

Taylor, Laura

From: Angela Tucci <angelaltucci@hotmail.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 8:27 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Gill, Parm
Cc: Collard-CO, Lucille; Bouma, Will; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Notice of Liability re Bill 218

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow

Angela Tucci

Newmarket Ontario L3Y4V9

Without prejudice,

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, **Attorney General Doug Downey**, are acting in bad faith due to lack of irrefutable evidence to support the **COVID 19 Declaration of Emergency under Sec 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA)**.

I actively dissent to your liability Protection Proposal Bill 218 as unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort " is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines deems Bill 218 a quid-pro-quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith."

This is a direct violation of the Charter Rights and Nuremberg Code. As a result, Bill 218 violates our freedoms under **Section #2 of the Charter: freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine**. As a reminder, the doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- A) Grants fraudulent abuse of power to business owners and employees to govern body autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections #2, #7 and #15 of the Charter, specifically, compulsory masking, life, liberty and security of the person, social distancing. Freedom of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law.
- B) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to fair process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination and charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations.

As per **section #24(1) anyone who's right or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.**

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women have been perpetrated by Charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic Charter rights to provide Immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human Charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith.

BILL 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of **Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada**. I BOLDLY REJECT the overreaches of power and DO NOT CONSENT to any further action being taken on this Mr. Doug Downey.

*Failure to cease action on Bill 218 confirms recognition of full malfeasance and bad faith and acceptance of full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures for which future notices of claim may follow.

I, the undersigned,

BARB EVERATT

Name



Signature

Nov. 1/2020

Date

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 5:03 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24061

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 17:01

Application ID: 24061

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Bella Pearce

Email address

bellamp@live.com

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1

of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Brad Milligan <bradmilligan11@gmail.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 4:39 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Gill, Parm; Collard-CO, Lucille; Bouma, Will; Triantafilopoulos, Effie
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is

further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 3:53 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 23956

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 15:48

Application ID: 23956

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Ms Catherine Barroilhet

Contact phone number

[4166662644](#)

Email address

catherinebarroilhet@gmail.com

Paste submission

I DO NOT CONSENT TO BILL 218

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Page 1

October 30th 2020

Submitted by: Claudette Losie, 80 West 33rd Street, Hamilton, ON, Canada, 905 3887794

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine.

As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an

individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it

interferes with subrogation rights.

In addition, I stand by the reasoning offered by MPP Randy Hillier in his debate motion 92 Bill 218 The End of Responsible Governments per the following points:

- 1) ends responsible government as we know it
- 2) under minds and makes a mockery of ministerial responsibility
- 3) conceals any incompetence and any wrong doing or remedy for incompetence and wrong doing not the hallmark of responsible government
- 4) killed concept of public inquiries why 1000 elderly people died prematurely in our long-term care
- 5) prevented people from learning and understanding what and how their actions may have contributed to the outcomes of Covid and their policies
- 6) removed any civil remedy for anybody who has felt that their policies have been contributing factor to the premature death of the elderly
- 7) this government refusing to allow anybody to have any scrutiny over their actions
- 8) this bill better stated as Concealing Government Actions through Covid, for that is its only purpose and its only function is to prevent an inquiry to what has happened in Covid**
- 9) not allowed to examine and evaluate what has happened

10) brazen attack on responsible government, rule of law, and complete extinguishing of our concepts of common law

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Ms. Claudette Losier,

Concerned Citizen of Ontario

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 31, 2020 9:32 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24141

Submitted on Sat, 10/31/2020 - 09:29

Application ID: 24141

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Cynthia Crosby

Contact phone number

[5193731426](#)

Email address

Cindyc16@msn.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future

notices of claim may follow.

Cynthia Crosby
Mississauga, On

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 5:11 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24076

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 17:05

Application ID: 24076

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Dawn Claydon

Contact phone number

[2365477110](tel:2365477110)

Email address

dbclaydon@msn.com

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1

of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Kind regards,
Dawn Claydon

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 31, 2020 10:29 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24151

Submitted on Sat, 10/31/2020 - 10:19

Application ID: 24151

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mr. Dominique St-Jean

Contact phone number

[7054915806](#)

Email address

dom1991guitar@hotmail.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020. Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly interferes with subrogation rights.

