, Laura

From: Ahlam H <ahlamh@live.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:52 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Members,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Ahlam M
Etobicoke Resident

Taylor, Laura

From: Ahlam H <ahlamh@live.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:36 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Members,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Ahlam M

Taylor, Laura

From: Frankie Garnons Williams <fgarnonswilliams@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:29 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Fwd: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Frankie Garnons Williams
Toronto
M5T 1J2

--

FRANKIE GARNONS WILLIAMS

- they/them •
- speaker, facilitator, host •
- 416.475.7823 •

Taylor, Laura

From: heba ghrebi <heba.g@live.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:58 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Heba

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Nafez Attia at Gmail <nafezattia@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:57 PM
To: Nafez Attia at Gmail
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Anti-Semitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of anti-Semitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of anti-Semitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of anti-Semitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Anti-Semitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against anti-Semitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Nafez Attia
(647) 470-0361

Taylor, Laura

From: Meerab Majeed <mjdmeerab.01@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:56 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver, and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councilors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Meerab

Taylor, Laura

From: Tori Chanel <toricm97@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:56 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Tori Martin

Taylor, Laura

From: Hassan Hussein <husseih@psac-afpc.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:55 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Hassan Hussein

Taylor, Laura

From: Salah Abdelrahman <salah.f.khalaf@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:54 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Andre Lagace <ajlagace@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:53 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Andre Lagace
Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Nasim Asgari <nasim.asgari97@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:52 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely, Nasim Asgari

--

nasim asgari

Taylor, Laura

From: Isabelle Ellis <isabelle.ellis@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:52 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable.

However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Isabelle Ellis

Taylor, Laura

From: Emma Stens <emmastens@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:51 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Emma Stens

Taylor, Laura

From: Hannah Salako <hannahrhi84@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:51 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Hannah Salako

Taylor, Laura

From: Ali Ayoub <ali.ayoub@live.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:51 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Ali Ayoub

Taylor, Laura

From: Mikaela <mikaela.palandra@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:51 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Mikaela Palandra

155 Yorkville Ave.
Toronto, ON M5R 1C4

Taylor, Laura

From: Fares Burwag <faresedu@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:51 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: OPPOSING BILL 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Fares B.

Taylor, Laura

From: fadiahaider.27 <fadiahaider.27@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:47 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Fadia

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S® 6, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

Taylor, Laura

From: Dorothy Yeung <purpledinossaur@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:46 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: oppose bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Dorothy Yeung

Taylor, Laura

From: Mahwish Khan <mahwish.k99@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:41 PM
To: Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: Opposing Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Mahwish Khan

Taylor, Laura

From: Lora Elkayed <lora.elkayed@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:40 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Lora Elkayed

Taylor, Laura

From: Sabrina Fuqhaa <sfuqhaa@yahoo.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:40 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill-168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Best Regards,
Sabrina Fuqhaa

Taylor, Laura

From: Ruba Osman <rubaeosman@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:40 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Ruba Osman

Taylor, Laura

From: Shahira Desai <sdesai@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:39 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Shahira Desai

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Taylor, Laura

From: rawan <rawansomar@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:38 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Rawan Omar
Mississauga, ON

Taylor, Laura

From: Juweria Salad <juweria.salad@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:37 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Juweria Salad

Taylor, Laura

From: Aqsa Hassan <aqsahassan02@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:37 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Aqsa Hassan

Taylor, Laura

From: Christine Tisi <ctisi2012@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:35 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Christine Tisi
Concerned Citizen

Taylor, Laura

From: Moe Abdallah <moe.abdallah@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:33 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Moe Abdallah

APPENDIX:
Chair
Roman Baber Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

Effie J. Triantafilopoulos Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

Will Bouma Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

Lucille Collard Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

Parm Gill Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North

KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville

nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East

GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca

Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham

lindsey.park@pc.ola.org

Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre

SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca

SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca

Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre

natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Daniel Xie <danielxie3@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:32 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Daniel Xie

Taylor, Laura

From: Brooklynne Morrison <brooklynne.morrison@ryerson.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:30 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely, Brooklynne Morrison

-

Taylor, Laura

From: Andrew Taggart <andrewntaggart@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:28 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Cheers,
Andrew Taggart

Taylor, Laura

From: Emma Bider <emmabider@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:28 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
-Emma Bider

Taylor, Laura

From: Reem AM <rabdulmajid8@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:27 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Reem Abdul Majid

Taylor, Laura

From: Komahal Nada <komahal.nada@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:26 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Komahal Nada

-

--

Thank you for your time,
Komahal Nadanarajah
pronouns: she/her

Taylor, Laura

From: Bree Arbor <arborbree@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:26 PM
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member, As a concerned Ontario citizen, I am calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. While disguising itself as a bill against anti-semitism, this Bill, if passed, would in fact constitute an attack on the freedoms of Palestinian people.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. This distorted definition conflates calls for justice for Palestinians and charges of human rights violations against Israel with antisemitism. This bill would be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations.

Canadian politicians must take a stand against the apartheid-like occupation of Palestine by Israel, and the ethno-nationalist policies there which culminate in genocide. This Bill instead toes the line of defending Israel's actions and silencing voices calling for justice.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. The people of this province and this country urge you to vote against Bill 168.

Thank you for your consideration.

Taylor, Laura

From: Nido K <nkhal092@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:26 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Nada Khalil

Taylor, Laura

From: Afreen Khan <afreen_khan64@yahoo.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:26 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Afreen Khan
Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: ken@kymfarm.ca
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:25 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Re: Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing to you to ask you to amend, or to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed as currently written, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

The problem with the IHRA definition of antisemitism is not in the definition itself, but in the examples which are included. At least two of the examples could be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

The existence of antisemitism in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Independent Jewish Voices has strongly criticized the IHRA definition of antisemitism; they have offered an alternative definition, which I think is much better, and would not be open to a charter challenge:

- Antisemitism is racism, hostility, prejudice, vilification, discrimination or violence, including hate crimes, directed against Jews, as individuals, groups or as a collective – because they are Jews. Its expression includes attributing to Jews, as a group, characteristics or behaviours that are perceived as dangerous, harmful, frightening or threatening to non-Jews.

