

Taylor, Laura

From: BMcGill <bmcgill@look.ca>
Sent: November 3, 2020 3:25 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: "roman.baber@pc.ola.org; effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org; will.bouma@pc.ola.org; LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; parm.gill@pc.ola.org; natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org; doug.downey"@pc.ola.org; "SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca; lindsey.park@pc.ola.org; GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca; nina.tangri@pc.ola.org; KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; skee@ibc.ca; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; info"@gg.ca
Subject: BILL 218 SCHEDULE 1 AND 2 RESPONSE NOTICE

WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT RECOURSE

This Notice is **non-consent to Bill 218** <https://www.ola.org/en/legislative-business/bills/parliament-42/session-1/bill-218> based on the following:

- 1] Conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario were not, and are not demonstrably justified; evidenced below,
- 2] Supreme Court Ruling 1959: No public official is above the law nor entitled to immunity,
- 3] Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute.

SCHEDULE 1 Supporting Ontario's Recovery Act, 2020

This **NOTICE** is to advise that conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario prescribed in Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act S 7.0.1 (1), 7.0.2 (1), 7.0.2 (3)(1) were not, and are **not demonstrably justified** as prescribed in **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 PART I, S 1 THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS**:

Whereas Canada is founded upon principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law:

COVID19 measures contravene **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2, 6(2)(b), 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31 and 52(1)**:

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be **demonstrably justified** in a free and democratic society.
<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-15.html#h-39>

Primacy of Constitution of Canada

S 52. (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

COVID19 MEASURES NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED

1. WHERE IS THE PROOF?

There is no proof SARS-COV 2 was ever isolated using Koch's postulates the gold standard of medical testing, to prove it causes a disease called COVID19. <https://off-guardian.org/2020/06/09/scientists-have-utterly-failed-to-prove-that-the-coronavirus-fulfills-kochs-postulates>

HEALTH CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Health-Canada-FinalResponse-A-2020-00208-2020-06-13.pdf>

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/NRC-A2020-0010-Response-NIL.pdf>

No record of "COVID19 virus" isolation received from City of Mississauga, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Sunnybrook HSC, Mount Sinai Hospital, Ontario. McGill University, Montreal, Quebec. University of Saskatchewan. Public Health UK, Wales, Ireland, New Zealand, Australia. Copies available on request.

David Crowe 1956-2020 was a Canadian software and telecommunications engineer with a degree in mathematics and biology who became an independent expert in 21st Century global infections such as SARS, Ebola and flu.

June 06,2020 Page 5 Virus Existence: Scientists are detecting novel RNA in multiple patients with influenza or pneumonia-like conditions, and are assuming that the detection of RNA (which is believed to be wrapped in proteins to form an RNA virus, as coronaviruses are believed to be) is equivalent to isolation of the virus. It is not, and one of the groups of scientists was honest enough to admit this: "we did not perform tests for detecting infectious virus in blood" [2]

Another paper quietly admitted "our study does not fulfill Koch's postulates".
<https://theinfectiousmyth.com/book/CoronavirusPanic.pdf>

July 13, 2020 CDC Admits no quantified virus

Page 39 Performance Characteristics: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA". <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download>
Use of the term "quantified" means the CDC has no measurable amount of the virus because it is unavailable.
THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS.

2. CDC EVIDENCE: SARS-COV 2 is harmless to humans

June 20, 2020 Even more alarming, ONLY POISONED MONKEY KIDNEY CELLS ‘GREW’ THE ‘VIRUS’. The SARS-COV 2 virus was unable to be cultured in any of the human cell lines scientists used.

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

“Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection. No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection.” https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

Dr. Thomas Cowan is an American Scientist, Physician, and anthroposophist. He served as vice president of the Physicians’ Association for Anthroposophic Medicine and is a founding board member of the Weston A. Price Foundation.

“**The shocking thing** about the above quote is that using their own methods, virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 — even in high amounts — were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed clear proof on their terms showing that SARS-COV- 2 virus is harmless to human beings.” <https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

3. LEGAL

1] On July 06, 2020, a Statement of Claim was filed against the Government of Canada, Government of Ontario, Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, various public health officials, and Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for **COVID19 measures not demonstrably justified**. <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

2] Dr. Reiner Fuellmich is a member of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Crimes against humanity Statement of Claim: https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2020/10/04/german-corona-investigative-committee Video disabled at <https://healthandmoneynews.wordpress.com/2020/10/04/crimes-against-humanity-cdc-admits-covid19-test-does-not-exist/>

3] **Malfeasance** lawsuit filed against government of Canada includes COVID19 measures. Scroll down the page to download Court File CV-20-167 <https://thepowershift.ca/>

4. DEATHS – COMPARABLE TO REGULAR FLU SEASON

Sept 2020, CBC reported 9,200 people died in Canada ‘with’ COVID19. In a population of almost 38 million 9,200 deaths equal .00024% or less than ¼ of 1% **comparable to a regular flu season**. <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/public-health-agency-of-canada-covid-19-statistics-1.5733069>

Deaths with COVID19 are not the same as deaths from COVID19. Government death guidelines require the cause of death to be COVID19 if the subject tested positive, which ignores comorbidity, serious injuries from accidents, and are based on an unreliable RT-PCR test not intended to detect infectious diseases thus stated by its inventor Kary Mullis.

Oct 26.20 Statistics Canada reported 9,936 COVID19 deaths in Canada or ¼ of 1% comparable to a regular flu season.

5. COVID19 MEASURES EXEMPTION

Business owners who refuse to serve unmasked people exempt from COVID19 measures, not demonstrably justified, contravene the CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2,7,8,9,15, 52(1). specifically the compulsory wearing of face masks <https://vaccinechoiccanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

1959 Supreme Court Judgment RONCARELLI VS. DUPLESSIS <https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2751/index.do>

Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959] S.C.R. 121, was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Quebec Premier Maurice Duplessis had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness.

Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that **the unwritten constitutional principle of the “rule of law” meant no public official was above the law** and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his decision was not based on any factors related to the operation of the license but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.

The six judges who sided with Roncarelli used different legal reasoning to reach their decision. Three judges wrote that Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and **the sixth judge concluded the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official**.
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roncarelli_v_Duplessis

SCHEDULE 2 Municipal Elections Act, 1996

Limiting advance notice to comprehend and respond to Bill 218, limiting public hearings to 6 hours, and limiting time for public comment

1. Treat members of the human family as if they have no dignity nor worth,
2. Distort justice, negatively impact comfort of the public, and risk peace,
3. Restrict understanding and mutual respect, and prevent each of us from feeling part of the community, and contributing fully to the development and well being of the community and Province,
4. Contravene the Human Rights Code, Canadian Bill of Rights and Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute as follows:

Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19
<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90h19>

Preamble

And Whereas it is public policy in Ontario to recognize the dignity and worth of every person and to provide for equal rights and opportunities without discrimination that is contrary to law, and **having as its aim the creation of a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of each person so that each person feels a part of the community and able to contribute fully to the development and well-being of the community and the Province;**

Canadian Bill of Rights

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-12.3/page-1.html>

Preamble

The Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions;

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them, in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its constitutional authority and which shall **ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada.**

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Maxims of Law

A verbis legis non est recedendum.