In addition, I stand by the reasoning offered by MPP Randy Hillier in his debate motion 92 Bill 218 The End of Responsible Governments per the following points:

1. Ends responsible government as we know it.
2. Under mines and makes a mockery of ministerial responsibility.
3. Conceals any incompetence and any wrong doing or remedy for incompetence and wrong doing, not the hallmark of responsible government.
4. Killed concept of public inquiries why 1000 elderly people died prematurely in our long-term care.
5. Prevented people from learning and understanding what and how their actions may have contributed to the outcomes of Covid and their policies.
6. Removed any civil remedy for anybody who has felt that their policies have been contributing factor to the premature death of the elderly.
7. This government refusing to allow anybody to have any scrutiny over their actions.
8. This bill better stated as Concealing Government Actions through Covid, for that is its only purpose and its only function is to prevent an inquiry to what has happened in Covid.
9. Not allowed to examine and evaluate what has happened.
10. Brazen attack on responsible government, rule of law, and complete extinguishing of our concepts of common law.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this. Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 2, 2020 6:42 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24386

Submitted on Mon, 11/02/2020 - 18:27

Application ID: 24386

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

i, a man Edward Arsenault

Contact phone number

[780 901-2629](#)

Email address

ed@ceecl.ca

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his

introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Would you like to add any other information?

I DO NOT CONSENT TO THE PASSING OF BILL 218.

Taylor, Laura

From: Eileen Balint <eileenbalint@outlook.com>
Sent: November 2, 2020 5:41 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of

competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

E. Balint

Taylor, Laura

From: erik smeets <eriksmeets@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 30, 2020 7:13 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: I DO NOT CONSENT TO BILL 218

To: roman.baber@pc.ola.org; effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org; will.bouma@pc.ola.org; LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; parm.gill@pc.ola.org; natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org; SMorrison-QP@ndp.on.ca; lindsey.park@pc.ola.org; GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca; nina.tangri@pc.ola.org; KYarde-QP@ndp.on.ca

CC: comm-justicepolicy@ola.org

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter,

have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 5:22 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24086

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 17:13

Application ID: 24086

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mr. Evan White

Contact phone number

[9055807034](#)

Email address

evanwhite96@icloud.com

Paste submission

To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy

BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Sincerely,

Evan White

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 4, 2020 10:20 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 25126

Submitted on Wed, 11/04/2020 - 10:18

Application ID: 25126

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Ms Fawne LoMascolo

Email address

flomascolo15@hotmail.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 1, 2020 9:56 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24191

Submitted on Sun, 11/01/2020 - 09:31

Application ID: 24191

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Georgia Virlas

Contact phone number

[5142792686](#)

Email address

georgiavirlas@sympatico.ca

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 – I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter – freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Would you like to add any other information?

Our elected MP's must stand up and do what is right for the people. Government accountability is essential in a just and free democratic society. I do not consent to Bill 218.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 2, 2020 6:52 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24391

Submitted on Mon, 11/02/2020 - 18:49

Application ID: 24391

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mr. Giovanni Perna

Contact phone number

[416-419-9343](#)

Email address

gperna73@gmail.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: JennySUC <JennySUC@protonmail.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 3:21 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; anthony.hylton@ontario.ca; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; Downey, Doug; attorneygeneral@ontario.ca; info@gg.ca; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; skee@ibc.ca
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: WE DO NOT CONSENT TO BILL 218 x 5 more

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020. Due to the complete lack of evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Regards
J, K, L, A, & M Couture

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

Taylor, Laura

From: Jackie Gy <jaxs_84@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 31, 2020 1:18 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Bill 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 1, 2020 7:16 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24226

Submitted on Sun, 11/01/2020 - 19:12

Application ID: 24226

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

I do not consent to Bill 218 Jarka Jarkovsky

Email address

jaroslava23@hotmail.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Thank you,

Jarka Jarkovsky

From: Jennifer Vink <jennvink@icloud.com>
Sent: October 31, 2020 9:31 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Jennifer Vink

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 2, 2020 2:01 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24306

Submitted on Mon, 11/02/2020 - 13:59

Application ID: 24306

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mr Jeremy Leek

Email address

northbay11@outlook.com

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1

of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Would you like to add any other information?