Please do take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration,
Ken McEvoy
Hastings, Ontario
K0L 1Y0

Taylor, Laura

From: Sam Nami <s96nami@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:25 PM
To: Baber, Roman; effie.triantafilopoulous@pc.ola.org; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia;
Subject: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Sam Nami

Taylor, Laura

From: H R <rehmanhaleema@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:25 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Haleema Rehman

Taylor, Laura

From: Fatima Mojahid <fatimamojahid37@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:24 PM
To: Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Fatima Mojahid

Taylor, Laura

From: María Paz S.Jurado <namarie22@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:23 PM
To: Baber, Roman
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Paz Jurado

Taylor, Laura

From: Narmin Mortagy <narman97@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:23 PM
To: Baber, Roman; oman.baber@pc.ola.org; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Narman Mortagy

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Shariq Mirza <shariq.aq.mirza@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:22 PM
To: Collard-CO, Lucille; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Shariq

--

Regards,

Shariq

Taylor, Laura

From: tania t <taniatabar@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:22 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Tania Tabar

Taylor, Laura

From: lababe <sofialababe@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:21 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Sofia

Taylor, Laura

From: Theodore Hunters <theohunters306@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:20 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

- Theo

Taylor, Laura

From: Sharae Weekes <sharaeweekes95@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:19 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Sharae Weekes

Taylor, Laura

From: Alaa Hamid <alaa_774@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:19 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Opposing Israel's countless human rights violations and war crimes against Palestine is NOT antisemitic. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Taylor, Laura

From: Mira Philips <mira.philips@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:19 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Mira Philips

--

Mira Philips

Consultant

mira.philips@gmail.com

Phone: +1-226-338-8270

Skype: mira.philips_1

Taylor, Laura

From: Josie Lauria <josie.lauria@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:19 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Josie Lauria

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Nadia Hawarri <nadiahawarri@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:18 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Nadia Hawarri

Taylor, Laura

From: helgam@kingston.net
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:17 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Tangri, Nina; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Helga Mankovitz
Kingston, ON K7L 2G7
(613) 546 2632

Taylor, Laura

From: Mitra FS <mitrafs@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:14 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Please Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am a Canadian citizen and Ontario resident writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely

Mitra Fakhrashrafi

m6h2p1

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Taylor, Laura

From: Abdulrahman Aldada <abdulrahman.aldada@ryerson.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:13 PM
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Abdulrahman Aldada

Taylor, Laura

From: Alicia Morgan <alicia.morgan@uwaterloo.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:12 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario. My family comes from a long line of indigenous Palestinians. To this day, my grandmother has the key to her home in which she cannot return to and is still living in a refugee camp in Lebanon. She has no rights. My father, born in a refugee camp, took refugee status in Canada to give his future family a fighting chance in this unfair world and to provide the basic human right to freedom for his children. It feels as though it is my duty to speak upon the human rights violations and war crimes committed by the state of Israel on the Palestinian people, as I have the freedom to speak freely to criticize a country and its policies. With the IHRA definition, the ability to criticize a nation for its wrongs will be taken away and racism against my people, Palestinians, will proliferate.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. There are definitions of antisemitism offered by other Jewish organizations, who do not support the IHRA definition, such as Jewish Voice for Peace.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition. When our political leaders discuss antisemitism and racism, we must include in the discussion Palestinians because Palestinian rights ARE human rights.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Alicia Morgan

Taylor, Laura

From: Sergio DA <tamaatsi@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:11 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168, Oppose censorship in Canada!!

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. We do not have any bill which would prevent Canadians to rightfully criticize other states' flagrant Human Rights abuses.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Sergio Armstrong

Taylor, Laura

From: Moe William <moe_alshalalfeh@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:11 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Combatting antisemitism properly

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Mohammed Alshalalfeh - L5B0A3

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca
Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org
Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca
Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org
Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca
Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Rick Telfer <ricktelfer@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:08 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Horwath - QP, Andrea; Harden-CO, Joel; Coteau-CO, Michael
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Rick Telfer

--

Ottawa-Vanier

ricktelfer@gmail.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Ghadi Al Ghouli <ghadi.alghoul@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:08 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Given that, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable.

However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israel's human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, nor success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ghadi AlGhouli

Taylor, Laura

From: Valerie and Mike Antoniadou <antoniad@web.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:08 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill 168 urgently

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I understand that bill 168 will come before the legislature soon. for this reason I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario. Criticism of actions of a country has never been the same as criticism of a people. Free speech cannot and must not be criminalized. Even many Jewish organizations (Independent Jewish Voices in Canada and Jewish Voice for Peace in the U.S. are examples) are opposed to the IHRA definition of antisemitism.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, freedom of expression and racism is all it forms, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary and other city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Mike Antoniadou
188 Ranleigh Ave
Toronto, M4N 1X4

Taylor, Laura

From: dima.zada9@gmail.com
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:08 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Urgent: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Dima Zada

Taylor, Laura

From: Emily Rose Conlon <e.r.conlon@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:05 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Emily Conlon

Taylor, Laura

From: Elias saba <elias55saba@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:04 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Elias

Taylor, Laura

From: Rida Mohsin <rida.mohsin@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:03 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Block Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Rida Mohsin

Taylor, Laura

From: Ghufuran Ahmed <gufran@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:02 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Please oppose bill 168 in Queens Park

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The BCCLA has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Ghufran Ahmed
208 Riel Drive (L5B 3R4)

--

"Many a man thinks he is patient when, in reality, he is indifferent." - B. C. Forbes

Taylor, Laura

From: Cold Strawberries Collective <contact@coldstrawberriescollective.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:01 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver, and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councilors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

The Berries

Cold Strawberries Collective

coldstrawberriescollective.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Vanya Dimri <vanya.dimri@outlook.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 12:01 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Vanya Dimri

Taylor, Laura

From: majdi <midris68@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:33 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member, I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Jad

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Shruti Sharad <shrutisharad86@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:42 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Shruti Kalyanaraman

Taylor, Laura

From: Amrit <amrit_hxh@protonmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:59 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

My name is Amrit and I live in so called Abbotsford, BC, the unceded territory of the Sto:lo Nation.