From the words of the law there must be no departure. Broom's Max. 268; 5 Rep. 119; Wing. Max. 25.

Idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis.

It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power. 3 Co. Inst. 178.

Qui tacet consentire videtur.

He who is silent appears to consent. Jenk. Cent. 32

Without Prejudice and Without Recourse

Doreen A Agostino

York Region, Ontario

Taylor, Laura

From: Doreen <earthcentral@rogers.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 1:09 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; skee@ibc.ca; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; info@gg.ca
Subject: BILL 218 SCHEDULE 1 AND 2 RESPONSE NOTICE

Re-sent to correct email

From: Doreen <earthcentral@rogers.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 1:00 PM
To: comm-justicepolicy@ola.org
Subject: BILL 218 SCHEDULE 1 AND 2 RESPONSE NOTICE

From: Doreen <earthcentral@rogers.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 12:56 PM
To: <mailto:comm-justicepolicy@ola.org>
Cc: roman.baber@pc.ola.org; effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org; will.bouma@pc.ola.org; LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; parm.gill@pc.ola.org; natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org; doug.downey@pc.ola.org
Subject: BILL 218 SCHEDULE 1 AND 2 RESPONSE NOTICE

Also emailed to: SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca; lindsey.park@pc.ola.org; GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca; nina.tangri@pc.ola.org; KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; skee@ibc.ca; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; info@gg.ca

WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT RECOURSE

This Notice is **non-consent to Bill 218** <https://www.ola.org/en/legislative-business/bills/parliament-42/session-1/bill-218> based on the following:

- 1] Conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario were not, and are not demonstrably justified; evidenced below,
- 2] Supreme Court Ruling 1959: No public official is above the law nor entitled to immunity,
- 3] Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute.

SCHEDULE 1 Supporting Ontario's Recovery Act, 2020

This **NOTICE** is to advise that conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario prescribed in Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act S 7.0.1 (1), 7.0.2 (1), 7.0.2 (3)(1)

were not, and are **not demonstrably justified** as prescribed in **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** PART I, S 1 **THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS**:

Whereas Canada is founded upon principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law:

1. The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be **demonstrably justified** in a free and democratic society. <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-15.html#h-39>

COVID19 measures contravene CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2, 6(2)(b), 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31 and 52(1):

Primacy of Constitution of Canada

S **52.** (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

- (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
- (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

COVID19 MEASURES NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED

1. WHERE IS THE PROOF?

There is no proof SARS-COV 2 was ever isolated using Koch's postulates the gold standard of medical testing, to prove it causes a disease called COVID19. <https://off-guardian.org/2020/06/09/scientists-have-utterly-failed-to-prove-that-the-coronavirus-fulfills-kochs-postulates>



HEALTH CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Health-Canada-FinalResponse-A-2020-00208-2020-06-13.pdf>

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/NRC-A2020-0010-Response-NIL.pdf>

No record of "COVID19 virus" isolation received from City of Mississauga, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Sunnybrook HSC, Mount Sinai Hospital, Ontario. McGill University, Montreal, Quebec. University of Saskatchewan. Public Health UK, Wales, Ireland, New Zealand, Australia. Copies available on request.

David Crowe 1956-2020 was a Canadian software and telecommunications engineer with a degree in mathematics and biology who became an independent expert in 21st Century global infections such as SARS, Ebola and flu.

June 06.2020 Page 5 Virus Existence: Scientists are detecting novel RNA in multiple patients with influenza or pneumonia-like conditions, and are assuming that the detection of RNA (which is believed to be wrapped in proteins to form an RNA virus, as coronaviruses are believed to be) is equivalent to isolation of the virus. It is not, and one of the groups of scientists was honest enough to admit this: "we did not perform tests for detecting infectious virus in blood" [2]

Another paper quietly admitted "our study does not fulfill Koch's postulates". <https://theinfectiousmyth.com/book/CoronavirusPanic.pdf>

July 13, 2020 CDC Admits no quantified virus

Page 39 Performance Characteristics: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA". <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download>

Use of the term "quantified" means the CDC has no measurable amount of the virus because it is unavailable. THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS.

2. CDC EVIDENCE: SARS-COV 2 is harmless to humans

June 20, 2020 Even more alarming, ONLY POISONED MONKEY KIDNEY CELLS 'GREW' THE 'VIRUS'. The SARS-COV 2 virus was unable to be cultured in any of the human cell lines scientists used.

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

"Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection. No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection."

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

Dr. Thomas Cowan is an American Scientist, Physician, and anthroposophist. He served as vice president of the Physicians' Association for Anthroposophic Medicine and is a founding board member of the Weston A. Price Foundation.

"The shocking thing about the above quote is that using their own methods, virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 — even in high amounts — were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed clear proof on their terms showing that SARS-COV- 2 virus is harmless to human beings." <https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

3. LEGAL

1] On July 06, 2020, a Statement of Claim was filed against the Government of Canada, Government of Ontario, Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, various public health officials, and Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for **COVID19 measures not demonstrably justified**. <https://vaccinechoiccanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

2] Dr. Reiner Fuellmich is a member of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Crimes against humanity Statement of Claim: https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2020/10/04/german-corona-investigative-committee Video disabled at <https://healthandmoneynews.wordpress.com/2020/10/04/crimes-against-humanity-cdc-admits-covid19-test-does-not-exist/>

3] **Malfeasance** lawsuit filed against government of Canada includes COVID19 measures. Scroll down the page to download Court File CV-20-167 <https://thepowershift.ca/>

4. DEATHS – COMPARABLE TO REGULAR FLU SEASON

Sept 2020, CBC reported 9,200 people died in Canada 'with' COVID19. In a population of almost 38 million 9,200 deaths equal .00024% or less than ¼ of 1% **comparable to a regular flu season.** <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/public-health-agency-of-canada-covid-19-statistics-1.5733069>

Deaths with COVID19 are not the same as deaths from COVID19. Government death guidelines require the cause of death to be COVID19 if the subject tested positive, which ignores comorbidity, serious injuries from accidents, and are based on an unreliable RT-PCR test not intended to detect infectious diseases thus stated by its inventor Kary Mullis.

Oct 26.20 Statistics Canada reported 9,936 COVID19 deaths in Canada or ¼ of 1% comparable to a regular flu season.