I DO NOT CONSENT

Taylor, Laura

From: vink_jonathan <vink_jonathan@yahoo.com>
Sent: October 31, 2020 9:24 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Regards,
Jonathan Vink

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 31, 2020 9:55 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24146

Submitted on Sat, 10/31/2020 - 09:53

Application ID: 24146

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Ms Julia McGregor

Contact phone number

[2263467824](#)

Email address

julia_mcg@live.ca

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 31, 2020 9:20 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24186

Submitted on Sat, 10/31/2020 - 21:13

Application ID: 24186

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Kari Blake

Email address

foreverfitandhealthy@mail.com

Paste submission

October 30th 2020

Submitted by: KARI

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT
Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charte rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

In addition, I stand by the reasoning offered by MPP Randy Hillier in his debate motion 92 Bill 218 The End of Responsible Governments per the following points:

ends responsible government as we know it

undermines and makes a mockery of ministerial responsibility

conceals any incompetence and any wrong doing or remedy for incompetence and wrong doing not the hallmark of responsible government

killed concept of public inquiries why 1000 elderly people died prematurely in our long-term care prevented people from learning and understanding what and how their actions may have contributed to the outcomes of Covid and their policies removed any civil remedy for anybody who has felt that their policies have been contributing factor to the premature death of the elderly

this government refusing to allow anybody to have any scrutiny over their actions

this bill better stated as Concealing Government Actions through Covid, for that is its only purpose and its only function is to prevent an inquiry to what has happened in Covid not allowed to examine and evaluate what has happened

brazen attack on responsible government, rule of law, and complete extinguishing of our concepts of common law

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 1, 2020 7:57 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24231

Submitted on Sun, 11/01/2020 - 19:52

Application ID: 24231

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Ms Kathleen Diaz

Email address

kathleendiaz@live.ca

Paste submission

BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 3, 2020 8:33 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24556

Submitted on Tue, 11/03/2020 - 08:30

Application ID: 24556

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Katina Virlas

Contact phone number

[5142792686](tel:5142792686)

Email address

vcatie6@gmail.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

>

> Without Prejudice.

>

> Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General,

> Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of

- > amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal
- > Elections Act, 2020.
- >
- > Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19
- > declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency
- > Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent
- > your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust,
- > excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross
- > negligence of constitutional rights.
- >
- > Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to
- > carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro
- > quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity
- > under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter
- > Rights and the Nuremberg Code.
- >
- > As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental
- > freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought,
- > belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press
- > and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the
- > compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out
- > the principle that the government cannot force an individual or
- > group to support certain expressions.
- >
- > Providing liability protection under Bill 218:
- >
- > a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and
- > employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices
- > that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the
- > Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and
- > security of person, social distancing/right of association and
- > medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- >
- > b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair
- > legal process that holds businesses liable for disability
- > discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and
- > medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose
- > rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been
- > infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent
- > jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers
- > appropriate and just in the circumstances.
- >
- > Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been
- > perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19
- > orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making
- > this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide
- > immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that
- > violate human charter rights is the definition of a bad actor
- > acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public
- > endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at
- > the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it

- > interferes with subrogation rights.
- >
- > I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to
- > any further action being taken on this.
- >
- > Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of
- > malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full
- > commercial liability for any and all damages associated with
- > these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.
- >
- >

Ontario Bill 218

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Kirsten Haymond

theroominn@shaw.ca

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 2:11 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 23846

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 14:06

Application ID: 23846

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Kristina Drake

Email address

kdbuttongirl@gmail.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: lgillis007 <lgillis007@gmail.com>
Sent: November 3, 2020 1:18 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 3, 2020 3:58 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24746

Submitted on Tue, 11/03/2020 - 15:55

Application ID: 24746

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

I DO NOT CONSENT TO BILL 218 Lejla Jasarevic

Email address

jasarevic.lejla@gmail.com

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1

of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

I DO NOT CONSENT TO BILL 218.

Taylor, Laura

From: Linda Lines <ms.lmlines@gmail.com>
Sent: November 1, 2020 7:33 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Downey, Doug; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; info@gg.ca
Subject: Reject Bill 218

Without prejudice,

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith due to lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 Declaration of Emergency under Sec 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA).

I actively dissent to your liability Protection Proposal Bill 218 as unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort " is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines deems Bill 218 a quid-pro-quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith."

This is a direct violation of the Charter Rights and Nuremberg Code. As a result, Bill 218 violates our freedoms under Section #2 of the Charter: freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, the doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

A) Grants fraudulent abuse of power to business owners and employees to govern body autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections #2, #7 and #15 of the Charter, specifically, compulsory masking, life, liberty and security of the person, social distancing. Freedom of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law.

B) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to fair process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination and charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations.

As per section #24(1) anyone who's right or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women have been perpetrated by Charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic Charter rights to provide Immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human Charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith.

BILL 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada. I BOLDLY REJECT the overreaches of power and DO NOT CONSENT to any further action being taken on this Mr. Doug Downey.

*Failure to cease action on Bill 218 confirms recognition of full malfeasance and bad faith and acceptance of full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures for which future notices of claim may follow.

i the undersigned,
Linda Lines
November 1, 2020

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 1, 2020 8:59 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24241

Submitted on Sun, 11/01/2020 - 20:55

Application ID: 24241

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Lisa Macaulay

Contact phone number

[7053801850](#)

Email address

Fullermac@live.ca

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an

Page 2

individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it

Page 3

interferes with subrogation rights.

In addition, I stand by the reasoning offered by MPP Randy Hillier in his debate motion 92 Bill 218 The End of Responsible Governments per the following points:

ends responsible government as we know it

under minds and makes a mockery of ministerial responsibility

conceals any incompetence and any wrong doing or remedy for incompetence and wrong doing not the hallmark of responsible government

killed concept of public inquiries why 1000 elderly people died prematurely in our long-term care

prevented people from learning and understanding what and how their actions may have contributed to the outcomes of Covid and their policies

removed any civil remedy for anybody who has felt that their policies have been contributing factor to the premature death of the elderly

this government refusing to allow anybody to have any scrutiny over their actions

this bill better stated as Concealing Government Actions through Covid, for that is its only purpose and its only function is to prevent an inquiry to what has happened in Covid

not allowed to examine and evaluate what has happened

Page 4

brazen attack on responsible government, rule of law, and complete extinguishing of our concepts of common law

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to

accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.


Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey. Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping loops and a final horizontal stroke.

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/chartar rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey. Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephen J. Lee". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial 'S' and 'J'.

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/chartar rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey. Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dennis Keen". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large, stylized "K" at the end.

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.


Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/chartar rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey. Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Steven Kee", is located at the bottom left of the page. The signature is stylized and cursive.

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.


Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/chartar rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey. Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert Downey", written in a cursive style.

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/chartar rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey. Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Doug Downey", is located at the bottom of the page.

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.


Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey. Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Steve Dineen". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "S" and a long, sweeping underline.

Taylor, Laura

From: Mariah Runnalls <mariah_wright@hotmail.com>
Sent: November 2, 2020 9:52 AM
To: Baber, Roman
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Mr. Roman Baber,

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charte rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Thank you,

Mariah Runnalls

Taylor, Laura

From: marianne <mstjean467@gmail.com>
Sent: November 1, 2020 6:20 PM
To: anthony.hylton@ontario.ca; attorney.general@ontario.ca; Standing Committee on Justice Policy; Downey, Doug; dr.david.williams@ontario.ca; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Singh-QP, Gurratan; info@gg.ca; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Collard-CO, Lucille; Park, Lindsey; Kusendova, Natalia; Tangri, Nina; Gill, Parm; Baber, Roman; skee@ibc.ca; Morrison-QP, Suze; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; Bouma, Will
Cc: Phillips, Rod; Phillipsco, Rod
Subject: Bill 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears you, Attorney General Doug Downey, are acting in bad faith.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of charter rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charte rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is

further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this, Mr. Doug Downey.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Respectfully,

Marianne St Jean
Ajax, ON
L1Z1E4
Mstjean467@gmail.com

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 6:30 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24096

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 18:24

Application ID: 24096

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mary Marino

Contact phone number

[4164649726](#)

Email address

danmmar@gmail.com

Paste submission

: roman.baber@pc.ola.org; effie.triantafilopoulos@pc.ola.org; will.bouma@pc.ola.org;
LCollard.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; parm.gill@pc.ola.org; natalia.kusendova@pc.ola.org; SMorrison-
QP@ndp.on.ca; lindsey.park@pc.ola.org; GSingh-QP@ndp.on.ca; nina.tangri@pc.ola.org; KYarde-

QP@ndp.on.ca

CC: comm-justicepolicy@ola.org

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the

public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow

Taylor, Laura

From: Megan Clarke <megclarkee0@gmail.com>
Sent: October 30, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Baber, Roman
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charte rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Sincerely,