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Amrit

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

Taylor, Laura

From: Ngan Tran <nganswiftie13@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:59 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Ngan

Taylor, Laura

From: Bob Gillespie <bobgillespie@protonmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:59 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Bob Gillespie

Taylor, Laura

From: reyash ghabayen <reyashg@yahoo.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:57 PM
Subject: Fwd: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Sent from Reyash Ghabayen

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Anti-Semitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of anti-Semitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of anti-Semitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of anti-Semitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Anti-Semitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against anti-Semitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Reyash ghabayen

Taylor, Laura

From: Destinee Rhe <drmv227@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:54 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

- Destinee Van Dusen

Taylor, Laura

From: Faisal A Bhabha <FBhabha@osgoode.yorku.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:54 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGET: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am a law professor who teaches human rights and constitutional law. I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Faisal Bhabha, Associate Professor
Osgoode Hall Law School | York University
IKB 3036 | 4700 Keele Street
Toronto, Ontario, Canada | M3J 1P3
T: +1 416 736 5769 | F: +1 416 736 5736
E: fbhabha@osgoode.yorku.ca
<http://www.osgoode.yorku.ca/faculty/Faisal-Bhabha>
View my research on my SSRN Author page:
<http://ssrn.com/author=1685246>

Taylor, Laura

From: direction soniachedli.com <direction@soniachedli.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:52 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sonia Chedli

Taylor, Laura

From: Amnna Attia <amnnaattia@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:50 PM
To: Nafez Attia at Gmail
Subject: Re: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Anti-Semitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of anti-Semitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of anti-Semitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of anti-Semitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Anti-Semitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against anti-Semitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Amnna Nafez

On Thu, Oct 22, 2020 at 1:46 PM Nafez Attia at Gmail <nafezattia@gmail.com> wrote:

roman.baber@pc.ola.org,
effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org,

will.bouma@pc.ola.org,
LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org,

parm.gill@pc.ola.org,
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca,
KYarde-CO@ndp.on.ca,

nina.tangri@pc.ola.org,
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca,
GSingh-CO@ndp.on.ca,
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org,
lindsey.parkco@pc.ola.org,
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca,

SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca,

natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org,

comm-justicepolicy@ola.org

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Anti-Semitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of anti-Semitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of anti-Semitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition,

has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of anti-Semitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Anti-Semitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against anti-Semitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Marcia Wong <marcia19971025@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:26 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Marcia Wong

Taylor, Laura

From: Bothaina Tashani <btashani@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:25 PM
Subject: URGENT - Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,
Bothaina

Taylor, Laura

From: S Swartz <srcswartz@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:23 PM
Subject: Please Oppose Bill 168 NOW

Hello,

I am writing this urgent letter to you as a Jew and as an Ontario constituent, calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, I know very intimately that this bill will have tangible consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario, particularly as it relates to actual antisemitism.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism. As a Jewish person who values anti-oppression, justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, the IHRA definition of antisemitism risks being used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. To me, as a Jew who has many criticisms of the state of Israel, I know that this type of suppression does nothing to protect me or my Jewish community from antisemitism, and that false equivalents have been made through this campaign.

I am not alone in these concerns. Recently, the proposed definition has been considered by a number of Canadian city councils and in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general. We know that this definition is being wielded by those with an agenda that is not connected to the actual eradication of antisemitism. Rather, it is being used as a tool to stifle legitimate criticism of the Israeli state.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate and white supremacy. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and when white supremacist and hate groups are allowed to gain power and normalcy within the mainstream, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. This definition does not make me safe from antisemitic threats or violence. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the actual nature of antisemitism, and it challenges the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of legitimate criticism of Israel as success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

S Swartz
Toronto

Taylor, Laura

From: Ameer Saad <ameerex@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:22 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Body:

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Ameer Saad

Taylor, Laura

From: Bonnie Black <bonnieblack1@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:21 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Bonnie Black
Toronto, ON

"Be kind to unkind people. They need it most." ~ Anonymous

Taylor, Laura

From: Laura Tibi <laura.tibi@utoronto.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:21 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Laura Tibi

Taylor, Laura

From: Deyvika Srinivasa <deyvika12srinivasa@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:19 PM
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Hi,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Amanda Ann-Min Wong <amanda.am.wong@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:19 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

--

Amanda Wong
(289) 218-7438
amanda.am.wong@gmail.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Dwyer Sullivan <dwyerandsheila@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:18 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Dr. Dwyer Sullivan

Taylor, Laura

From: Moe <moemoeemow@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:18 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Jocelyn D <jocelyndeli@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:16 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Jocelyn Deli

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

[Kevin Yarde](#) - NDP, Brampton North

KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org
Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca
Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org
Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca
Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Nehad Abu Helal <nehad.abuhelal@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:15 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Nehad Abu Helal

Taylor, Laura

From: Arab Palestine <arabpalestineassociation2020@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:14 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Re: Oppose Bill 168

Rashad Saleh

On Thu, Oct 22, 2020 at 1:12 PM Standing Committee on Justice Policy <comm-justicepolicy@ola.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Could you please provide your first and last name? We are not able to exhibit anonymous submissions to the Committee.