5. COVID19 MEASURES EXEMPTION

Business owners who refuse to serve unmasked people exempt from COVID19 measures, not demonstrably justified, contravene the CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2,7,8,9,15, 52(1). specifically the compulsory wearing of face masks
<https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

1959 Supreme Court Judgment RONCARELLI VS. DUPLESSIS <https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2751/index.do>

Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959] S.C.R. 121, was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Quebec Premier Maurice Duplessis had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness.

Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that **the unwritten constitutional principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law** and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his decision was not based on any factors related to the operation of the license but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.

The six judges who sided with Roncarelli used different legal reasoning to reach their decision. Three judges wrote that Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and **the sixth judge concluded the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official.**
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roncarelli_v_Duplessis

SCHEDULE 2 Municipal Elections Act, 1996

Limiting advance notice to comprehend and respond to Bill 218, limiting public hearings to 6 hours, and limiting time for public comment

1. Treat members of the human family as if they have no dignity nor worth,
2. Distort justice, negatively impact comfort of the public, and risk peace,
3. Restrict understanding and mutual respect, and prevent each of us from feeling part of the community, and contributing fully to the development and well being of the community and Province,
4. Contravene the Human Rights Code, Canadian Bill of Rights and Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute as follows:

Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19

<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90h19>

Preamble

And Whereas it is public policy in Ontario to recognize the dignity and worth of every person and to provide for equal rights and opportunities without discrimination that is contrary to law, and **having as its aim the creation of a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of each person so that each person feels a part of the community and able to contribute fully to the development and well-being of the community and the Province;**

Canadian Bill of Rights

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-12.3/page-1.html>

Preamble

The Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions;

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them, in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its constitutional authority and which shall **ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada.**

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

- (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
- (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Maxims of Law

A verbis legis non est recedendum.

From the words of the law there must be no departure. Broom's Max. 268; 5 Rep. 119; Wing. Max. 25.

Idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis.

It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power. 3 Co. Inst. 178.

Qui tacet consentire videtur.

He who is silent appears to consent. Jenk. Cent. 32

Without Prejudice and Without Recourse

Doreen A Agostino

York Region, Ontario

Taylor, Laura

From: Helena Kosin <lana.kosin@gmail.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 7:24 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Downey, Doug; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; skee@ibc.ca; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; info@gg.ca
Subject: BILL 218 SCHEDULE 1 AND 2 RESPONSE NOTICE

This Notice is **non-consent to Bill 218** <https://www.ola.org/en/legislative-business/bills/parliament-42/session-1/bill-218> based on the following:

- 1] Conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario were not, and are not demonstrably justified; evidenced below,
- 2] Supreme Court Ruling 1959: No public official is above the law nor entitled to immunity,
- 3] Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute.

SCHEDULE 1 Supporting Ontario's Recovery Act, 2020

This **NOTICE** is to advise that conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario prescribed in Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act S 7.0.1 (1), 7.0.2 (1), 7.0.2 (3)(1) were not, and are **not demonstrably justified** as prescribed in **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** PART I, S 1 **THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS:**

Whereas Canada is founded upon principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law:

COVID19 measures contravene **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** S 2, 6(2)(b), 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31 and 52(1):

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be **demonstrably justified** in a free and democratic society. <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-15.html#h-39>

Primacy of Constitution of Canada

S 52. (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or

2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

COVID19 MEASURES NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED

1. WHERE IS THE PROOF?

There is no proof SARS-COV 2 was ever isolated using Koch's postulates the gold standard of medical testing, to prove it causes a disease called COVID19. <https://off-guardian.org/2020/06/09/scientists-have-utterly-failed-to-prove-that-the-coronavirus-fulfills-kochs-postulates>

HEALTH CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Health-Canada-FinalResponse-A-2020-00208-2020-06-13.pdf>

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/NRC-A2020-0010-Response-NIL.pdf>

No record of "COVID19 virus" isolation received from City of Mississauga, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Sunnybrook HSC, Mount Sinai Hospital, Ontario. McGill University, Montreal, Quebec. University of Saskatchewan. Public Health UK, Wales, Ireland, New Zealand, Australia. Copies available on request.

David Crowe 1956-2020 was a Canadian software and telecommunications engineer with a degree in mathematics and biology who became an independent expert in 21st Century global infections such as SARS, Ebola and flu.

June 06.2020 Page 5 Virus Existence: Scientists are detecting novel RNA in multiple patients with influenza or pneumonia-like conditions, and are assuming that the detection of RNA (which is believed to be wrapped in proteins to form an RNA virus, as coronaviruses are believed to be) is equivalent to isolation of the virus. It is not, and one of the groups of scientists was honest enough to admit this: "we did not perform tests for detecting infectious virus in blood" [2]

Another paper quietly admitted "our study does not fulfill Koch's postulates". <https://theinfectiousmyth.com/book/CoronavirusPanic.pdf>

July 13, 2020 CDC Admits no quantified virus

Page 39 Performance Characteristics: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA". <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download> Use of the term "quantified"

means the CDC has no measurable amount of the virus because it is unavailable. THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS.

2. **CDC EVIDENCE: SARS-COV 2 is harmless to humans**

June 20, 2020 Even more alarming, ONLY POISONED MONKEY KIDNEY CELLS 'GREW' THE 'VIRUS'. The SARS-COV 2 virus was unable to be cultured in any of the human cell lines scientists used.

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

"Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection. No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection." https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

Dr. Thomas Cowan is an American Scientist, Physician, and anthroposophist. He served as vice president of the Physicians' Association for Anthroposophic Medicine and is a founding board member of the Weston A. Price Foundation.

"The shocking thing about the above quote is that using their own methods, virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 — even in high amounts — were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed clear proof on their terms showing that SARS-COV- 2 virus is harmless to human beings." <https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

3. **LEGAL**

1] On July 06, 2020, a Statement of Claim was filed against the Government of Canada, Government of Ontario, Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, various public health officials, and Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for **COVID19 measures not demonstrably justified**. <https://vaccinechoiccanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

2] Dr. Reiner Fuellmich is a member of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Crimes against humanity Statement of Claim: https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2020/10/04/german-corona-investigative-committee Video disabled at <https://healthandmoneynews.wordpress.com/2020/10/04/crimes-against-humanity-cdc-admits-covid19-test-does-not-exist/>

3] **Malfeasance** lawsuit filed against government of Canada includes COVID19 measures. Scroll down the page to download Court File CV-20-167 <https://thepowershift.ca/>

4. **DEATHS – COMPARABLE TO REGULAR FLU SEASON**

Sept 2020, CBC reported 9,200 people died in Canada 'with' COVID19. In a population of almost 38 million 9,200 deaths equal .00024% or less than ¼ of 1% **comparable to a regular flu season**. <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/public-health-agency-of-canada-covid-19-statistics-1.5733069>

Deaths with COVID19 are not the same as deaths from COVID19. Government death guidelines require the cause of death to be COVID19 if the subject tested positive, which ignores comorbidity, serious injuries from accidents, and are based on an unreliable RT-PCR test not intended to detect infectious diseases thus stated by its inventor Kary Mullis.