An extremely concerned Canadian citizen.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 3:37 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 23941

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 15:29

Application ID: 23941

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mike McDowell

Contact phone number

[6478081573](#)

Email address

mikemcdowell85@gmail.com

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his

introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1)

Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Nicole Connor <nicoleconnor@protonmail.com>
Sent: October 30, 2020 2:33 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

To the Standing Committee on Justice Policy

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Regards,
Nicole Connor

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

Taylor, Laura

From: Noemi Burchardt <emiburchardt@rogers.com>
Sent: October 30, 2020 8:18 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Pat Robertson <patrician1@hotmail.ca>
Sent: November 2, 2020 1:26 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Bill 218

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as

guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Signed. Patricia Robertson

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 2:39 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 23881

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 14:35

Application ID: 23881

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

peter zerey

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection

proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Richard Court <rcourt@protonmail.com>
Sent: November 1, 2020 2:53 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Walker-co, Bill; Hillier-CO, Randy; Fordco, Doug; attorneygeneral@ontario.ca; anthony.hylton@ontario.ca; vania.cecchin@ontario.ca; info@gg.ca; david.williams@ontario.ca; skee@ibc.ca; Downey, Doug
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Ladies and Gentlemen,

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Sincerely,

Richard Court
Owen Sound, Ontario

Taylor, Laura

From: Rob Vandrish <rovatec@interlog.com>
Sent: November 2, 2020 6:15 AM
To: oman.baber@pc.ola.org; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Best Regards
Rob Vandrish

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 2, 2020 1:57 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24301

Submitted on Mon, 11/02/2020 - 13:54

Application ID: 24301

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mrs Samantha Leek

Email address

phoenixlilithrose@icloud.com

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1

of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Would you like to add any other information?

I DO NOT CONSENT

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 3, 2020 2:19 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24706

Submitted on Tue, 11/03/2020 - 14:17

Application ID: 24706

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Samantha Nemr

Contact phone number

[6132032087](#)

Email address

samantha.nemr@gmail.com

Paste submission

BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Samantha Wright <samanthagwright.22@gmail.com>
Sent: November 2, 2020 4:18 PM
To: Yarde-QP, Kevin; Tangri, Nina; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Park, Lindsey; Morrison-QP, Suze; Kusendova, Natalia; Gill, Parm; Collard-CO, Lucille; Bouma, Will; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Baber, Roman
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is

further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Samantha Wright

N4S7W1

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 2, 2020 12:04 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24276

Submitted on Mon, 11/02/2020 - 12:02

Application ID: 24276

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Scoty Yuill

Paste submission

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Would you like to add any other information?

I DO NOT CONSENT

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 1, 2020 8:42 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24236

Submitted on Sun, 11/01/2020 - 20:33

Application ID: 24236

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mr. Sean Devos

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 2, 2020 9:16 AM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24256

Submitted on Mon, 11/02/2020 - 09:12

Application ID: 24256

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

ms Sheila Caporali

Contact phone number

[4167328157](tel:4167328157)

Email address

myhomeopath@yahoo.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 5:16 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24081

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 17:10

Application ID: 24081

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mrs Sheri Thomson

Contact phone number

[5199783676](#)

Email address

sherim02@hotmail.com

Paste submission

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

I also find this bill concerning for future forward combined with the tenders on the government of Canada website for internment camps. This bill appears like it would protect these operators at the same time as removing chartered rights from a person. I do not consent

Taylor, Laura

From: Sheri Thomson <sherim02@hotmail.com>
Sent: October 30, 2020 2:40 PM
To: Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Baber, Roman
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: Bill 218 I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 4, 2020 1:16 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 25361

Submitted on Wed, 11/04/2020 - 13:10

Application ID: 25361

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Sophia Virlas

Contact phone number

[\(514\) 704-3124](#)

Email address

caksg3@gmail.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

From: Stephanie Breslin <stephaniejbreslin@gmail.com>
Sent: November 1, 2020 1:22 AM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights. Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency, under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, which is in gross negligence of Constitutional Rights.