Thank you,



Laura Taylor | Procedural Services Assistant

Procedural Services Branch

Legislative Assembly of Ontario

Room 1405, 99 Wellesley Street West

Toronto, Ontario M7A 1A2

Telephone: 416-325-7352 | Fax: 416-325-3505 | I/C 029

From: Arab Palestine [mailto:arabpalestineassociation2020@gmail.com]

Sent: October 22, 2020 1:09 PM

To: Baber, Roman <roman.baber@pc.ola.org>; Triantafilopoulos, Effie <effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org>; Bouma, Will <will.bouma@pc.ola.org>; Collard-CO, Lucille <LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org>; Gill, Parm

<parm.gill@pc.ola.org>; Yarde-QP, Kevin <KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca>; Yarde-CO, Kevin <KYarde-CO@ndp.on.ca>; Tangri, Nina <nina.tangri@pc.ola.org>; Singh-QP, Gurratan <GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca>; Singh-CO, Gurratan <GSingh-CO@ndp.on.ca>; Park, Lindsey <lindsey.park@pc.ola.org>; Parkco, Lindsey <lindsey.parkco@pc.ola.org>; Morrison-QP, Suze <SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca>; Morrison-CO, Suze <SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca>; Kusendova, Natalia <natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org>; Standing Committee on Justice Policy <comm-justicepolicy@ola.org>

Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: zehour.moh@gmail.com
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:14 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
- Mohammad Zehour

Taylor, Laura

From: Lauren Klein <lklein94@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:10 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Block Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Lauren Klein

Taylor, Laura

From: Erica Li <ribbitry@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:09 PM
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Erica Li

Taylor, Laura

From: Arshiyankhan <arshiyankhan2003@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:09 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver, and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councilors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Siobhan G <siobhan.galeazzi@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:07 PM
Subject: URGENT: Bill 168 is an affront to free speech

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Siobhan Galeazzi
M4V2A4

Taylor, Laura

From: aziz rana <azizrana@hotmail.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:07 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Taylor, Laura

From: wendy goldsmith <wendygoldsmit21@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:06 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Wendy Goldsmith
519 281 3978

-

--

Wendy Goldsmith

The Time is Now – Le temps d’agir!

www.teamdimitri.ca

London, Ontario, on the traditional lands of the Anishinaabeg, Haudenosaunee, Lenape, Attawandaron and Huron-Wendat peoples, at the forks of Deshkan Ziibi (Antler River), an area subject to the Dish with One Spoon Wampum and other treaties.

Taylor, Laura

From: Elif E. <elsin.erdem@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:06 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Baber, Roman; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Gill, Parm; Collard-CO, Lucille; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Elif Erdem

Taylor, Laura

From: Omar Gabi <omargabi@icloud.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:06 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Anna <tertule@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:03 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Anna Gonzalez

Taylor, Laura

From: Sam Richmond <samiam160@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:02 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

An ally to Palestinians and a fighter against antosemitism

Taylor, Laura

From: Nadeen Al Awamry <nalawamr@my.yorku.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:39 PM
To: Singh-QP, Gurratan; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: Vote against Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Nadeen

Taylor, Laura

From: direction soniachedli.com <direction@soniachedli.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:36 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Sonia

Taylor, Laura

From: Rami Alshoubaki <rami1982@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:34 PM
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Taylor, Laura

From: Cassandra Smith <Cassandraasmith@outlook.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:33 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT Opposition to Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this letter to call on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human advocacy rights in Ontario. A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance definition of antisemitism. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA's definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Cassandra Smith

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Taylor, Laura

From: Danielle Cane <canedanielle@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:33 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism among other forms of hatred, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Danielle Cane

--

Danielle Cane

Taylor, Laura

From: Injy Fouda <injyfouda1@yahoo.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:31 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Taylor, Laura

From: Hadeer Moussa <hadeer@mmoussa.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:53 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Hadeer Abdelhamid

Taylor, Laura

From: madeline <m4delineh3bbes@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:52 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Opposition Regarding Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious and detrimental consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am extremely concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration and your time.

Sincerely,
Madeline Hebbes

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: maryam askender <maryamaskender@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:51 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

- Maryam

Taylor, Laura

From: Frank Raji <frankraji@yahoo.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:51 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.
Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Frank Raji

Taylor, Laura

From: Valeria Pérez <valeriaperez301998@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:50 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Strongly Resist Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote **against** 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have **serious consequences** for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to **other forms of hate**. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to **racism**, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition **is not the way to fight it**. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but **also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights**. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Valeria Pérez, BA Candidate
UBC International Scholar | Class of 2023
The University of British Columbia | Vancouver Campus
Unceded Coast Salish Territories
internationalscholars.ubc.ca

Taylor, Laura

From: Valentina sanchez <valentina.sanchezz1321@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:45 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Gill, Parm; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

--

Valentina Sanchez

Bachelor of International Economics Student
Vancouver School of Economics
University of British Columbia

I acknowledge that I live, learn, and work on the traditional unceded territory of the Musqueam people.

Taylor, Laura

From: Ajay Pooran <ajay.pooran@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:45 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-Ajay Pooran

Taylor, Laura

From: Anna Chedli <anna.chedli@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:42 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Anna Chedli

Sent from my iPad

Taylor, Laura

From: Sara Alajrami <alajramisara@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:40 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: OPPOSE BILL 168 !