Oct 26.20 Statistics Canada reported 9,936 COVID19 deaths in Canada or ¼ of 1% comparable to a regular flu season.

5. **COVID19 MEASURES EXEMPTION**

Business owners who refuse to serve unmasked people exempt from COVID19 measures, not demonstrably justified, contravene the CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2,7,8,9,15, 52(1). specifically the compulsory wearing of face masks <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

1959 Supreme Court Judgment RONCARELLI VS. DUPLESSIS <https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2751/index.do>

Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959] S.C.R. 121, was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Quebec Premier Maurice Duplessis had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness.

Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that **the unwritten constitutional principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law** and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his decision was not based on any factors related to the operation of the license but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.

The six judges who sided with Roncarelli used different legal reasoning to reach their decision. Three judges wrote that Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and **the sixth judge concluded the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official**. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roncarelli_v_Duplessis

SCHEDULE 2 Municipal Elections Act, 1996

Limiting advance notice to comprehend and respond to Bill 218, limiting public hearings to 6 hours, and limiting time for public comment

1. Treat members of the human family as if they have no dignity nor worth,
2. Distort justice, negatively impact comfort of the public, and risk peace,
3. Restrict understanding and mutual respect, and prevent each of us from feeling part of the community, and contributing fully to the development and well being of the community and Province,

4. Contravene the Human Rights Code, Canadian Bill of Rights and Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute as follows:

Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19

<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90h19>

Preamble

And Whereas it is public policy in Ontario to recognize the dignity and worth of every person and to provide for equal rights and opportunities without discrimination that is contrary to law, and **having as its aim the creation of a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of each person so that each person feels a part of the community and able to contribute fully to the development and well-being of the community and the Province;**

Canadian Bill of Rights

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-12.3/page-1.html>

Preamble

The Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions;

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them, in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its constitutional authority and which shall **ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada.**

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Maxims of Law

A verbis legis non est recedendum.

From the words of the law there must be no departure. Broom's Max. 268; 5 Rep. 119; Wing. Max. 25.

Idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis.

It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power. 3 Co. Inst. 178.

Qui tacet consentire videtur.

He who is silent appears to consent. Jenk. Cent. 32

Without Prejudice and Without Recourse
Helena Kosin

Toronto, Ontario

--

Helena Kosin

lena.kosin@gmail.com

416-871-5507

Taylor, Laura

From: Kelly Gillis <crnflks@hotmail.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 8:30 PM
To: Crawford, Stephen; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Downey, Doug
Subject: BILL 218 SCHEDULE 1 AND 2 RESPONSE NOTICE

WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT RECOURSE

This Notice is **non-consent to Bill 218** <https://www.ola.org/en/legislative-business/bills/parliament-42/session-1/bill-218> based on the following:

- 1] Conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario were not, and are not demonstrably justified; evidenced below,
- 2] Supreme Court Ruling 1959: No public official is above the law nor entitled to immunity,
- 3] Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute.

SCHEDULE 1 Supporting Ontario's Recovery Act, 2020

This **NOTICE** is to advise that conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario prescribed in Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act S 7.0.1 (1), 7.0.2 (1), 7.0.2 (3)(1) were not, and are **not demonstrably justified** as prescribed in **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** PART I, S 1 **THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS:**

Whereas Canada is founded upon principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law:

COVID19 measures contravene **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** S 2, 6(2)(b), 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31 and 52(1):

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be **demonstrably justified** in a free and democratic society. <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-15.html#h-39>

Primacy of Constitution of Canada

S 52. (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

COVID19 MEASURES NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED

1. WHERE IS THE PROOF?

There is no proof SARS-COV 2 was ever isolated using Koch's postulates the gold standard of medical testing, to prove it causes a disease called COVID19. <https://off-guardian.org/2020/06/09/scientists-have-utterly-failed-to-prove-that-the-coronavirus-fulfills-kochs-postulates>



HEALTH CANADA

No record of “COVID-19 virus” isolation. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Health-Canada-FinalResponse-A-2020-00208-2020-06-13.pdf>

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CANADA

No record of “COVID-19 virus” isolation <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/NRC-A2020-0010-Response-NIL.pdf>

No record of “COVID19 virus” isolation received from City of Mississauga, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Sunnybrook HSC, Mount Sinai Hospital, Ontario. McGill University, Montreal, Quebec. University of Saskatchewan. Public Health UK, Wales, Ireland, New Zealand, Australia. Copies available on request.

David Crowe 1956-2020 was a Canadian software and telecommunications engineer with a degree in mathematics and biology who became an independent expert in 21st Century global infections such as SARS, Ebola and flu.

June 06.2020 Page 5 Virus Existence: Scientists are detecting novel RNA in multiple patients with influenza or pneumonia-like conditions, and are assuming that the detection of RNA (which is believed to be wrapped in proteins to form an RNA virus, as coronaviruses are believed to be) is equivalent to isolation of the virus. It is not, and one of the groups of scientists was honest enough to admit this: “we did not perform tests for detecting infectious virus in blood” [2]

Another paper quietly admitted “our study does not fulfill Koch’s postulates”. <https://theinfectiousmyth.com/book/CoronavirusPanic.pdf>

July 13, 2020 CDC Admits no quantified virus

Page 39 Performance Characteristics: “Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA”. <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download> Use of the term “quantified” means the CDC has no measurable amount of the virus because it is unavailable. THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS.

2. CDC EVIDENCE: SARS-COV 2 is harmless to humans

June 20, 2020 Even more alarming, ONLY POISONED MONKEY KIDNEY CELLS ‘GREW’ THE ‘VIRUS’. The SARS-COV 2 virus was unable to be cultured in any of the human cell lines scientists used.

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

“Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection. No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection.” https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

Dr. Thomas Cowan is an American Scientist, Physician, and anthroposophist. He served as vice president of the Physicians’ Association for Anthroposophic Medicine and is a founding board member of the Weston A. Price Foundation.

“**The shocking thing** about the above quote is that using their own methods, virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 — even in high amounts — were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed clear proof on their terms showing that SARS-COV- 2 virus is harmless to human beings.” <https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

3. **LEGAL**

1] On July 06, 2020, a Statement of Claim was filed against the Government of Canada, Government of Ontario, Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, various public health officials, and Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for **COVID19 measures not demonstrably justified**. <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

2] Dr. Reiner Fuellmich is a member of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Crimes against humanity Statement of Claim: https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2020/10/04/german-corona-investigative-committee Video disabled at <https://healthandmoneynews.wordpress.com/2020/10/04/crimes-against-humanity-cdc-admits-covid19-test-does-not-exist/>

3] **Malfeasance** lawsuit filed against government of Canada includes COVID19 measures. Scroll down the page to download Court File CV-20-167 <https://thepowershift.ca/>

4. **DEATHS – COMPARABLE TO REGULAR FLU SEASON**

Sept 2020, CBC reported 9,200 people died in Canada ‘with’ COVID19. In a population of almost 38 million 9,200 deaths equal .00024% or less than 1/4 of 1% **comparable to a regular flu season**. <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/public-health-agency-of-canada-covid-19-statistics-1.5733069>

Deaths with COVID19 are not the same as deaths from COVID19. Government death guidelines require the cause of death to be COVID19 if the subject tested positive, which ignores comorbidity, serious injuries from accidents, and are based on an unreliable RT-PCR test not intended to detect infectious diseases thus stated by its inventor Kary Mullis.