Immunity from liability, so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity, under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

a) Grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices, which are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).

b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process, which holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose Rights or Freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women, who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic Charter Rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human charter rights is the definition of a ruthless acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications, at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly interferes with Subrogation Rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith, and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Susan Terry
P.O. Box 146 Callander, Ontario
October 30, 2020

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 2, 2020 9:06 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24436

Submitted on Mon, 11/02/2020 - 21:02

Application ID: 24436

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Sylvie Fulson

Contact phone number

[9057354066](tel:9057354066)

Email address

sylviefulson@gmail.com

Paste submission

Standing Committee on Justice Policy

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Sylvie Fulson
Welland ON

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 30, 2020 2:16 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 23851

Submitted on Fri, 10/30/2020 - 14:14

Application ID: 23851

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Mrs. Tammy McCaffrey

Contact phone number

[4033045462](#)

Email address

just4fun5725@yahoo.ca

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 2, 2020 8:47 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24416

Submitted on Mon, 11/02/2020 - 20:43

Application ID: 24416

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Tina Thrussell

Contact phone number

[4038607311](#)

Email address

info@shindao.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to

accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: November 1, 2020 10:46 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24246

Submitted on Sun, 11/01/2020 - 22:44

Application ID: 24246

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Tracey Geneau Geneau Tracey Geneau Geneau Tracey Geneau Geneau

Contact phone number

[\(613\) 341-6456655](#)

Email address

traceygeneau@gmail.com

Paste submission

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an “honest effort” is made to carry out Public Health’s guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of “good faith”. This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women’s fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/liberty, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it interferes with subrogation rights.

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future

notices of claim may follow.

Would you like to add any other information?

By passing this bill, I will lose all faith in our governing body.

Taylor, Laura

From: Urszula Ziemnicki <uziemnicki88@gmail.com>
Sent: November 2, 2020 6:48 PM
To: Baber, Roman; Triantafilopoulos, Effie; Bouma, Will; Collard-CO, Lucille; Gill, Parm; Kusendova, Natalia; Morrison-QP, Suze; Park, Lindsey; Singh-QP, Gurratan; Tangri, Nina; Yarde-QP, Kevin
Cc: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Subject: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

I am writing today in direct opposition to the introduction of amendments to Bill 218 (Supporting Ontario's Recovery & Municipal Elections Act, 2020) that Attorney General, Doug Downey is proposing.

I, and many others, strongly believe that this Liability Protection proposal Bill 218 does not act in the best interest of Canadians, and I will call an attempt to pass such a bill unjust, excessive, highly unconstitutional, and bordering on criminal. We, the Canadian people, are still awaiting the resources the Canadian government has been referencing and relying upon to not only declare the Covid-19 state of emergency, but to warrant it's continuation. This declaration is becoming more questionable with each passing day, and the lack of irrefutable evidence and of any meaningful transparency from the government will not stand for much longer - it has gone on long enough, and we deserve answers. While I can understand that at the beginning of such an event it is prudent to be cautious to a degree, but as more information is collected and now available, it has become increasingly obvious to many of us that the response mounted to this "crisis" has been excessive and not at all focused appropriately. This must stop, and the levels of government will need to take serious responsibility for some of these measures. This bill should not be made to allow for growing gross negligence, or allow for stricter measures without any fear of reprisal, particularly when it is absolutely not warranted and violates not only our Charter of Rights, but also the Nuremberg Code. Being a reasonable individual, I would hope that our government would acknowledge that it is quite acceptable to be able to come to new realizations and make different decisions when presented with new information. This is the very foundation of intelligence. What is not acceptable in any way is to continue down this path, without scientific basis, against the expert advice of more than 45,000 medical experts across the globe, and insist that we keep implementing the same or more draconian measures for a virus that has a cure rate of over 99%... It is the very definition of insanity. "Increased" case counts based on increased but inaccurate PCR testing because we have a lack of more accurate testing does not help matters or this thought process either. I understand the need for the government to appear as though they are doing 'something', but these efforts are pathetic, and only appease those who are not truly paying attention.

As I am sure you have heard from many who are aligned with three-six-nine media, I will quote from their dissent of Bill 218 letter now as they have covered the information very thoroughly:

"Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly interferes with subrogation rights.

...

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow."

I absolutely reject this overreach of power (as well as many others not listed in this particular email pertaining to Covid-19 measures implemented) and **DO NOT CONSENT** to any further action being taken on this.

We, the Canadian people, have reached our limit - we have lost much this year, and not due to Covid-19 the virus, but to the measures put in place by our own government that has stripped many of us of jobs, our businesses, the ability to make a living and put food on our tables; of our mental & physical health and robbed us of precious time with our loved ones, just to name a few things. This will not stand, and we will rise for our rights & freedoms, make no mistake of that. Make the right choice.