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition. We have the freedom of speech in Canada, I urge you to not take that away please and thank you.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Syeda Saeed <syedasaeed@ymail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:38 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Syeda

Taylor, Laura

From: Nyla Matuk <nyla@sympatico.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:37 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Urgent: Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member:

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I'm writing to ask you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act.'
If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Please consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism. Please also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Taylor, Laura

From: Ameer Idreis <ameer.idreis@queensu.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:33 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Ameer Idreis

Taylor, Laura

From: John H <humphreyjohn@rogers.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:28 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that this definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
John Humphrey,
20 Frankton Crescent,
Toronto M3J 1C1

Taylor, Laura

From: Hamza Chatila <hamza.chatila@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:28 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Hamza Chatila

Taylor, Laura

From: Gautham Krishna <gauthamvinod7@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:25 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Gautham Krishna

Taylor, Laura

From: Noor Kesbeh <noorkesbeh@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:25 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Noor Kesbeh

--

Truth forever on the scaffold, Wrong forever on the throne,—
Yet that scaffold sways the future, and, behind the dim unknown,
Standeth God within the shadow, keeping watch above his own.

-James Russel Lowell

Taylor, Laura

From: Gizel Gedik <gizel.gedik@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:24 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: EXTREMELY URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this incredibly urgent letter to you calling on you to vote AGAINST 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

Imagine oppressing people in 2020, because of an inability to distinguish between two phrases that entail more oppression.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Gizel Gedik.

Taylor, Laura

From: Hailey Dash <haildash1998@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:22 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

My name is Hailey Dash and I am an Ottawa resident who is extremely concerned about the serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario with the passing of Bill 168. **I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'.**

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Hailey Dash
K4M0A1

Taylor, Laura

From: Susan Natsheh <snatsheh@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:21 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Susan Natsheh

Taylor, Laura

From: Jazmine Hansen <jaemac@live.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:15 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Jazmine Hansen
E: jaemac@live.ca

Taylor, Laura

From: jada le <jadatle2005@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:17 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
- Jada Le

Taylor, Laura

From: Emma Gunn <emma.gnnn@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:15 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168. Anti-Zionism is not Anti-Semitism

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario. Anti-Zionism is not Anti-Semitism and this bill detracts from real Anti-Semitism by targeting Anti-Zionism. With Anti-Semitism on the rise we need to be focusing on the real causes and actors instead of those criticizing the human rights violations of the state of Israel.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Emma Gunn

Taylor, Laura

From: Aly Fetaih <aly.eldin.fetaih@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:12 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Ahmad Refai <refai.ahmad@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:12 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Ahmad

Taylor, Laura

From: Khalid Attia <k.nafez@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:11 PM
Subject: PLEASE READ! Regarding BILL 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Anti-Semitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of anti-Semitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of anti-Semitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of anti-Semitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Anti-Semitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against anti-Semitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
K.N.

Taylor, Laura

From: Leona Nashashibi <leonanashashibi@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:10 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely, Leona

Taylor, Laura

From: Libby Edwards <libbymcgillisedwards@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:10 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Libby Edwards

Taylor, Laura

From: Khalid Attia <skatevida101@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:10 PM
Subject: ATTENTION Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Anti-Semitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of anti-Semitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of anti-Semitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of anti-Semitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Anti-Semitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against anti-Semitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Khalid Nafez

Taylor, Laura

From: Eman Misellati <eman.misellati@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:09 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Urgent! Oppose Bill-168 Email

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Eman Misellati

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair
Roman Baber Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair
Effie J. Triantafilopoulos Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members
Will Bouma Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

Lucille Collard Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

Parm Gill Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario

Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North

KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville

nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East

GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca

Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham

lindsey.park@pc.ola.org

Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre

SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca

SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca

Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre

natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Phenthok Tenzin <pennifier@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:08 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Elise Lee Lai <eliseleelai@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:05 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Elise Lee Lai

Taylor, Laura

From: Lyn Adamson <lyn.adamson9@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:04 PM
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Lyn Adamson
671A Vaughan Rd.
Toronto
M6E 2Y4

Taylor, Laura

From: Dianne Moore <mermaidpath1991@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:04 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Dianne Moore

Chair
[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
York Centre
roman.baber@pc.ola.org
Vice-Chair
[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Oakville North—Burlington
effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party
Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca

Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org

Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca

Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Rosemary Keenan <prmkeenan@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:04 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Rosemary Keenan
Pax Christi Toronto

Taylor, Laura

From: Ben Carniol <bcarniol@ryerson.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 2:01 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: elizabethblock@netzero.net; Ben Carniol
Subject: Please oppose bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Ben Carniol, Professor Emeritus, Ryerson University

Taylor, Laura

From: DV - 10CD 699988 Cawthra Park SS <699988@pdsb.net>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:59 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

- Destinee Van Dusen

Taylor, Laura

From: peter707689@gmail.com
Sent: October 22, 2020 4:56 PM
To: peter707689@gmail.com
Subject: Please oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

“Criticism of a nation's government for its violation of human rights and international law is not racist. To claim that human rights advocacy is antisemitic is, itself, antisemitic.”

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against ‘Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act’. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Peter R Lake, MD (retired)

Taylor, Laura

From: Loulwa Elali <lalali@rogers.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 4:33 PM
To: Kusendova, Natalia; Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Loulwa Elali

Taylor, Laura

From: Rama Barham <rama.barham@ryerson.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 4:26 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Morrison-QP, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Bouma, Will
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Rama Barham

Taylor, Laura

From: Hanan Rashed <hrashed9@icloud.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 4:08 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Urgent: opposing bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Hanan R.

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Ghada Sasa <ghadasasa@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:55 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ghada Sasa

Taylor, Laura

From: Nour khatib <nour.khatib@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:54 PM
To: Baber, Roman
Cc: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Jasmine Ta <jasminejta@outlook.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:52 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Jasmine Ta

Taylor, Laura

From: Vlad Spoiala <spoialavlad@yahoo.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:39 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Vlad Spoiala

Taylor, Laura

From: Anas Khan <dogagas@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:34 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: What's wrong with Bill 168

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to oppose 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice and human dignity, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress legitimate criticism, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the ability of Canadians to express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silence in the face of oppression as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support a commitment to the rights and dignity of Palestinians.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Anas Khan

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Rihab Ayoub <rihab@live.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:19 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Rihab Ayoub

Taylor, Laura

From: Kevin Ranney <kevinranney@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:17 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns.