Oct 26.20 Statistics Canada reported 9,936 COVID19 deaths in Canada or 1/4 of 1% comparable to a regular flu season.

5. **COVID19 MEASURES EXEMPTION**

Business owners who refuse to serve unmasked people exempt from COVID19 measures, not demonstrably justified, contravene the CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2,7,8,9,15, 52(1). specifically the

compulsory wearing of face masks <https://vaccinechoiccanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

1959 Supreme Court Judgment RONCARELLI VS. DUPLESSIS <https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2751/index.do>

Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959] S.C.R. 121, was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Quebec Premier Maurice Duplessis had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness.

Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that **the unwritten constitutional principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law** and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his decision was not based on any factors related to the operation of the license but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.

The six judges who sided with Roncarelli used different legal reasoning to reach their decision. Three judges wrote that Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and **the sixth judge concluded the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official.** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roncarelli_v_Duplessis

SCHEDULE 2 Municipal Elections Act, 1996

Limiting advance notice to comprehend and respond to Bill 218, limiting public hearings to 6 hours, and limiting time for public comment

1. Treat members of the human family as if they have no dignity nor worth,
2. Distort justice, negatively impact comfort of the public, and risk peace,
3. Restrict understanding and mutual respect, and prevent each of us from feeling part of the community, and contributing fully to the development and well being of the community and Province,
4. Contravene the Human Rights Code, Canadian Bill of Rights and Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute as follows:

Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19

<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90h19>

Preamble

And Whereas it is public policy in Ontario to recognize the dignity and worth of every person and to provide for equal rights and opportunities without discrimination that is contrary to law, and **having as its aim the creation of a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of each person so that each person feels a part of the community and able to contribute fully to the development and well-being of the community and the Province;**

Canadian Bill of Rights

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-12.3/page-1.html>

Preamble

The Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions;

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them, in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its

constitutional authority and which shall **ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada.**

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Maxims of Law

A verbis legis non est recedendum.

From the words of the law there must be no departure. Broom's Max. 268; 5 Rep. 119; Wing. Max. 25.

Idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis.

It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power. 3 Co. Inst. 178.

Qui tacet consentire videtur.

He who is silent appears to consent. Jenk. Cent. 32

Without Prejudice and Without Recourse

Kelly L Makowski

Halton Region, Ontario

Taylor, Laura

From: Lynn Clyde <lynnclde9@gmail.com>
Sent: November 4, 2020 5:58 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Downey, Doug; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; skee@ibc.ca; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; info@gg.ca
Subject: Bill 218

WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT RECOURSE

This Notice is non-consent to Bill 218 <https://www.ola.org/en/legislative-business/bills/parliament-42/session-1/bill-218> based on the following:

- 1] Conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario were not, and are not demonstrably justified; evidenced below,
- 2] Supreme Court Ruling 1959: No public official is above the law nor entitled to immunity,
- 3] Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute.

SCHEDULE 1 Supporting Ontario's Recovery Act, 2020

This NOTICE is to advise that conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario prescribed in Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act S 7.0.1 (1), 7.0.2 (1), 7.0.2 (3)(1) were not, and are not demonstrably justified as prescribed in CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 PART I, S 1 THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS:

Whereas Canada is founded upon principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law:

COVID19 measures contravene CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2, 6(2)(b), 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31 and 52(1):

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society. <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-15.html#h-39>

Primacy of Constitution of Canada

S 52. (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

- (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
- (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

COVID19 MEASURES NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED

WHERE IS THE PROOF?

There is no proof SARS-COV 2 was ever isolated using Koch's postulates the gold standard of medical testing, to prove it causes a disease called COVID19. <https://off-guardian.org/2020/06/09/scientists-have-utterly-failed-to-prove-that-the-coronavirus-fulfills-kochs-postulates>

HEALTH CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Health-Canada-FinalResponse-A-2020-00208-2020-06-13.pdf>

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/NRC-A2020-0010-Response-NIL.pdf>

No record of "COVID19 virus" isolation received from City of Mississauga, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Sunnybrook HSC, Mount Sinai Hospital, Ontario. McGill University, Montreal, Quebec. University of Saskatchewan. Public Health UK, Wales, Ireland, New Zealand, Australia. Copies available on request.

David Crowe 1956-2020 was a Canadian software and telecommunications engineer with a degree in mathematics and biology who became an independent expert in 21st Century global infections such as SARS, Ebola and flu.

June 06.2020 Page 5 Virus Existence: Scientists are detecting novel RNA in multiple patients with influenza or pneumonia-like conditions, and are assuming that the detection of RNA (which is believed to be wrapped in proteins to form an RNA virus, as coronaviruses are believed to be) is equivalent to isolation of the virus. It is not, and one of the groups of scientists was honest enough to admit this: "we did not perform tests for detecting infectious virus in blood" [2]

Another paper quietly admitted "our study does not fulfill Koch's postulates". <https://theinfectiousmyth.com/book/CoronavirusPanic.pdf>

July 13, 2020 CDC Admits no quantified virus

Page 39 Performance Characteristics: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA". <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download> Use of the term "quantified" means the CDC has no measurable amount of the virus because it is unavailable. THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS.

CDC EVIDENCE: SARS-COV 2 is harmless to humans

June 20, 2020 Even more alarming, ONLY POISONED MONKEY KIDNEY CELLS 'GREW' THE 'VIRUS'. The SARS-COV 2 virus was unable to be cultured in any of the human cell lines scientists used.

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

"Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection. No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection." https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

Dr. Thomas Cowan is an American Scientist, Physician, and anthroposophist. He served as vice president of the Physicians' Association for Anthroposophic Medicine and is a founding board member of the Weston A. Price Foundation.