Urszula Ziemnicki
Flamborough, ON

Taylor, Laura

From: Legislative Assembly of Ontario
Sent: October 31, 2020 7:19 PM
To: Standing Committee on Justice Policy
Cc: Teng, Deborah
Subject: Webform submission: Submission of material 24181

Submitted on Sat, 10/31/2020 - 19:12

Application ID: 24181

Submitted values are:

Bill or item of business: **Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020**

Choose a topic

Do you know the bill title or business (e.g., pre-budget consultations) you'd like to speak or submit material about?

Yes

Choose an application

Which application would you like to submit?

Submit material only

Which deadline would you like to submit your written material for?

[Written Submission deadline: 7:00 p.m. \(EST\) on Wednesday, November 4, 2020](#)

Add your information

Are you submitting material as an individual or on behalf of an organization?

I am submitting material as an individual

Full name

Uschi Feyrer

Contact phone number

[6139380087](#)

Email address

ufeyrer@gmail.com

Paste submission

Your application ID is: 24131

October 31, 2020.

Submitted by: Uschi

SUBJECT: BILL 218 - I DO NOT CONSENT

Without Prejudice.

Please be advised and on notice that it appears Attorney General, Doug Downey, is acting in bad faith with his introduction of amendments Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020.

Due to the lack of irrefutable evidence to support the COVID 19 declaration of emergency under section 7.0.1 of the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act (EMCPA), I actively dissent your Liability Protection proposal Bill 218, as an unjust, excessive and unreasonable abuse of authority, that is in gross negligence of constitutional rights.

Immunity from liability so long as an "honest effort" is made to carry out Public Health's guidelines, deems Bill 218 a quid pro quo, implicating business owners in unconstitutional activity under the guise of "good faith". This is a violation of Charter Rights and the Nuremberg Code.

As a result, Bill 218 violates men and women's fundamental freedoms under Section 2. of the Charter - freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication, resulting in a violation of the compelled speech doctrine. As a reminder, this doctrine sets out the principle that the government cannot force an

Page 2

individual or group to support certain expressions.

Providing liability protection under Bill 218:

- a) grants fraudulent abuses of power to business owners and employees to govern bodily autonomy and personal health choices that are in direct violation of sections 2, 7 and 15 of the Charter (specifically compulsory masking/life, liberty and security of person, social distancing/right of association and medical privacy violations/equality before and under the law).
- b) Grossly infringes upon the rights of men and women to a fair legal process that holds businesses liable for disability discrimination, charter violations, medical malpractice and medical privacy violations. As per Section 24. (1) Anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances.

Under Bill 218, bereaved families, men and women who have been perpetrated by charter violations under government COVID 19 orders, lose their right to seek compensation for damages, making this Bill a violation of basic charter rights. To provide immunity from liability in exchange for following orders that violate human/charter rights is the definition of a bad actor acting in bad faith. Bill 218 is further muddled by the public endorsement of Steven Kee, Director of External Communications at the Insurance Bureau of Canada, as Bill 218 directly it

Page 3

interferes with subrogation rights.

In addition, I stand by the reasoning offered by MPP Randy Hillier in his debate motion 92 Bill 218 The End of Responsible Governments per the following points:

- 1) ends responsible government as we know it
- 2) under minds and makes a mockery of ministerial responsibility
- 3) conceals any incompetence and any wrong doing or remedy for incompetence and wrong doing not the hallmark of responsible government
- 4) killed concept of public inquiries why 1000 elderly people died prematurely in our long-term care
- 5) prevented people from learning and understanding what and how their actions may have contributed to the outcomes of Covid and their policies
- 6) removed any civil remedy for anybody who has felt that their policies have been contributing factor to the premature death of the elderly
- 7) this government refusing to allow anybody to have any scrutiny over their actions
- 8) this bill better stated as Concealing Government Actions through Covid, for that is its only purpose and its only function is to prevent an inquiry to what has happened in Covid
- 9) not allowed to examine and evaluate what has happened

Page 4

- 1) brazen attack on responsible government, rule of law, and complete extinguishing of our concepts of common law

I boldly reject these overreaches of power and do not consent to any further action being taken on this.

Failure to cease action on BILL 218 confirms recognition of malfeasance and bad faith and willingness to accept full commercial liability for any and all damages associated with these measures, for which future notices of claim may follow.