- The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition.
- The definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it.
- **Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism**, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Furthermore, the core of the definition ("Antisemitism is a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward Jews.") is vague and fails to even mention that discrimination against Jews is unacceptable.

Antisemitism, unfortunately, remains a very real problem in our society. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but do not support a bill that includes a definition that is both vague at its core and has the potential to threaten freedom of expression.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kevin Ranney
Guelph, ON

Taylor, Laura

From: Judith Muster <judithmuster@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:16 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Judith Muster

Taylor, Laura

From: Zana Kozomora <zana.kozomora@mail.utoronto.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:13 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Zana Kozomora

Taylor, Laura

From: Ahmad Faiq <a.h1998f@icloud.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:09 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Fuck Bill 168

I value my freedom of speech
I am writing this because I value my freedom of speech.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Taylor, Laura

From: Nicholas Sammond <nic.sammond@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:02 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Please Reject Ontario Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member—

I am writing this urgent email to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human-rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As someone who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter very carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

I applaud and join you in taking a stand against antisemitism, but I also ask you to support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your time, trouble, and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Sammond
409 Rhodes Avenue
Toronto, ON M4L 3A6

Taylor, Laura

From: Yana Sadeghi <ysadeghi@outlook.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:02 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Yana Sadeghi

Taylor, Laura

From: Sem <semicircletheninja@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 4:57 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Semhar Tesfagergies

Taylor, Laura

From: Anela Zlat <zlatica03@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 4:52 PM
To: Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey
Subject: URGENT!: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general. Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully.

Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Regards,
Anela

Taylor, Laura

From: Emma Kain-gibb <emmakaingibb@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 4:38 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Emma Kain-Gibb

Taylor, Laura

From: Rima Kotob <rima.kotob96@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 4:17 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Rima Kotob

Taylor, Laura

From: Zayna Haider <zaynahaider02@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:59 PM
To: Singh-CO, Gurratan; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Morrison-CO, Suze; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Parkco, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Zayna Haider

Taylor, Laura

From: Devan Minhas <devneetkminhas@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:47 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. **Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.**

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Devan Minhas

Taylor, Laura

From: Nadine Eltayeb <nadine.eltayeb@mail.utoronto.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:36 PM
Subject: URGENT: Please Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A few organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councilors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Nadine Eltayeb

Taylor, Laura

From: Aidan Macdonald <aidan.macdonald21@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:12 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Aidan Macdonald

Taylor, Laura

From: Cindy Tran <cindytran3000@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 3:05 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Subject:

Body:

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Cindy Tran



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Danish Khatik <danishk0803@gmail.com>
Sent: October 23, 2020 1:40 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy

Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Body:

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Taylor, Laura

From: Michael Kuttner <mkuttner_ca@yahoo.ca>
Sent: October 23, 2020 12:53 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am a Jew whose parents fled Germany in 1933. One of my grandmothers and several other relatives were not so lucky.

Nevertheless, I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Michael Kuttner

227 Delaware Avenue
Toronto, Ontario
M6H 2T4

Taylor, Laura

From: Lina Hassen <linahassen1@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 11:00 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Lina Hassen

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Yasmeen Abokasem <yasmeen.abokasem@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:29 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Yasmeen Abokasem

Taylor, Laura

From: Joaquin Gutierrez Diaz <jgdgtzd@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:24 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168 Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member, I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom...

Taylor, Laura

From: Talha <talha.mtk96@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:06 PM
Subject: URGENT: Please Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-M. Talha Kahyaoglu, Local member of constituency.

Taylor, Laura

From: Willow Wanda Josephine Dee <wjosephinedee@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 10:05 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
- Willow Wanda Josephine Dee

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party
Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East
GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca

Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham
lindsey.park@pc.ola.org

Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre
SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca
SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca

Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre
natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Jack Eastwood <djeastwood@nf.sympatico.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 8:08 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Flawed interpretation of antisemitism

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
-Dennis J Eastwood

Taylor, Laura

From: Jonathan Hollingsworth <jonathanedwardhollingsworth@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 7:57 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Jonathan Hollingsworth

Taylor, Laura

From: Maryam Shaikh <shaikm30@mcmaster.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 7:41 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Maryam Shaikh



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Hamza Saeed <hamza.saeed952@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 7:26 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Hamza Saeed, Student at McMaster University

Taylor, Laura

From: Bassim Matuk <bmatuk@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 7:20 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Bassim Matuk

Taylor, Laura

From: Awais Irshad <aitreyyy@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 7:18 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Awais Irshad.

Taylor, Laura

From: Shahira Desai <sdesai@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 7:15 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Fwd: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

From: Shahira Desai <sdesai@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2020, 12:39 p.m.
To: comm-justicepolicy@ola.org
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Shahira Desai

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Taylor, Laura

From: Mark Ayyash <ayyashmark@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 6:59 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Dr. Mark Ayyash

Taylor, Laura

From: Eyad Attia <eyadattia@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 6:54 PM
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Anti-Semitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of anti-Semitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of anti-Semitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of anti-Semitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Anti-Semitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against anti-Semitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely, Eyad Attia

Taylor, Laura

From: Thomas Brown <tbrown@sfu.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 6:26 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Thomas C Brown
507-700 Chilco St.
Vancouver, BC V6G 2R1

Taylor, Laura

From: Ava <lgavinchian@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 6:25 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Linda Ava Ghavinchian

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Taylor, Laura

From: Aakash Budhera <aakashbudhera@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 6:23 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