"The shocking thing about the above quote is that using their own methods, virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 — even in high amounts — were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed clear proof on their terms showing that SARS-COV- 2 virus is harmless to human beings." <https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

3. LEGAL

1] On July 06, 2020, a Statement of Claim was filed against the Government of Canada, Government of Ontario, Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, various public health officials, and Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for COVID19 measures not demonstrably justified. <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

2] Dr. Reiner Fuellmich is a member of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Crimes against humanity Statement of Claim: https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2020/10/04/german-corona-investigative-committee Video disabled at <https://healthandmoneynews.wordpress.com/2020/10/04/crimes-against-humanity-cdc-admits-covid19-test-does-not-exist/>

3] Malfeasance lawsuit filed against government of Canada includes COVID19 measures. Scroll down the page to download Court File CV-20-167 <https://thepowershift.ca/>

DEATHS – COMPARABLE TO REGULAR FLU SEASON

Sept 2020, CBC reported 9,200 people died in Canada 'with' COVID19. In a population of almost 38 million 9,200 deaths equal .00024% or less than ¼ of 1% comparable to a regular flu season. <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/public-health-agency-of-canada-covid-19-statistics-1.5733069>

Deaths with COVID19 are not the same as deaths from COVID19. Government death guidelines require the cause of death to be COVID19 if the subject tested positive, which ignores comorbidity, serious injuries from accidents, and are based on an unreliable RT-PCR test not intended to detect infectious diseases thus stated by its inventor Kary Mullis.

Oct 26.20 Statistics Canada reported 9,936 COVID19 deaths in Canada or ¼ of 1% comparable to a regular flu season.

COVID19 MEASURES EXEMPTION

Business owners who refuse to serve unmasked people exempt from COVID19 measures, not demonstrably justified, contravene the CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2,7,8,9,15, 52(1). specifically the compulsory wearing of face masks <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

1959 Supreme Court Judgment RONCARELLI VS. DUPLESSIS <https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2751/index.do>

Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959] S.C.R. 121, was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Quebec Premier Maurice Duplessis had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness.

Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that the unwritten constitutional principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his decision was not based on any factors related to the operation of the license but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.

The six judges who sided with Roncarelli used different legal reasoning to reach their decision. Three judges wrote that Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and the sixth judge concluded the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roncarelli_v_Duplessis

SCHEDULE 2 Municipal Elections Act, 1996

Limiting advance notice to comprehend and respond to Bill 218, limiting public hearings to 6 hours, and limiting time for public comment

Treat members of the human family as if they have no dignity nor worth,
Distort justice, negatively impact comfort of the public, and risk peace,
Restrict understanding and mutual respect, and prevent each of us from feeling part of the community, and contributing fully to the development and well being of the community and Province,
Contravene the Human Rights Code, Canadian Bill of Rights and Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute as follows:
Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19
<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90h19>
Preamble

And Whereas it is public policy in Ontario to recognize the dignity and worth of every person and to provide for equal rights and opportunities without discrimination that is contrary to law, and having as its aim the creation of a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of each person so that each person feels a part of the community and able to contribute fully to the development and well-being of the community and the Province;

Canadian Bill of Rights
<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-12.3/page-1.html>
Preamble

The Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions;

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them, in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its constitutional authority and which shall ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada.

CRIMINAL CODE
<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>
Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

- (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
- (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Maxims of Law

A verbis legis non est recedendum.

From the words of the law there must be no departure. Broom's Max. 268; 5 Rep. 119; Wing. Max. 25.

Idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis.

It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power. 3 Co. Inst. 178.

Qui tacet consentire videtur.

He who is silent appears to consent. Jenk. Cent. 32

Without Prejudice and Without Recourse

Lynn Clyde



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Mike Nelson <russett.harmony@gmail.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 8:19 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Downey, Doug
Subject: BILL 218 SCHEDULE 1 AND 2 RESPONSE NOTICE

WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT RECOURSE

This Notice is **non-consent to Bill 218** <https://www.ola.org/en/legislative-business/bills/parliament-42/session-1/bill-218> based on the following:

- 1] Conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario were not, and are not demonstrably justified; evidenced below,
- 2] Supreme Court Ruling 1959: No public official is above the law nor entitled to immunity,
- 3] Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute.

SCHEDULE 1 Supporting Ontario's Recovery Act, 2020

This **NOTICE** is to advise that conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario prescribed in Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act S 7.0.1 (1), 7.0.2 (1), 7.0.2 (3)(1) were not, and are **not demonstrably justified** as prescribed in **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** PART I, S 1 **THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS:**

Whereas Canada is founded upon principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law:

COVID19 measures contravene **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** S 2, 6(2)(b), 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31 and 52(1):

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be **demonstrably justified** in a free and democratic society. <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-15.html#h-39>

Primacy of Constitution of Canada

S 52. (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

COVID19 MEASURES NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED

1. WHERE IS THE PROOF?

There is no proof SARS-COV 2 was ever isolated using Koch's postulates the gold standard of medical testing, to prove it causes a disease called COVID19. <https://off-guardian.org/2020/06/09/scientists-have-utterly-failed-to-prove-that-the-coronavirus-fulfills-kochs-postulates>



HEALTH CANADA

No record of “COVID-19 virus” isolation. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Health-Canada-FinalResponse-A-2020-00208-2020-06-13.pdf>

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CANADA

No record of “COVID-19 virus” isolation <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/NRC-A2020-0010-Response-NIL.pdf>

No record of “COVID19 virus” isolation received from City of Mississauga, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Sunnybrook HSC, Mount Sinai Hospital, Ontario. McGill University, Montreal, Quebec. University of Saskatchewan. Public Health UK, Wales, Ireland, New Zealand, Australia. Copies available on request.

David Crowe 1956-2020 was a Canadian software and telecommunications engineer with a degree in mathematics and biology who became an independent expert in 21st Century global infections such as SARS, Ebola and flu.

June 06.2020 Page 5 Virus Existence: Scientists are detecting novel RNA in multiple patients with influenza or pneumonia-like conditions, and are assuming that the detection of RNA (which is believed to be wrapped in proteins to form an RNA virus, as coronaviruses are believed to be) is equivalent to isolation of the virus. It is not, and one of the groups of scientists was honest enough to admit this: “we did not perform tests for detecting infectious virus in blood” [2]

Another paper quietly admitted “our study does not fulfill Koch’s postulates”. <https://theinfectiousmyth.com/book/CoronavirusPanic.pdf>

July 13, 2020 CDC Admits no quantified virus

Page 39 Performance Characteristics: “Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA”. <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download> Use of the term “quantified” means the CDC has no measurable amount of the virus because it is unavailable. THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS.

2. CDC EVIDENCE: SARS-COV 2 is harmless to humans

June 20, 2020 Even more alarming, ONLY POISONED MONKEY KIDNEY CELLS ‘GREW’ THE ‘VIRUS’. The SARS-COV 2 virus was unable to be cultured in any of the human cell lines scientists used.

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

“Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection. No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection.” https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

Dr. Thomas Cowan is an American Scientist, Physician, and anthroposophist. He served as vice president of the Physicians’ Association for Anthroposophic Medicine and is a founding board member of the Weston A. Price Foundation.

