

From: Michael.O'Neill@ch2m.com
Sent: Mon 11/28/2011 9:19:36 PM
Subject: RE: ARL - Ridership Projections

No problem – let me know when suits

From: Daniel Haufschild [mailto:Daniel.Haufschild@metrolinx.com]
Sent: Friday, November 25, 2011 3:20 PM
To: O'Neill, Michael/TOR
Cc: Mofeez, David/VBC; Bert Peverini; Martin Revill
Subject: RE: ARL - Ridership Projections

Michael,

Just spoke to Kathy and agreed we would develop a memo next week documenting ridership, [Exclusion per Committee Direction] implications of 3 or 4 car platforms.

Will check in with you. In particular, would like to discuss your assumptions as I think they are a little different from what we came up with.

Talk to you next week.

Daniel

From: Michael.O'Neill@ch2m.com [mailto:Michael.O'Neill@ch2m.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 24, 2011 4:33 PM
To: Daniel Haufschild
Cc: David Mofeez
Subject: ARL - Ridership Projections

Daniel,

I don't think that I have met you yet but I am assisting Kathy with the Program Management on ARL.

I put together a note for her to outline some suggested actions to conclude a decision the issue of providing infrastructure for a 3 or 4 car consist – a copy of the draft is included herewith.

I was trying to identify when the demand would exceed capacity from the SDG Report of 7th July 2011.

This report would appear to indicate that for an average day, peak hour demand can be accommodated up to and past the projected date of 2031.

In August, the busiest month demand exceeds capacity sometime around 2024.

The peak hour flows can be accommodated with a three car consist in 2020.

From the above I am assuming that somewhere between 2020 to 2024 peak hour flows become a problem for the 3 car consists.

Can you advise if this assumption is correct or if there is better more recent data I should be using. Any assistance / advice you can provide would be appreciated.

Regards,

Michael O'Neill