--

Aakash Budhera

Bachelor of Applied Science, Class of 2022
Division of Engineering Science, University of Toronto
(647) 975-8725 | aakashbudhera@gmail.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Aysha Tabassum <aysha.tabassum@queensu.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 6:07 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Aysha

Aysha Tabassum

She/Her

Bachelor of Commerce, Class of 2022

Smith School of Business, Queen's University

Taylor, Laura

From: SELWYN MCLEAN <seljmc@aol.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 6:03 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or as success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Selwyn McLean
717 - 110 Unity Road
Toronto, ON M4J 5A9

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

[Roman Baber](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
York Centre
roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

[Effie J. Triantafilopoulos](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Oakville North—Burlington
effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

[Will Bouma](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

[Lucille Collard](#) Ontario Liberal Party
Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

[Parm Gill](#) Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario
Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North
KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville
nina.tangri@pc.ola.org

Taylor, Laura

From: Helene B <b.helene@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 5:42 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Helene Bahsous

Taylor, Laura

From: Judy Alghanim <alghanimjudy@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 5:33 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Urgent: oppose bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Joudi

Taylor, Laura

From: tariq nour <tariqnour10@gmail.com>
Sent: October 23, 2020 4:05 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Tariq Nour

Taylor, Laura

From: Mona Munim <mona.munim@gmail.com>
Sent: October 23, 2020 12:24 PM
Subject: URGENT: Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

As I'm sure you're aware, a number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice and human rights, I am concerned that some of the examples used in the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils:

in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is horrific and appropriate governmental response is necessary, but I am worried that the IHRA definition as it stands now is not the way to combat it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. If Bill 168 is passed now I do not regard this as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support a commitment to Palestinian human rights and freedom of expression for activists advocating for them. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that any of the 11 examples that could be used to silence human rights advocacy are removed from the definition.

Sincerely,
Mona Munim

Taylor, Laura

From: Chayce Ross <chayceross108@gmail.com>
Sent: October 23, 2020 11:39 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Chayce Ross

Taylor, Laura

From: David Rennie <drenniem@yahoo.ca>
Sent: October 23, 2020 11:30 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely, David Rennie

-

Taylor, Laura

From: Kaushalya Bannerji <kaushalyabannerji@yahoo.es>
Sent: October 23, 2020 11:01 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely, K. Bannerji

Kaushalya Bannerji

Taylor, Laura

From: Jordan Higa <jkwhiga@gmail.com>
Sent: October 23, 2020 9:32 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Jordan

Taylor, Laura

From: z a <zainab.manji@gmail.com>
Sent: October 23, 2020 9:23 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
zainab

Taylor, Laura

From: Ardi Imseis <a.imseis@queensu.ca>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:47 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Bill 168 - Please Oppose It

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to call on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill would could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario. It would further not enhance the fight against antisemitism.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. In a democracy like Canada, no state (least of all a foreign state) should be above criticism.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Ardi Imseis

Dr. Ardi Imseis
Assistant Professor
Associate Academic Director, International Law Programs
Member, UN Group of Eminent International and Regional Experts on Yemen
Faculty of Law

Queen's University
128 Union St., Kingston, ON, K7L 3N6
Canada
+1 613 533 6000 ext. 75693
Macdonald Hall, Room 524
a.imseis@queensu.ca
<https://law.queensu.ca/directory/ardi-imseis>
[Queen's Law](#)



Queen's University is situated on traditional Anishinaabe and Haudenosaunee Territory

Taylor, Laura

From: Nawal Zahzah <nzahzah@gmail.com>
Sent: October 22, 2020 1:42 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168!!!!

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote **against** 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples.

As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I AM NOT ALONE IN THESE CONCERNS!

The **British Columbia Civil Liberties Association** has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it.

Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has **strongly opposed** its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

I ask that you consider this matter **carefully**. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable.

However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their **legitimate** criticisms of Israeli human rights violations.

I DO NOT regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights.

I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,

-

Nawal Ahmad Zahzah (she/her)
Displaced Arab on Tongva Land



Taylor, Laura

From: Angela Orellana Schwalm <aoschwalm@gmail.com>
Sent: October 25, 2020 7:32 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Angela Orellana Schwalm,
Resident of York Centre

Taylor, Laura

From: Mustafa Shahwan <shahwanmustafa@gmail.com>
Sent: October 25, 2020 2:56 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Taylor, Laura

From: Khadija Zafar <khadija.breadner@gmail.com>
Sent: October 24, 2020 12:20 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille
Subject: Urgent: bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress

Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Khadija

Taylor, Laura

From: Daina Green <dzgreen@gmail.com>
Sent: October 23, 2020 8:09 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Collard-CO, Lucille; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Bouma, Will; parm.gil@pc.ola.org
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

**

*

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel. I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it.

Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

-

Daina Z. Green

*

Daina Z. Green
alternate e-mail: dzgreen@rogers.com
19 Fifth St. Ward's Island, ON M5J 2C1 CANADA
tel: 416.203.2345

*

EMAIL APPENDIX:

Chair

Roman Baber <<https://www.ola.org/en/members/all/roman-baber>>Progressive
Conservative Party of Ontario

York Centre

roman.baber@pc.ola.org

Vice-Chair

Effie J. Triantafilopoulos
<<https://www.ola.org/en/members/all/effie-j-triantafilopoulos>>Progressive
Conservative Party of Ontario

Oakville North—Burlington

effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org

Members

Will Bouma <<https://www.ola.org/en/members/all/will-bouma>>Progressive
Conservative Party of Ontario

Brantford—Brant will.bouma@pc.ola.org

Lucille Collard
<<https://www.ola.org/en/members/all/lucille-collard>>Ontario Liberal Party

Ottawa—Vanier LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org

Parm Gill <<https://www.ola.org/en/members/all/parm-gill>>Progressive
Conservative Party of Ontario