“**The shocking thing** about the above quote is that using their own methods, virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 — even in high amounts — were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed clear proof on their terms showing that SARS-COV- 2 virus is harmless to human beings.” <https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

3. **LEGAL**

1] On July 06, 2020, a Statement of Claim was filed against the Government of Canada, Government of Ontario, Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, various public health officials, and Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for **COVID19 measures not demonstrably justified**. <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

2] Dr. Reiner Fuellmich is a member of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Crimes against humanity Statement of Claim: https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2020/10/04/german-corona-investigative-committee Video disabled at <https://healthandmoneynews.wordpress.com/2020/10/04/crimes-against-humanity-cdc-admits-covid19-test-does-not-exist/>

3] **Malfeasance** lawsuit filed against government of Canada includes COVID19 measures. Scroll down the page to download Court File CV-20-167 <https://thepowershift.ca/>

4. **DEATHS – COMPARABLE TO REGULAR FLU SEASON**

Sept 2020, CBC reported 9,200 people died in Canada ‘with’ COVID19. In a population of almost 38 million 9,200 deaths equal .00024% or less than 1/4 of 1% **comparable to a regular flu season**. <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/public-health-agency-of-canada-covid-19-statistics-1.5733069>

Deaths with COVID19 are not the same as deaths from COVID19. Government death guidelines require the cause of death to be COVID19 if the subject tested positive, which ignores comorbidity, serious injuries from accidents, and are based on an unreliable RT-PCR test not intended to detect infectious diseases thus stated by its inventor Kary Mullis.

Oct 26.20 Statistics Canada reported 9,936 COVID19 deaths in Canada or 1/4 of 1% comparable to a regular flu season.

5. **COVID19 MEASURES EXEMPTION**

Business owners who refuse to serve unmasked people exempt from COVID19 measures, not demonstrably justified, contravene the CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2,7,8,9,15, 52(1). specifically the

compulsory wearing of face masks <https://vaccinechoiccanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

1959 Supreme Court Judgment RONCARELLI VS. DUPLESSIS <https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2751/index.do>

Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959] S.C.R. 121, was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Quebec Premier Maurice Duplessis had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness.

Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that **the unwritten constitutional principle of the “rule of law” meant no public official was above the law** and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his decision was not based on any factors related to the operation of the license but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.

The six judges who sided with Roncarelli used different legal reasoning to reach their decision. Three judges wrote that Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and **the sixth judge concluded the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official.** https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roncarelli_v_Duplessis

SCHEDULE 2 Municipal Elections Act, 1996

Limiting advance notice to comprehend and respond to Bill 218, limiting public hearings to 6 hours, and limiting time for public comment

1. Treat members of the human family as if they have no dignity nor worth,
2. Distort justice, negatively impact comfort of the public, and risk peace,
3. Restrict understanding and mutual respect, and prevent each of us from feeling part of the community, and contributing fully to the development and well being of the community and Province,
4. Contravene the Human Rights Code, Canadian Bill of Rights and Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute as follows:

Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19

<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90h19>

Preamble

And Whereas it is public policy in Ontario to recognize the dignity and worth of every person and to provide for equal rights and opportunities without discrimination that is contrary to law, and **having as its aim the creation of a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of each person so that each person feels a part of the community and able to contribute fully to the development and well-being of the community and the Province;**

Canadian Bill of Rights

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-12.3/page-1.html>

Preamble

The Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions;

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them, in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its

constitutional authority and which shall **ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada.**

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Maxims of Law

A verbis legis non est recedendum.

From the words of the law there must be no departure. Broom's Max. 268; 5 Rep. 119; Wing. Max. 25.

Idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis.

It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power. 3 Co. Inst. 178.

Qui tacet consentire videtur.

He who is silent appears to consent. Jenk. Cent. 32

Without Prejudice and Without Recourse

--

Cheers

Mike

Regards

Mike & Carol Nelson

Russett Harmony Vizsla's



Virus-free. www.avg.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Nick Kosonic <nkosonic@hotmail.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 6:40 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; skee@ibc.ca; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; info@gg.ca; Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Downey, Doug
Subject: Do you think we are sleep? We are quite Awake and watching,,,,,

Subject: BILL 218 SCHEDULE 1 AND 2 RESPONSE NOTICE
AND WITHOUT RECOURSE

This Notice is **non-consent to Bill 218** <https://www.ola.org/en/legislative-business/bills/parliament-42/session-1/bill-218> based on the following:

- 1] Conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario were not, and are not demonstrably justified; evidenced below,
- 2] Supreme Court Ruling 1959: No public official is above the law nor entitled to immunity,
- 3] Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute.

SCHEDULE 1 Supporting Ontario's Recovery Act, 2020

This **NOTICE** is to advise that conditions to declare an emergency in Ontario prescribed in Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act S 7.0.1 (1), 7.0.2 (1), 7.0.2 (3)(1) were not, and are **not demonstrably justified** as prescribed in **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** PART I, S 1 **THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS:**

Whereas Canada is founded upon principles that recognize the supremacy of God and the rule of law:

COVID19 measures contravene **CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982** S 2, 6(2)(b), 7, 8, 9, 12, 15, 26, 31 and 52(1):

The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be **demonstrably justified** in a free and democratic society. <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/const/page-15.html#h-39>

Primacy of Constitution of Canada

S 52. (1) The Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect.

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

COVID19 MEASURES NOT DEMONSTRABLY JUSTIFIED

1. **WHERE IS THE PROOF?**

There is no proof SARS-COV 2 was ever isolated using Koch's postulates the gold standard of medical testing, to prove it causes a disease called COVID19. <https://off-guardian.org/2020/06/09/scientists-have-utterly-failed-to-prove-that-the-coronavirus-fulfills-kochs-postulates>



HEALTH CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation. <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Health-Canada-FinalResponse-A-2020-00208-2020-06-13.pdf>

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL CANADA

No record of "COVID-19 virus" isolation <https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/NRC-A2020-0010-Response-NIL.pdf>

No record of "COVID19 virus" isolation received from City of Mississauga, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Sunnybrook HSC, Mount Sinai Hospital, Ontario. McGill University, Montreal, Quebec. University of Saskatchewan. Public Health UK, Wales, Ireland, New Zealand, Australia. Copies available on request.

David Crowe 1956-2020 was a Canadian software and telecommunications engineer with a degree in mathematics and biology who became an independent expert in 21st Century global infections such as SARS, Ebola and flu.

June 06.2020 Page 5 Virus Existence: Scientists are detecting novel RNA in multiple patients with influenza or pneumonia-like conditions, and are assuming that the detection of RNA (which is believed to be wrapped in proteins to form an RNA virus, as coronaviruses are believed to be) is equivalent to isolation of the virus. It is not, and one of the groups of scientists was honest enough to admit this: "we did not perform tests for detecting infectious virus in blood" [2]

Another paper quietly admitted "our study does not fulfill Koch's postulates". <https://theinfectiousmyth.com/book/CoronavirusPanic.pdf>

July 13, 2020 CDC Admits no quantified virus

Page 39 Performance Characteristics: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA". <https://www.fda.gov/media/134922/download> Use of the term "quantified" means the CDC has no measurable amount of the virus because it is unavailable. THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS.