Milton parm.gill@pc.ola.org

Kevin Yarde - NDP, Brampton North

KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca <<mailto:KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca>>

Nina Tangri - Conservative Party, Mississauga-Streetsville

nina.tangri@pc.ola.org <<mailto:nina.tangri@pc.ola.org>>

Gurratan Singh - NDP, Brampton East

GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca <<mailto:GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca>>

Lindsey Park - Conservative Party, Durham

lindsey.park@pc.ola.org <<mailto:lindsey.park@pc.ola.org>>

Suze Morrison - NDP, Toronto Centre

SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca <<mailto:SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca>>

SMorrison-CO@ndp.on.ca

Natalia Kusendova - Conservative Party, Mississauga Centre

natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org

*

--

Daina Z. Green

alternate e-mail:dzgreen@rogers.com

19 Fifth St. Ward's Island, ON M5J 2C1 CANADA

tel: 416.203.2345

[https://can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.dzgreen.ca%2F&data=04%7C01%7Ccomm-](https://can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.dzgreen.ca%2F&data=04%7C01%7Ccomm-justicepolicy%40ola.org%7Ce81da4cdc54e4a1ce53408d877b0fe5a%7C6b9fd2ec77a64ae0822a6bb9108fb274%7C0%7C0%7C637390949353111340%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=WKV5XSnrD85sYsXg0Jqdkc%2F2T9V9YXRvC%2FCp4qt%2Brxl%3D&reserved=0)

[justicepolicy%40ola.org%7Ce81da4cdc54e4a1ce53408d877b0fe5a%7C6b9fd2ec77a64ae0822a6bb9108fb274%7C0%7C0%7C637390949353111340%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=WKV5XSnrD85sYsXg0Jqdkc%2F2T9V9YXRvC%2FCp4qt%2Brxl%3D&reserved=0](https://can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.dzgreen.ca%2F&data=04%7C01%7Ccomm-justicepolicy%40ola.org%7Ce81da4cdc54e4a1ce53408d877b0fe5a%7C6b9fd2ec77a64ae0822a6bb9108fb274%7C0%7C0%7C637390949353111340%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljojMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikh1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&data=WKV5XSnrD85sYsXg0Jqdkc%2F2T9V9YXRvC%2FCp4qt%2Brxl%3D&reserved=0)

“Be kind whenever possible. It is always possible.” Dalai Lama “Sé amable cada vez que puedas. De hecho, siempre es posible.” Dalai Lama

Taylor, Laura

From: Ola Nour <olanour90@gmail.com>
Sent: October 23, 2020 6:24 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity. Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration
Sincerely,

Sent from my iPhone

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 27, 2020 1:21 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 23526

Submitted on Tue, 10/27/2020 - 13:16

Application ID: 23526

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 168, Combating Antisemitism Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written submission deadline: Friday, October 30, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Fatin Hawarri

Email address

fatin.hawarri@mail.mcgill.ca

Paste submission

Writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that

the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is UNACCEPTABLE. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli HUMAN RIGHTS violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. Please vote against Bill 168!

Thank you for your consideration

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 27, 2020 1:08 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 23516

Submitted on Tue, 10/27/2020 - 13:06

Application ID: 23516

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 168, Combating Antisemitism Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Ms Noor Kesbeh

Contact phone number

Email address

noorkesbeh@gmail.com

Paste submission

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

Noor Kesbeh

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 27, 2020 11:59 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 23501

Submitted on Tue, 10/27/2020 - 11:57

Application ID: 23501

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 168, Combating Antisemitism Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written submission deadline: Friday, October 30, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Dylan Day

Contact phone number

[6472201736](#)

Email address

dylanday1993@gmail.com

Paste submission

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,
Dylan Day

Taylor, Laura

From: Polina Teif <polinateif@gmail.com>
Sent: October 26, 2020 5:09 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Yarde-CO, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Singh-CO, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Parkco, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Morrison-CO, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: URGENT: Please oppose Bill 168

Dear Standing Committee on Justice Policy Member,

I am a Jewish Israeli woman living in Toronto, I am writing this urgent letter to you calling on you to vote against 'Bill 168: the Combating Antisemitism Act'. If passed, this bill could have serious consequences for freedom of expression and human rights advocacy in Ontario I am involved in.

A number of organizations and individuals have been promoting, in Ontario and elsewhere, adoption of the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA) definition of antisemitism, including its eleven examples. As an individual who values justice, human rights, and freedom of expression, I am concerned that the IHRA definition of antisemitism will be used to suppress Charter-protected political speech, specifically related to criticism of Israel.

I am not alone in these concerns. The British Columbia Civil Liberties Association has opposed the adoption of the IHRA definition. Recently, the definition was considered by Montreal, Vancouver and Calgary city councils: in all cases, councillors realized the question was far more complicated and controversial than they had initially believed and declined to adopt it. Even Kenneth Stern, the original author of the IHRA definition, has strongly opposed its use as a legal or administrative definition of antisemitism, warning that such use will be a threat to both academic freedom and freedom of expression in general.

Therefore, I ask that you consider this matter carefully. Antisemitism is intimately tied to other forms of hate. It increases when there is a failure of appropriate governmental response to racism, and its existence in our society is unacceptable. However, the IHRA definition is not the way to fight it. The IHRA definition jeopardizes the charter rights of Canadians to freely express their legitimate criticisms of Israeli human rights violations. I do not regard the silencing of free speech as an event to be celebrated, or success in progress and equity.

Please take a stand against antisemitism, **but also support freedom of expression and a commitment to Palestinian human rights**. I urge you either to vote against Bill 168 entirely, or to amend the Bill so that the 11 examples are removed from the definition.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely,

--

Polina Teif
(she/her)

E: polinateif@gmail.com

W: www.polinateif.com

V: <http://vimeo.com/teif>