2. CDC EVIDENCE: SARS-COV 2 is harmless to humans

June 20, 2020 Even more alarming, ONLY POISONED MONKEY KIDNEY CELLS ‘GREW’ THE ‘VIRUS’. The SARS-COV 2 virus was unable to be cultured in any of the human cell lines scientists used.

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 from Patient with Coronavirus Disease, United States

“Therefore, we examined the capacity of SARS-CoV-2 to infect and replicate in several common primate and human cell lines, including human adenocarcinoma cells (A549), human liver cells (HUH 7.0), and human embryonic kidney cells (HEK-293T). In addition to Vero E6 and Vero CCL81 cells. ... Each cell line was inoculated at high multiplicity of infection and examined 24h post-infection. No CPE was observed in any of the cell lines except in Vero cells, which grew to greater than 10 to the 7th power at 24 h post-infection. In contrast, HUH 7.0 and 293T showed only modest viral replication, and A549 cells were incompatible with SARS CoV-2 infection.” https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/6/20-0516_article

Dr. Thomas Cowan is an American Scientist, Physician, and anthroposophist. He served as vice president of the Physicians’ Association for Anthroposophic Medicine and is a founding board member of the Weston A. Price Foundation.

“**The shocking thing** about the above quote is that using their own methods, virologists found that solutions containing SARS-CoV-2 — even in high amounts — were NOT, I repeat NOT, infective to any of the three human tissue cultures they tested. These virologists, published by the CDC, performed clear proof on their terms showing that SARS-COV- 2 virus is harmless to human beings.” <https://drtomcowan.com/only-poisoned-monkey-kidney-cells-grew-the-virus/>

3. LEGAL

1] On July 06, 2020, a Statement of Claim was filed against the Government of Canada, Government of Ontario, Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, various public health officials, and Canadian Broadcasting Corporation for **COVID19 measures not demonstrably justified**. <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

2] Dr. Reiner Fuellmich is a member of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Crimes against humanity Statement of Claim: https://www.algora.com/Algora_blog/2020/10/04/german-corona-investigative-committee Video disabled at <https://healthandmoneynews.wordpress.com/2020/10/04/crimes-against-humanity-cdc-admits-covid19-test-does-not-exist/>

3] **Malfeasance** lawsuit filed against government of Canada includes COVID19 measures. Scroll down the page to download Court File CV-20-167 <https://thepowershift.ca/>

4. DEATHS – COMPARABLE TO REGULAR FLU SEASON

Sept 2020, CBC reported 9,200 people died in Canada ‘with’ COVID19. In a population of almost 38 million 9,200 deaths equal .00024% or less than 1/4 of 1% **comparable to a regular flu season**. <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/public-health-agency-of-canada-covid-19-statistics-1.5733069>

Deaths with COVID19 are not the same as deaths from COVID19. Government death guidelines require the cause of death to be COVID19 if the subject tested positive, which ignores comorbidity, serious injuries from accidents, and are based on an unreliable RT-PCR test not intended to detect infectious diseases thus stated by its inventor Kary Mullis.

Oct 26.20 Statistics Canada reported 9,936 COVID19 deaths in Canada or 1/4 of 1% comparable to a regular flu season.

5. COVID19 MEASURES EXEMPTION

Business owners who refuse to serve unmasked people exempt from COVID19 measures, not demonstrably justified, contravene the CONSTITUTION ACT, 1982 S 2,7,8,9,15, 52(1). specifically the compulsory wearing of face masks <https://vaccinechoicecanada.com/wp-content/uploads/vcc-statement-of-claim-2020-redacted.pdf>

1959 Supreme Court Judgment RONCARELLI VS. DUPLESSIS <https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/2751/index.do>

Roncarelli vs. Duplessis, [1959] S.C.R. 121, was a landmark constitutional decision of the Supreme Court of Canada where the Court held that Quebec Premier Maurice Duplessis had overstepped his authority by revoking the liquor license of a Jehovah's Witness.

Justice Ivan Rand wrote in his often-quoted reasons that **the unwritten constitutional principle of the "rule of law" meant no public official was above the law** and so could neither suspend nor dispense it. Although Duplessis had authority under the relevant legislation, his decision was not based on any factors related to the operation of the license but was made for unrelated reasons and so was held to be exercised arbitrarily and without good faith.

The six judges who sided with Roncarelli used different legal reasoning to reach their decision. Three judges wrote that Duplessis had ordered the cancellation outside his authority as premier; two judges stated that although Duplessis had the power to order the cancellation, he had done so in bad faith; and **the sixth judge concluded the premier was not entitled to immunity as a public official.**

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Roncarelli_v_Duplessis

SCHEDULE 2 Municipal Elections Act, 1996

Limiting advance notice to comprehend and respond to Bill 218, limiting public hearings to 6 hours, and limiting time for public comment

1. Treat members of the human family as if they have no dignity nor worth,
2. Distort justice, negatively impact comfort of the public, and risk peace,
3. Restrict understanding and mutual respect, and prevent each of us from feeling part of the community, and contributing fully to the development and well being of the community and Province,
4. Contravene the Human Rights Code, Canadian Bill of Rights and Criminal Code Disobeying a Statute as follows:

Human Rights Code, R.S.O. 1990, c. H.19

<https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/90h19>

Preamble

And Whereas it is public policy in Ontario to recognize the dignity and worth of every person and to provide for equal rights and opportunities without discrimination that is contrary to law, and **having as its aim the creation of a climate of understanding and mutual respect for the dignity and worth of each person so that each person feels a part of the community and able to contribute fully to the development and well-being of the community and the Province;**

Canadian Bill of Rights

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/C-12.3/page-1.html>

Preamble

The Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions;

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them, in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its constitutional authority and which shall **ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada.**

CRIMINAL CODE

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/FullText.html>

Disobeying a statute

S 126 (1) Every person who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by intentionally doing anything that it forbids or by intentionally omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of

1. (a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than two years; or
2. (b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Maxims of Law

A verbis legis non est recedendum.

From the words of the law there must be no departure. Broom's Max. 268; 5 Rep. 119; Wing. Max. 25.

Idem est facere, et nolle prohibere cum possis.

It is the same thing to do a thing as not to prohibit it when in your power. 3 Co. Inst. 178.

Qui tacet consentire videtur.

He who is silent appears to consent. Jenk. Cent. 32

Without Prejudice and Without Recourse

Doreen A Agostino

HeadHeartHands

Bio-Energy Therapy

www.healingbioenergy.com

Nick Kosonic